The Post-IPocalypse Internet

Geoff Huston AM Chief Scientist, APNIC The mainstream telecommunications industry has a rich history



The mainstream telecommunications industry had a rich history

... of making very poor technology guesses



The mainstream telecommunications industry had a rich history

...of making very poor technology guesses

and regularly being taken by surprise!



The Internet...

Has been a runaway success that has transformed not just the telecommunications sector, but entire social structures are being altered by the Internet! And then we used up the Internet's 32bit address pool Has been a runaway success the Pocatron transformed not just the and an immunications sector, but entire a few port cructures are being altered by the internet! And then we is new coming the Internet's 32bit address the two the internet's 32bit





IETF Meeting - August 1990



What did we do back in 1992?

We bought some time by removing the CLASS A, B, C address structure from IP addresses

The CIDR Fix

Time Series of IANA Allocations



What else did we do back in 1992?

And we started working on a new Internet Protocol - to become IPv6 - to replace IPv4

We left the task of transition until after we had figured out what this new protocol would look like

Z Z Z Z Z Z Z

For a while this did not look to be an urgent problem...

CIDR worked!

Time Series of IANA Allocations



Meanwhile, we continued to build (IPv4) networks



Time Series of IANA Allocations

The rude awakening

Until all of a sudden, the IPv4 address piggy bank was looking extremely empty...

IPv4 Address Allocations

Time Series of IANA Allocations





3 February 2011

Free Pool of IPv4 Address Space Depleted

IPv6 adoption at critical phase

Montevideo, 3 February 2011 – The Number Resource Organization (NRO) announced today that the free pool of available IPv4 addresses is now fully depleted. On Monday, January 31, the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) allocated two blocks of IPv4 address space to APNIC, the Regional Internet Registry (RIR) for the Asia Pacific region, which triggered a global policy to allocate the remaining IANA pool equally between the five RIRs. Today IANA allocated those blocks. This means that there are no longer any IPv4 addresses available for allocation from the IANA to the five RIRs.

IANA assigns IPv4 addresses to the RIRs in blocks that equate to 1/256th of the entire IPv4 address space. Each block is referred to as a "/8" or "slash-8". A global policy agreed on by all five RIR communities and ratified in 2009 by ICANN, the international body responsible for the IANA function, dictated that when the IANA IPv4 free pool reached five remaining /8 blocks, these blocks were to be simultaneously and equally distributed to the five RIRs.

"This is an historic day in the history of the propert, and one we have been anticipating for quite some time," states Raúl Echeberría, Chairman of the Number Resource Organization (NRO), the official representative of the five RIRs. "The future of the Internet is in IPv6. All Internet stakeholders must now take efinitive action to deploy IPva".

"This is truly a major turning point in the longoing we elong but of the Internet" and Rod Beckstrom, ICANN's President and Chief Executive Officer. "Nobody was caught on goald by bits, the internet echnical community has been planning for IPv4 depletion for quite some time. But it means the adoption of IPv6 is now of paramount importance, since it will allow the Internet to continue its amazing growth and foster the global innovation we've all come to expect."

IPv6 is the "next generation" of the Internet Protocol, providing a hugely expanded address space and allowing the Internet to grow into the future. "Billions of people world wide use the Internet for everything from sending tweets to paying bills. The transition to IPv6 from IPv4 represents an opportunity for even more innovative applications without the fear of running out of essential Internet IP addresses," said Vice President of IANA Elise Gerich.

Adoption of IPv6 is now vital for all Internet stakeholders. The RIRs have been working with network operators at the local, regional, and global level for more than a decade to offer training and advice on IPv6 adoption and ensure that everyone is prepared for the exhaustion of IPv4.

"Each RIR will have its final full /8 from IANA, plus any existing IP address holdings to distribute. Depending on address space requests received, this could last each RIR anywhere from a few weeks to many months. It's only a matter of time before the RIRs and Internet Service Providers (ISPs) must start denying requests for IPv4 address space. Deploying IPv6 is now a requirement, not an option," added Echeberría. IPv6 address space has been available since 1999. Visit <u>http://www.nro.net/ipv6/</u> for more information on IPv6, or

The rude awakening

Until all of a sudden the IPv4 address piggy bank was looking extremely empty...

