BGP in 2021





The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn

#apricot2022

Conclusions

28 Years of Routing the Internet

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - Route Views Peers



2021 in detail

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers



2021 in detail



2021: Assigned vs Recovered



What happened in 2021 in V4?

- From the look of the routing growth plots, the growth of the size of the IPv4 network is slowing down
- The number of entries in the IPv4 default-free zone reached 906,000 by the end of 2021
- The pace of growth of the routing table was slightly lower than the rolling 5year average, with 40,000 new entries in 2021 (was 52,000 in 2020)
- The AS position was slightly lower with 2,400 new AS's advertised in 2021 (was 3,400 in 2020)
- Transit relationships have not changed materially over 2021 for most networks
- The overall growth trends are slowing down in 2021



The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn
- Conclusions

The Route-Views View of IPv6





2021 in Detail

150000 140000 **BGP RIB Entries** 130000 120000 110000 100000 OT 2022 Jan-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Nov-21 Jan-22 Date

BGP IPv6 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers

2021 in Detail

#apricot2022

BGP IPv6 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers



V6 in 2021

- Overall IPv6 Internet growth in terms of BGP is still increasing, and is currently at some 41,000 route entries p.a.
 - With a couple of deaggregation leaks along the way!

- It's a case of increasing growth, not just constant growth
 - More use of /48 more specifics
 - More networks advertising IPv6 prefixes



The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn
- Conclusions

V4 BGP Table Size Predictions

Date		RIB Size	Prediction
Jan 2018		699,000	
	2019	760,000	
	2020	814,000	
	2021	866,000	
	2022	906,000	908,000
	2023		956,000
	2024		1,004,000
	2025		1,052,000
	2026		1,100,000
	2027		1,148,000



V6 BGP Table Size Predictions

	Linear	Exponential
Jan 2018	45,000	
2019	62,000	
2020	79,000	
2021	104,000	
2022	147,000	152,000
2023	185,000	214,000
2024	233,000	302,000
2025	261,000	426,000
2026	299,000	601,000
2027	366,000	847,000



#apricot2022

Note that the IPv6 tables are 128bits wide – i.e. 4x the size of the IPv4 tables!

BGP Table Growth

The absolute size of the IPv6 routing table is growing much faster than the IPv4 table

These two tables will require the same storage/lookup size in around 2 years time, given that each IPv6 entry is 4 times the bit size of an IPv4 entry

The good news ...

As long as we are prepared to live within the technical constraints of the current routing paradigm, the Internet's use of BGP will continue to be viable for some time yet



The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn
- Conclusions

IPv4 BGP Updates



IPv4 BGP Convergence Performance

Daily Average BGP IPv4 Convergence Time



Updates in IPv4 BGP

The IPv4 inter-domain routing system is still highly stable ...

- The number of updates per instability event and the time to converge to a stable forwarding state has been relatively constant for many years - it rose in 2019 - 2020 and has declined again in 2021
- 20% of prefixes generate 80% of all updates. Less than 5% of all origin networks are linked to 80% of all updates. Instability is concentrated in a small number of highly unstable cases.

V6 BGP Updates

Daily BGP IPv6 Update Activity for AS131072



22 IC **53**

V6 Unstable Prefixes

BGP IPv6 Daily Unstable Prefix Count



Date

V6 Convergence Performance

Daily Average BGP IPv6 Convergence Time



Updates in IPv6 BGP

It's improving ...

- Compared to IPv4, the IPv6 network has exhibited a high level of routing instability, which is unexpected as the old overlay approaches are disappearing and the topology of IPv6 is now converging to the same topology as IPv4. The V6 network was more stable than ever in the last half of 2021
- Just 2 AS's generated 70% of the BGP update load in the last 2 weeks of 2021. Instability is still concentrated in a small number of pathological cases.



