
The Resolvers We Use

Geoff Huston APNIC

One of those wtf moments...


Like-vs-like: unsigned vs signed



This figure was produced as part of some related work that was measuring the additional time penalty that would apply when the name being resolved was signed using DNSSEC.

One of those wtf moments...

Like-vs-like: unsigned vs signed




This figure was produced as part of some related work that was measuring the additional time penalty that would apply when the name being resolved was signed using DNSSEC.

One surprising observation here is that for 25 to of the world's users a simple uncached DNS name is not resolved in a single query

Some 6+ of internet users use resolvers that take more than 2 seconds to complete the resolution function

One of those wtf moments...

Like-vs-like: unsigned vs signed



This figure was produced as part of some relative work that was solved suring the additional time penalty that would apply when the name being resolved was signed using DNSSEC.

One surprising observation here is that for 25 to of the world's users a simple uncached DNS name is not resolved in a single query

Some 6 + of internet

users use resolvers that
take more than 2
seconds to complete the
resolution function

And that leads to...

- It appears that what we think about how the DNS works and how folk actually are using the DNS is not well aligned
- We all think we understand how DNS resolution works in terms of the interchange of DNS protocol elements
 - But the performance of DNS name resolution depends on a number of additional factors, both in terms of the users' choice of resolvers and the name admin's choice of authoritative servers

But...

The issue is more than just a question of unexpected poor performance of name resolution.

There's more to this...

Why is DNS resolution data valuable?

- Almost everything we do on the Internet starts with a DNS name resolution operation
- DNS resolver query logs contain a rich vein of real time information about what users do
 - query logs and can be analyzed to infer information about the users themselves through the names that their applications resolve
 - query logs contains indirect pointers that can be used to derive aggregate aspects of users' demographics, preferences, purchases, etc

Information Leaks

The question of where your DNS query traffic is being sent is also a question of whether you are leaking a real time trail of your online activities

Which leads to an interesting question about today's Internet:

- To what extent is this DNS resolution data stream "leaked" outward?
 - Across network boundaries?
 - Across national boundaries?
- This second form of information leakage is "interesting"

While many national regimes include regulations concerning personally identifying data, its not clear if these regulations extend these same protections to aliens who are not citizens of the country where the information is held

Measuring the Internet via its Users

At APNIC we've been using online ads to measure the user's view of the Internet for some years

- We ask users to fetch a unique URL
- This involves a DNS resolution and a HTTP GET to our servers
- So we collect sets of DNS queries and WEB queries
- To see
 - how we are doing with the IPv6 transition
 - where DNSSEC validation is being used
 - And similar

Users and Resolvers

These data sets also allow us to match

 the IP address of the resolver that queries the authoritative name server (the "visible resolver")

to

the IP address of the client agent that retrieves the URL

Some Numbers

Using data collected across 2014 (Jan-Dec): 104,986,719 individual sample points 404,705 unique resolver IP addresses

This "raw" data is skewed to the ad placement algorithms we used, so we then re-weighted the raw numbers in each country to align to the relativities of the Internet user population in each country. This provides us with a view that does not over-represent certain countries in the data

Top 25 Resolvers

```
Rank Resolver
                   Use
1 74.125.189.20 962,729 0.42%
2 74.125.189.16 961,207 0.42%
 3 74.125.189.23 960,124 0.42%
4 74.125.189.17 959,964 0.42%
5 74.125.189.21 959,915 0.42%
6 74.125.189.19 959,060 0.42%
7 74.125.189.18 958,675 0.42%
8 74.125.189.22 958,597 0.42%
9 74.125.41.81 879,019 0.39%
10 74.125.41.82 877,909 0.39%
11 74.125.41.84 876,049 0.39%
12 74.125.41.83 875,978 0.39%
13 74.125.41.80 875,355 0.39%
14 74.125.41.16 862,749 0.38%
15 74.125.41.17 862,407 0.38%
16 74.125.41.18 861,868 0.38%
17 74.125.41.20 861,713 0.38%
18 74.125.41.19 861,538 0.38%
19 74.125.16.82 731,702 0.32%
20 74.125.16.81 730,670 0.32%
21 74.125.16.84 730,328 0.32%
22 74.125.16.80 730,098 0.32%
23 74.125.16.83 729,540 0.32%
24 74.125.41.145 669,941 0.30%
25 74.125.41.147 669,081 0.29%
```