And transition to IPv6 suddenly became a very important topic!



going with the IPv4 to IPv6 transition?

Do we really need to worry about this?

Do we really need to worry about this?

Surely IPv6 will just happen - its just a matter of waiting for the pressure of Ipv4 address exhaustion to get to sufficient levels of intensity.

Do we really need to worry about this?

Surely IPv6 will just happen - its just a matter of waiting for the pressure of Ipv4 address exhaustion to get to sufficient levels of intensity.

Or maybe not - let's look a bit closer at the situation ...

```
The
"inevitability"
of technological
evolution
wites
```

 \rightarrow



```
The
"inevitability"
of technological
             vichal
evolution
    wites
```

```
The
"inevitability"
of technological
                         packets
             vichal
evolution
    wites
```

The "inevitability" of technological evolution

Each time we shifted the technology base of the network, the cost efficiencies of the "new" technology in effect motivated the shift from the older technology to the new The "inevitability" of technological evolution

Now let's look at something a little more topical to today!

The "inevitability" of technological evolution?

The "inevitability" of technological evolution?

1926



Option 1: Flag Day!





Option 2: Parallel Transition! 10,6 We start to slide in IPv6 in parallel with Ipv4

Then we gradually phase out IPVY

Option 2: Parallel Transition!



Time

For this to work we have to start early and finish BEFORE IPVY address pool exhaustion



The small print: It's incredibly difficult for markets to plan without clear price signals, and we never managed to price future scarcity into the Internet model. Our chosen address distribution model was one that deliberately avoided any form of price-based market signaling. We sort of hoped that operators would price future risk. We were very wrong!
Hybrid IPv4



The increasing scarcity of IPVY WIII force carriage providers to add address sharing mechanisms into the IPVY network

Option 3: Hybrid Transition



To get from here to "there" requires an excursion through an environment of CGNS, CDNS, ALGS and similar middleware solutions to IPVY address exhaustion



Transition requires the network owner to undertake capital investment in network service infrastructure to support IPv4 address sharing/rationing.



Transition requires the network owner to undertake capital investment in network service infrastructure to support IPv4 address sharing/rationing.

What lengths will the network owner then go to to protect the value of this additional investment by locking itself into this "transitional" service model for an extended/indefinite period?

The problem is...

We are now supporting an ever-expanding Internet:

-without any feed of more IPv4 addresses

and

-without sufficient IPv6 deployment to cut over

The problem is...

We are now supporting an ever panding Internet: -without any fight, for IPv4 addresses an And, surprisingly, for IPv4 addresses

-without sufficient IPv6 deployment to cut over

The problem is...

We are now supporting an ever panding Internet: -without any So far the IPv4 addresses an And, surpris

-without sufficient IPv6 deployment to cut over



Just how are we going?

Use of IPv6 for World (XA)



Just how are we going?

Use of IPv6 for World (XA)



Where is it?



Where is

Lets take the 20 countries with the largest national user populations.

its pretty clear that many countries see differing pressures to adopt iPv6 at present

	Rank	Country	Users (Est)	IPv6
	1	China	836,707,225	19%
	2	India	616,081,465	75%
	3	United States	250,406,218	49%
	4	Brazil	168,711,777	38%
	5	Indonesia	123,491,428	1%
-	6	Russia	120,559,409	10%
3	7	Japan	112,591,349	38%
	8	Mexico	<mark>92,947</mark> ,480	30%
Ľ	9	Philippines	74,915 <mark>,</mark> 133	12%
	10	Germany	70,713,647	51%
)	11	Turkey	65,593,672	0%
	12	United Kingd	65,546,354	35%
	13	Nigeria	65,154,753	0%
	14	Iran	64,805,149	0%
- ()	15	Vietnam	5 <mark>5,008,5</mark> 30	45%
X	16	Egypt	<mark>54,508,44</mark> 8	4%
9	17	France	54,35 <mark>9,81</mark> 5	45%
	18	South Korea	51,646,1 <mark>3</mark> 9	17%
	19	Thailand	46,888,802	43%
	20	Spain	43,032,407	3%

What's the Problem?

Is there IPv6 in Android, iOS, Mac OS, Windows and Linux? Do platforms support IPv6?