APRICO

The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn
- Conclusions

Routing Futures

- There is still little in the way of scaling pressure from BGP as a routing protocol – the relatively compressed inter-AS topology and stability of the infrastructure links tend to ensure that BGP remains effective in routing the internet. Instability levels are rising, generally driven by a small set of highly unstable "super generators"
- The issues of FIB size, line speeds and equipment cost of line cards represent a more significant issue for hardware suppliers – we can expect cheaper line cards to to use far smaller LRU cache local FIBs in the high-speed switches and push lesser-used routes to a slower / cheaper lookup path. This approach may also become common in very high-capacity line cards

Know your network's limits

 Understand your routing hardware's line card FIB capacity in the default-free parts of your network

APRICO

Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

 Review your IPv4 / IPv6 portioning in the FIB tables - a dual-stack eBGP router will need 1M 32-bit IPv4 slots and 233K 128-bit IPv6 slots for a full eBGP routing table in line cards in 2 years time if they are using a full eBGP FIB load (plus internal routes of course). That's the same memory footprint for IPv4 and IPv6!

Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

• Judicious use of **default** routes in your internal network may allow you drop this requirement significantly



Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

Time for hot caching in line card FIBs?

 Using a hot cache for line card FIB cache would reduce the highspeed TCAM memory requirement significantly without visible performance cost



Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

Time for hot caching in line card FIBs?

That's if!





The Complete Pack

APRICOT 2022 APNIC 53

27 Years of Routing the Internet





2017-2022 in detail



2021 in detail

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers




AS Numbers-growing by some 2,400 prefixes per year

Routing prefixes - growing by some 40,000 prefixes per year









AS Adjacencies (as seen by AS131072)

59,044 out of 72,930 ASNs have 1 or 2 AS Adjacencies (82%)

2,425 ASNs have 10 or more adjacencies

9 ASNs have >1,000 adjacencies



100000

What happened in 2021 in V4?

- From the look of the routing growth plots, the growth of the size of the IPv4 network is slowing down
- The number of entries in the IPv4 default-free zone reached 906,000 by the end of 2021
- The pace of growth of the routing table was slightly lower than the rolling 5year average, with 40,000 new entries in 2021 (was 52,000 in 2020)
- The AS position was slightly lower with 2,400 new AS's advertised in 2021 (was 3,400 in 2020)
- Transit relationships have not changed materially over 2021 for most networks
- The overall growth trends are slowing down in 2021



Post-Exhaustion Routing Growth

- What's driving this post-exhaustion growth?
 - Transfers?
 - Last /8 policies in RIPE and APNIC?
 - Leasing and address recovery?

Advertised Address "Age"

2010

Relative Age of Announced Addresses



Registration Age (Years)

APRICOT 20

APNIC 53

Advertised Address "Age"





IPv4 Advertised vs Unadvertised

IPv4 Address Pool Sizes throiugh 2021 250 Total Assigned Unadvertised Advertised 200 Address Pool Size (8s) 150 100 50 COT 2022 APNIC 53 0 Jan-2002 Jan-2004 Jan-2010 Jan-2012 Jan-2014 Jan-2020 Jan-2022 Jan-2000 Jan-2006 Jan-2008 Jan-2016 Jan-2018

2010 - 2021: Unadvertised Addresses





V4 in 2021

- 199.6M addresses were **added** to the routing table across 2020
- 1.1M addresses were **assigned** by RIRs in 2019
- And a net of 198.5M addresses were drawn from the pool of unadvertised addresses

The major shift in 2021 was the advertisement of the previously dormant legacy /8s that were assigned to the US DoD in the early days of the ARPANET/Internet



The Route-Views View of IPv6

BGP IPv6 RIB Size - Route Views Peers



2020-2022 in Detail

#apricot2022

BGP IPv6 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers





Routing prefixes - growing by some 46,000 prefixes per year









AS Adjacencies (vantage point: AS131072)

23,243 out of 28,328 ASNs have 1 or 2 AS Adjacencies (82%)
914 ASNs have 10 or more adjacencies
5 ASNs have >1,000 adjacencies

5,734 AS6939 HURRICANE - Hurricane Electric, Inc., US
3,970 AS38255 FITI, China Education and Research Network CN
1,472 AS3356 LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
1,325 AS1299 TWELVE99 Arelion, (fka Telia Carrier), SE
1,232 AS174 COGENT-174 - Cogent Communications, US



V6 in 2021

 Overall IPv6 Internet growth in terms of BGP is still increasing, and is currently growing at some 41,000 route entries p.a.

- It's a case of increasing growth, not just constant growth
 - More use of /48 more specifics
 - More networks advertising IPv6 prefixes



What to expect

APRICOT 2022

BGP Size Projections

How quickly is the routing space growing?