Top 25 Resolvers

```
Rank Resolver
                   Use
1 74.125.189.20 962,729 0.42%
2 74.125.189.16 961,207 0.42%
 3 74.125.189.23 960,124 0.42%
4 74.125.189.17 959,964 0.42%
5 74.125.189.21 959,915 0.42%
6 74.125.189.19 959,060 0.42%
7 74.125.189.18 958,675 0.42%
8 74.125.189.22 958,597 0.42%
9 74.125.41.81 879,019 0.39%
                 877,909 0.39%
10 74.125.41.82
11 74.125.41.84 876,049 0.39%
12 74.125.41.83 875,978 0.39%
                 875,355 0.39%
13 74.125.41.80
14 74.125.41.16 862,749 0.38%
                 862,407 0.38%
15 74.125.41.17
16 74.125.41.18
                 861,868 0.38%
17 74.125.41.20 861,713 0.38%
                 861,538 0.38%
18 74.125.41.19
19 74.125.16.82 731,702 0.32%
20 74.125.16.81 730,670 0.32%
21 74.125.16.84
                 730,328 0.32%
22 74.125.16.80
                 730,098 0.32%
23 74.125.16.83 729,540 0.32%
24 74.125.41.145 669,941 0.30%
25 74.125.41.147 669,081 0.29%
```

This list looks pretty strange!

Top Resolvers

```
Rank Resolver
                                  Origin AS
                    Use
 1 74.125.189.20 962,729 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
 2 74.125.189.16 961,207 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
 3 74.125.189.23 960,124 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
 4 74.125.189.17 959,964 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
 5 74.125.189.21 959,915 0.42%
 6 74.125.189.19 959,060 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
 7 74.125.189.18 958,675 0.42%
                                  15169 - Google
 8 74.125.189.22 958,597 0.42%
                 879,019 0.39%
                                  15169 - Google
 9 74.125.41.81
10 74.125.41.82
                  877,909 0.39%
                                  15169 - Google
11 74.125.41.84
                 876,049 0.39%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
12 74.125.41.83
                  875,978 0.39%
  74.125.41.80
                 875,355 0.39%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
   74.125.41.16
                 862,749 0.38%
   74.125.41.17
                  862,407 0.38%
                                  15169 - Google
                  861,868 0.38%
                                  15169 - Google
   74.125.41.18
                                  15169 - Google
                 861,713 0.38%
   74.125.41.20
                 861,538 0.38%
   74.125.41.19
                                  15169 - Google
  74.125.16.82
                  731,702 0.32%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
  74.125.16.81
                 730,670 0.32%
                 730,328 0.32%
                                  15169 - Google
   74.125.16.84
  74.125.16.80
                 730,098 0.32%
                                  15169 - Google
                                  15169 - Google
23 74.125.16.83
                 729,540 0.32%
24 74.125.41.145 669,941 0.30%
                                  15169 - Google
25 74.125.41.147 669,081 0.29%
                                  15169 - Google
```

When we add origin AS it gets a little clearer

These resolvers are part of Google's Public DNS resolver farms that support 8.8.8.8 and 8.8.4.4 - they are the fetch slaves

So we need a different counting approach -- what if we group all resolvers by their AS?