Does every access ISP support IPv6? Well, NO!

Does every service support IPv6? Well, NO!!

Why?

- Dual Stack networks are more complex to operate and support
- Some server platforms perceive Dual Stack as slower and less reliable than IPv4 only
- We seem to be comfortable with extensive use of NATs

Most importantly, we don't seem to care any more!



Economics!

The Internet's last mile access is mired in commodity utility economics. Relentless competition has resulted in a sector where margins are thin. A move to IPV6 represents expenditure without immediate revenue gain. This is classic case of economic dislocation in an unbundled industry, where expenditure in one sector only yields benefits in another



This situation represents a period of considerable uncertainty for our industry

if i wait will equipment get is ipv6 really ready for prime time yet? cheaper or will the user experience get worse? The wet? for experien The way of the start will furning on How by Arter 100 of Profince ase my nts a erte ntv Phelpdesk call rate? hould all users be shunted through a How long will this transition take? How much is all this going to cost? if we deploy CGNs to keep iPv4 running, then how long Can i afford it? Will my What is going to break? should we plan to keep them revenue base sustain this additional cost? in service?

Where is this heading?

Where is this heading?

It's possible that within the next 10 years or so we will complete this dual stack "transition" and folk will feel sufficiently confident to deploy IPv6-only services in which case IPV4 will rapidly decay

Where is this heading?

Its possible that within the next 10 years or so we will complete this dual stack "transition" and folk will feel sufficiently confident to deploy IPv6-only services in which case IPv4 will rapidly decay

It's equally possible this won't happen, because we've changed the Internet so much that the choice of which IP address space to use simply won't matter any more!

And its not yet clear which of these paths the internet will take!

And its not yet clear which path the internet will take! market forces

You see, there us an Alternate View of where we are today

The Internet, as we knew it, is over.

An Alternate View

```
The Internetic do reach far-away content and
We don't use the network do reachew it, is over.
 Content and services have some to our front door through
 services any more.
 the intense levels of investment in Content Data Networks
 if you look at the dominant traffic volumes "The internet"
is no more than a collection of discrete access networks
   that use a common technology
```

What would that mean?

We need to think about how to build a post-Internet world where content, computation, storage and communications are sustainable, abundant and openly available commodities.

What do we need?

And its not clear that we need a single massive address space any more!

Maybe all we need now is a common name space

What do we need?

And its not clear that we need a single massive address space any more!

Maybe all we need now is a common name space

Yes, we didn't realise it at the time, but it IS all about the DNS after all

What do we want?

Why were we so keen about IPv6 anyway?

IPv6 represented an open and accessible platform for further network growth and innovation

Our common public interest lies in a continuing open and accessible network

And that needs to be expressed within the dynamics of market pressures.

Today's question is:

How can we do this?

To ensure that the industry maintains a collective focus on continued innovation and openness as the ultimate objective of this exercise!

To ensure that the industry maintains a collective focus on continued innovation and openness as the ultimate objective of this exercise!

And ensure that we do not get trapped in a new round of entrenched monopolies that will resist all forms of further innovation!

to ensure that the industry mind it any focus on continue avoid making it any or at leasts how can use avoid making or at leasts how can use avoid making the that we do not get trapped in a now mind of the that we do not get trapped in a new round of entrenched monopolies that will resist all forms of further innovation!

Yes, that was intentionally left blank!

I really don't know what will work, And as far as I can see, nor does anyone else!
But even though I don't have an answer here, I have some thoughts to offer about this issue of pulling the Internet though this transition

Three thoughts...



Firstly

If we want one working Internet at the end of all this, then keep an eye on the larger picture

Think about what is our common interest here

and try to find ways for local interests to converge with our common interest in a single coherent digital environment that remains open, neutral, and accessible

Secondly

Stop trying to make yesterday perfect!

We are moving on in trying to make the Internet bigger, faster, cheaper and better

And the effort has changed focus to concentrate on applications and services

If application-centric networking and CONs make bigger, faster, cheaper and better services then that's what we should be doing!

Finally...

Bring it on!

Resisting further innovation will simply entrench today's incumbents and will recreate the old stifling vertically bundled carriage monopolies of the telephone era!

And at that point we've lost everything!