What are the projections of future BGP FIB size?



V4 - Daily Growth Rates



APRICOT 2022 APNIC 53

Growth in the V4 network appears to be constant at a long-term average of 150 additional routes per day, or some 54,000 additional routes per year. Recent #apricot2022growth rates are lower

V4 BGP Table Size Predictions

Date	RIB Size	Prediction
Jan 2018	699,000	
2019	760,000	
2020	814,000	
2021	866,000	
2022	906,000	908,000
2023		956,000
2024		1,004,000
2025		1,052,000
2026		1,100,000
2027		1,148,000





V6 - Daily Growth Rates



V6 BGP Table Size Predictions

	Linear	Exponential
Jan 2018	45,000	
2019	62,000	
2020	79,000	
2021	104,000	
2022	147,000	152,000
2023	185,000	214,000
2024	233,000	302,000
2025	261,000	426,000
2026	299,000	601,000
2027	366,000	847,000



#apricot2022

Note that the IPv6 tables are 128bits wide – i.e. 4x the size of the IPv4 tables!

BGP Table Growth

The absolute size of the IPv6 routing table is growing much faster than the IPv4 table

These two tables will require the same storage/lookup size in around 2 years time, given that each IPv6 entry is 4 times the bit size of an IPv4 entry

The good news ...

As long as we are prepared to live within the technical constraints of the current routing paradigm, the Internet's use of BGP will continue to be viable for some time yet



BGP Updates

• What about the rate of updates in BGP?



IPv4 BGP Updates



IPv4 BGP Convergence Performance

Daily Average BGP IPv4 Convergence Time



Updates in IPv4 BGP

The IPv4 inter-domain routing system is still highly stable ...

- The number of updates per instability event and the time to converge to a stable forwarding state has been relatively constant for many years - it rose in 2019 - 2020 and has declined again in 2021
- 20% of prefixes generate 80% of all updates. Less than 5% of all origin networks are linked to 80% of all updates. Instability is concentrated in a small number of highly unstable cases.

V6 BGP Updates

Daily BGP IPv6 Update Activity for AS131072



22 IC **53**

V6 Unstable Prefixes

BGP IPv6 Daily Unstable Prefix Count



Date

V6 Convergence Performance

Daily Average BGP IPv6 Convergence Time



Updates in IPv6 BGP

It's improving ...

- Compared to IPv4, the IPv6 network has exhibited a high level of routing instability, which is unexpected as the old overlay approaches are disappearing and the topology of IPv6 is now converging to the same topology as IPv4. The V6 network was more stable than ever in the last half of 2021
- Just 2 AS's generated 70% of the BGP update load in the last 2 weeks of 2021. Instability is still concentrated in a small number of pathological cases.



APRICO

The Highlights

- IPv4 FIB Summary
- IPv6 FIB Summary
- FIB Projections
- Churn
- Conclusions

Routing Futures

- There is still little in the way of scaling pressure from BGP as a routing protocol – the relatively compressed inter-AS topology and stability of the infrastructure links tend to ensure that BGP remains effective in routing the internet. Instability levels are rising, generally driven by a small set of highly unstable "super generators"
- The issues of FIB size, line speeds and equipment cost of line cards represent a more significant issue for hardware suppliers – we can expect cheaper line cards to to use far smaller LRU cache local FIBs in the high-speed switches and push lesser-used routes to a slower / cheaper lookup path. This approach may also become common in very high-capacity line cards

Know your network's limits

 Understand your routing hardware's line card FIB capacity in the default-free parts of your network

APRICO

Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

 Review your IPv4 / IPv6 portioning in the FIB tables - a dual-stack eBGP router will need 1M 32-bit IPv4 slots and 233K 128-bit IPv6 slots for a full eBGP routing table in line cards in 2 years time if they are using a full eBGP FIB load (plus internal routes of course). That's the same memory footprint for IPv4 and IPv6!

Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

• Judicious use of **default** routes in your internal network may allow you drop this requirement significantly



Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

Time for hot caching in line card FIBs?

 Using a hot cache for line card FIB cache would reduce the highspeed TCAM memory requirement significantly without visible performance cost



Know your network's limits

Review your routers' settings

Default routes can be helpful

Time for hot caching in line card FIBs?



APRICOT APNIC 53

21 February – 3 March 2022

[‡]apricot2022