Top Resolvers by Origin AS

```
Share Cumulative
Rank AS
                                            AS Name
             Count
                      34.24%  34.24%  GOOGLE - Google Inc., US
    15169 77,752,963
1
2
     4134 7,515,050
                       3.31%
                              37.55% CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street, CN
                              40.04% HINET Data Communication Business Group, TW
 3
     3462
          5,651,005
                       2.49%
 4
     3356 5,544,822
                       2.44%
                              42.48% LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
 5
     6147 5,123,169
                       2.26%
                              44.74% Telefonica del Peru S.A.A., PE
    16880 4,120,210
                       1.81%
                              46.55% AS2-TRENDMICRO-COM - TREND MICRO INCORPORATED, US
7
     8151 4,090,436
                       1.80%
                              48.35% Uninet S.A. de C.V., MX
8
     7470 3,388,845
                       1.49%
                              49.85% TRUEINTERNET-AS-AP TRUE INTERNET CO., Ltd., TH
9
                       1.39%
                              51.23% CHINA169-BACKBONE CNCGROUP China169 Backbone, CN
     4837 3,150,429
10
     9121 2,958,671
                       1.30%
                              52.54% TTNET Turk Telekomunikasyon Anonim Sirketi,TR
11
     7922 2,808,303
                              53.77% COMCAST-7922 - Comcast Cable Communications, Inc., US
                       1.24%
12
     9299 2,719,648
                       1.20%
                              54.97% IPG-AS-AP Philippine Long Distance Telephone Company, PH
13
     4766 2,595,704
                       1.14%
                              56.11% KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom, KR
14
    45758 2,408,824
                       1.06%
                              57.17% TRIPLETNET-AS-AP TripleT Bangkok, TH
                       0.91%
                              58.09%
15
    36692 2,075,246
                                      OPENDNS - OpenDNS, LLC,US
16
                              58.90% HANARO-AS Hanaro Telecom Inc., KR
     9318
           1,839,866
                       0.81%
17
     8048 1,740,434
                       0.77%
                              59.67% CANTV Servicios, Venezuela, VE
     3786 1,675,723
18
                       0.74%
                              60.40%
                                      LGDACOM LG DACOM Corporation, KR
                              61.14% TOTNET-TH-AS-AP TOT Public Company Limited, TH
           1,662,131
19
     9737
                       0.73%
           1,634,966
                       0.72%
                              61.86% EPM Telecomunicaciones S.A. E.S.P.,CO
20
    13489
    17974 1,554,658
21
                       0.68%
                              62.54% TELKOMNET-AS2-AP PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia,ID
22
     7643 1,487,808
                       0.66%
                              63.20% VNPT-AS-VN Vietnam Posts and Telecommunications, VN
23
                       0.62% 63.82% Telecom Argentina S.A., AR
     7303 1,414,687
24
    19994 1,357,249
                       0.60%
                              64.42% RACKSPACE - Rackspace Hosting, US
           1,272,774
25
     8708
                       0.56% 64.98%
                                      RCS-RDS RCS & RDS SA, RO
```

Top Resolvers by AS


```
Share Cumulative
Rank AS
              Count
                                              AS Name
                        34.24%
                                34.24% GOOGLE - Google Inc.,US
     15169 77,752,963
1
 2
           7,515,050
                         3.31% B7.55% CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street, CN
      4134
                                40.04% HINET Data Communication Business Group, TW
 3
      3462
            5,651,005
      3356
           5.544.822
                         2.44%
                                42.48% LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
                                44.74% Telefonica del Peru S.A.A., PE
      6147 5,123,169
                         2.26%
                       1381% 46.55% AS2-TRENDMICRO-CON - TREND MICRO INCORPORATED, US 1318 dook $ 5 protty Strange., MX
 8
                                49.85% TRUEINTERNET-AS-AP TRUE INTERNET CO., Ltd., TH
      4837 3,150,429 1.39% 51.23% CHINA169-BACKBONE CNCGROUP china169 Backbone, CN 91 The problem is that resolver farms amplify in their eti, TR
9
10
11
      Presence in this list because they splay multiple elephone Company, PH
12
     1 instances of the same query across slave angkok, The
13
14
     36 resolvers 6
15
16
17
18
19
                                        TOTNET-TH-AS-AP TOT Public Company Limited, TH
20
     13489
            1,634,966
                         0.72%
                                61.86% EPM Telecomunicaciones S.A. E.S.P.,CO
21
     17974
          1,554,658
                         0.68%
                                62.54% TELKOMNET-AS2-AP PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia,ID
22
                        0.66%
                                63.20% VNPT-AS-VN Vietnam Posts and Telecommunications, VN
      7643 1,487,808
23
                                63.82% Telecom Argentina S.A., AR
      7303 1,414,687
                        0.62%
     19994 1,357,249
                                64.42% RACKSPACE - Rackspace Hosting, US
24
                        0.60%
25
      8708
            1,272,774
                        0.56%
                                64.98% RCS-RDS RCS & RDS SA,RO
```

Top Resolvers by AS - filtered to initial query

```
Rank AS
                 AS Name
          Use
 1 15169 9.91% GOOGLE - Google Inc., US
 2 4134 9.53% CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street, CN
 3 4837 5.95% CHINA169-BACKBONE CNCGROUP China169 Backbone, CN
 4 7922 1.67% COMCAST-7922 - Comcast Cable Communications, Inc., US
 5 36692 1.32% OPENDNS - OpenDNS, LLC, US
 6 8151 1.27% Uninet S.A. de C.V., MX
 7 9829 1.17% BSNL-NIB National Internet Backbone.IN
 8 4713 1.13% OCN NTT Communications Corporation, JP
 9 3320 1.02% DTAG Deutsche Telekom AG, DE
10 10753 0.93% LVLT-10753 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
11 4812 0.92% CHINANET-SH-AP China Telecom (Group), CN
12 4813 0.90% BACKBONE-GUANGDONG-AP China Telecom(Group), CN
13 4766 0.86% KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom, KR
14 28573 0.84% NET Servicos de Comunicatio S.A..BR
15 4808 0.76% CHINA169-BJ CNCGROUP IP network China169 Beijing Province Network, CN
16 24560 0.75% AIRTELBROADBAND-AS-AP Bharti Airtel Ltd.. Telemedia Services.IN
17 3215 0.72% AS3215 Orange S.A., FR
18 701 0.71% UUNET - MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business, US
19 9121 0.64% TTNET Turk Telekomunikasyon Anonim Sirketi,TR
20 8452
         0.63% TE-AS TE-AS,EG
21 9394
          0.62% CTTNET China TieTong Telecommunications Corporation, CN
22 9808 0.60% CMNET-GD Guangdong Mobile Communication Co.Ltd., CN
23 6713 0.57% IAM-AS,MA
24 6830 0.56% LGI-UPC Liberty Global Operations B.V., EU
25 18881 0.55% Global Village Telecom, BR
```


Resolver Distribution

Cumulative Distribution of Users to Resolvers



Resolver Distribution

Cumulative Distribution of Users to Resolvers



Who's Resolving "Locally" and Who's Not?

Let's filter this data by removing all entries where the user and the visible resolver are located within the same network (same AS)

Which non-local resolvers are being used?

Non-Local (AS) Resolution: Top Resolvers (by AS)

```
Rank AS
           Use
                          AS Name
1 15169 2,494,244 36.87% GOOGLE - Google Inc.,US
2 36692
          332,762 4.92% OPENDNS - OpenDNS, LLC,US
3 10753
          233,568 3.45% LVLT-10753 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
4 4813
          227,137 3.36% BACKBONE-GUANGDONG-AP China Telecom(Group),CN
5 7132
          126,454
                  1.87% SBIS-AS - AT&T Internet Services.US
6 6713
          116,586
                  1.72% IAM-AS,MA
7 4134
          104,840
                  1.55% CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street, CN
8 18209
          86,197
                  1.27% BEAMTELE-AS-AP Beam Telecom Pvt Ltd, IN
9 4837
           75.780
                  1.12% CHINA169-BACKBONE CNCGROUP China169 Backbone, CN
10 4808
                  1.12% China169 China Unicom Beijing Province Network, CN
           75,497
                  1.09% Reliance Communications Ltd.DAKC MUMBAI,IN
11 18101
           73.760
12 20746
           68,061
                  1.01% ASN-IDC Telecomitalia s.p.a.,IT
13 3786
           65,025
                  0.96% LGDACOM LG DACOM Corporation, KR
14 9394
                  0.96% CTTNET China TieTong Telecommunications Corporation, CN
           64.963
                  0.82% TWCABLE-BACKBONE - Time Warner Cable Internet LLC,US
15 7843
           55,329
16 17621
           54.542
                  0.81% CNCGROUP-SH China Unicom Shanghai network.CN
17 17816
           52,618 0.78% China Unicom China169 Guangdong province,CN
18 7643
           48.236 0.71% VNPT-AS-VN Vietnam Posts and Telecommunications (VNPT).VN
19 5713
           46,887
                  0.69% SAIX-NET,ZA
20 23724
           42,281
                  0.62% CHINANET-IDC-BJ-AP IDC, China Telecommunications Corporation, CN
21 3356
           40.161 0.59% LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
22 7470
           39,916
                  0.59% TRUEINTERNET-AS-AP TRUE INTERNET CO., Ltd., TH
23 2914
           36,238 0.54% NTT-COMMUNICATIONS-2914 - NTT America, Inc., US
24 58466
           35,730 0.53% CT-GUANGZHOU-IDC CHINANET Guangdong province network,CN
           34,897 0.52% CHINANET-IDC-SN China Telecom (Group), CN
25 4835
```

Total: 27% of total end users

Who's using "Foreign" Resolvers?

Let's apply a further filter and look only at those instances where the IP address of the end users and that of the resolvers that they are using are geo-located in *different countries*

Foreign Resolution: Top Resolvers by AS

```
Rank AS
                             AS Name
           Use
 1 15169 2,501,732 67.00% GOOGLE - Google Inc.,US
            280,737 7.52% OPENDNS - OpenDNS, LLC,US
    36692
            205,897
                      5.51% LVLT-10753 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
    10753
                      1.80% LGI-UPC Liberty Global Operations B.V.,AT
 4
    6830
           67,353
     3356
             30,737
                      0.82% LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
             28,814
                      0.77% NTT-COMMUNICATIONS-2914 - NTT America, Inc., US
     2914
 7
     1273
             26,120
                      0.70% CW Cable and Wireless Worldwide plc,GB
     9050
             23,194
                      0.62% RTD ROMTELECOM S.A.RO
                      0.48% COGENT-174 - Cogent Communications, US
      174
             18,097
10 198605
             16,564
                      0.44% AVAST-AS-DC AVAST Software a.s.,CZ
11 30689
             16,483
                      0.44% FLOW-NET - FLOW, JM
12
     3257
             15,298
                      0.41% TINET-BACKBONE Tinet SpA, DE
13 29791
             14,078
                      0.38% VOXEL-DOT-NET - Voxel Dot Net, Inc., US
             12.961
14 13238
                      0.35% YANDEX Yandex LLC.RU
15 35838
             10,761
                      0.29% CCANET CCANET Limited, GB
16 35074
             10.591
                      0.28% COBRANET-AS Cobranet Limited.LB
17 42523
             10,575
                      0.28% PLATINIUM-AS Platinium Star TV SRL, RO
            9,878
18 13210
                      0.26% ASE ACADEMIA DE STUDII ECONOMICE, RO
19 36351
              9,748
                      0.26% SOFTLAYER - SoftLayer Technologies Inc., US
20
    6939
              9,221
                      0.25% HURRICANE - Hurricane Electric, Inc., US
21 37204
              8,897
                      0.24% TELONE, ZW
22
    3462
              8,761
                      0.23% HINET Data Communication Business Group, TW
23 13127
                      0.21% VERSATEL Tele 2 Nederland B.V., NL
              7,710
24
    30607
              7,137
                      0.19% 302-DIRECT-MEDIA-ASN - 302 Direct Media LLC,US
25
     6663
              6,813
                      0.18% TTI-NET Euroweb Romania SA,RO
```

Total: 15% of total end users

Countries with users that have the lowest foreign resolution counts

CC	%	Foreign	Domestic	Country
KR	1.52	6,922	448,705	Republic of Korea
UY	2.96	571	18,715	Uruguay
CN	3.29	19,3273	5,673,988	China
PF	3.35	50	1,460	French Polynesia
LT	3.56	743	20,179	Lithuania
JP	3.68	40,465	1,058,919	Japan
QA	3.82	675	17,009	Qatar
HR	4.22	1,140	25,851	Croatia
FR	4.30	23,787	528,936	France
MN	4.53	180	3,797	Mongolia
FI	4.62	2,450	50,550	Finland
MT	4.64	148	3,061	Malta
GR	4.67	2,942	60,038	Greece
NZ	4.75	1,933	38,791	New Zealand
FM	4.83	12	247	Micronesia (Federated States of)
ΑE	4.96	4,061	77,743	United Arab Emirates
MD	5.04	722	13,627	Republic of Moldova
GE	5.12	762	14,133	Georgia
PT	5.13	3,297	60,940	Portugal
CL	5.38	5,498	96,718	Chile
PE	5.55	6,782	115,421	Peru
GY	5.60	153	2,583	Guyana
FO	5.61	24	412	Faroe Islands
SR	5.65	108	1,807	Suriname
SA	5.68	8,771	145,574	Saudi Arabia
EE	5.95	638	10,104	Estonia
BE	6.19	6,178	93,695	Belgium
IL	6.58	3,912	55,516	Israel
VE	7.23	9,909	127,117	Venezuela


Countries with highest foreign resolution counts

СС	%	Foreign	Domestic	Country
MQ	100.00	1,837	0	Martinique
DZ	98.91	61,171	673	Algeria
LR	98.56	1,443	21	Liberia
GF	98.07	781	15	French Guiana
AF	96.85	16,807	546	Afghanistan
BF	95.27	5,537	274	Burkina Faso
SO	94.66	1,422	80	Somalia
DJ	94.40	646	38	Djibouti
RE	93.38	3,153	223	Reunion
TD	91.00	2,542	251	Chad
GQ	90.19	897	97	Equatorial Guinea
CD	88.12	8,152	1,098	Democratic Republic of the Congo
IM	86.96	375	56	Isle of Man
GN	86.47	1,503	235	Guinea
SV	84.94	11,266	1,997	El Salvador
IR	84.88	154,305	27,487	Iran (Islamic Republic of)
ZW	78.64	20,042	5,444	Zimbabwe
CG	78.44	2,620	720	Congo
BN	77.37	2,023	591	Brunei Darussalam
SL	72.02	445	172	Sierra Leone
VN	68.04	243,186	114,206	Vietnam
NI	67.30	4,858	2,360	Nicaragua
NG	61.17	345,177	219,107	Nigeria
ΒZ	60.39	425	279	Belize
ZM	59.45	11,444	7,805	Zambia
NE	56.22	1,514	1,179	Niger
CY	55.27	3,992	3,231	Cyprus
SY	54.53	29,657	24,734	Syrian Arab Republic
BI	54.21	702	593	Burundi

Mapping the resolver spread

For each country can we show the distribution of the resolvers used by users located within that country?

Mapping Foreign Resolution- JP




Mapping Foreign Resolution- JP



DNS Resolution Distribution for Japan

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share
JP	Japan	1058919	96.32%
XX	Total Foreign DNS resolution	40402	3.68%
ZZ	Google Public DNS	27712	2.52%
<u>US</u>	United States of America	5656	0.51%
<u>SG</u>	Singapore	1666	0.15%
CN	China	1092	0.10%
KR	Republic of Korea	1047	0.10%
TW	Taiwan	583	0.05%
<u>AU</u>	Australia	508	0.05%
DE	Germany	327	0.03%
<u>ID</u>	Indonesia	318	0.03%
HK	Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China	257	0.02%
<u>GB</u>	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	224	0.02%
<u>TH</u>	Thailand	138	0.01%
FR	France	103	0.01%
EU	European Union	86	0.01%
<u>IL</u>	Israel	66	0.01%
CZ	Czech Republic	57	0.01%
MY	Malaysia	57	0.01%

Mapping Foreign Resolution - CN




% of foreign name resolution per country

Mapping Foreign Resolution - CN

DNS Resolution Distribution for China

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share	
CN	China	5673988	96.71%	
XX	Total Foreign DNS resolution	193220	3.29%	
7 Z	Google Public DNS	182953	3.12%	
<u>US</u>	United States of America	5603	0.10%	
TW	Taiwan	1694	0.03%	
KR	Republic of Korea	797	0.01%	31 / -
<u>HK</u>	Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China	632	0.01%	
<u>JP</u>	Japan	619	0.01%	A service of the serv
SG	Singapore	294	0.01%)
GB	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	92	0.00%	,,•
DE	Germany	89	0.00%	
FR	France	40	0.00%	
CA	Canada	37	0.00%	
<u>AU</u>	Australia	36	0.00%	
MY	Malaysia	30	0.00%	
DK	Denmark	28	0.00%	
<u>FI</u>	Finland	25	0.00%	
<u>SE</u>	Sweden	21	0.00%	
<u>AF</u>	Afghanistan	20	0.00%	
<u>EU</u>	European Union	19	0.00%	
CZ	Czech Republic	18	0.00%	3>
<u>NL</u>	Netherlands	18	0.00%	7
CH	Switzerland	17	0.00%	-
<u>AT</u>	Austria	15	0.00%	0.
IT	Italy	13	0.00%	

Mapping Foreign Resolution - IN



% of foreign name resolution per country


Mapping Foreign Resolution - IN

DNS Resolution Distribution for India

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share
IN	India	1088582	77.35%
XX	Total Foreign DNS resolution	318851	22.65%
ZZ	Google Public DNS	200298	14.23%
<u>US</u>	United States of America	104311	7.41%
GB	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	2966	0.21%
<u>JP</u>	Japan	1581	0.11%
SG	Singapore	1455	0.10%
RU	Russian Federation	1015	0.07%
NL	Netherlands	931	0.07%
FR	France	844	0.06%
DE	Germany	677	0.05%
KR	Republic of Korea	671	0.05%
CZ	Czech Republic	475	0.03%
TW	Taiwan	419	0.03%
AU	Australia	337	0.02%
<u>EU</u>	European Union	303	0.02%
<u>SE</u>	Sweden	286	0.02%
<u>HK</u>	Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China	240	0.02%
<u>DK</u>	Denmark	222	0.02%
CA	Canada	177	0.01%
GR	Greece	164	0.01%
<u>FI</u>	Finland	158	0.01%
<u>CH</u>	Switzerland	125	0.01%
<u>IE</u>	Ireland	112	0.01%
BE	Belgium	96	0.01%
<u>AF</u>	Afghanistan	95	0.01%
<u>ES</u>	Spain	86	0.01%
<u>IL</u>	Israel	85	0.01%
<u>AT</u>	Austria	67	0.00%
LK	Sri Lanka	65	0.00%

% of foreign name resolution per country

Mapping Foreign Resolution- US



Mapping Foreign Resolution- US


DNS Resolution Distribution for United States of America

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share
US	United States of America	2206667	89.16%
XX	Total Foreign DNS resolution	268218	10.84%
ZZ	Google Public DNS	198897	8.04%
<u>JM</u>	Jamaica	16621	0.67%
<u>IL</u>	Israel	7508	0.30%
GB	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	4657	0.19%
ES	Spain	4038	0.16%
DE	Germany	3849	0.16%
AR	Argentina	2489	0.10%
<u>VI</u>	United States Virgin Islands	1603	0.06%
CA	Canada	1493	0.06%
CN	China	1435	0.06%
SG	Singapore	1422	0.06%
BB	Barbados	1415	0.06%
<u>PK</u>	Pakistan	1195	0.05%
<u>IN</u>	India	1156	0.05%
PR	Puerto Rico	1099	0.04%
<u>NL</u>	Netherlands	1054	0.04%
<u>EU</u>	European Union	961	0.04%
FR	France	933	0.04%
<u>IT</u>	Italy	895	0.04%
SE	Sweden	815	0.03%
<u>ID</u>	Indonesia	757	0.03%
KR	Republic of Korea	754	0.03%

What About Google's Public DNS?


Use of DNSSEC Validation for World (XA)

14%



% of users who have their queries resolved by Google's PDNS service

Where is Google's Public DNS used?




Where is Google's Public DNS used?




DNS Resolution Distribution for Google Public DNS

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share
ZZ	Google Public DNS	0	0.00%
NG	Nigeria	210849	8.43%
<u>VN</u>	Vietnam	209941	8.39%
<u>IN</u>	India	200298	8.01%
<u>US</u>	United States of America	198897	7.95%
CN	China	182953	7.31%
BR	Brazil	150816	6.03%
RU	Russian Federation	80251	3.21%
<u>IR</u>	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	70363	2.81%
<u>ID</u>	Indonesia	69858	2.79%
TR	Turkey	65820	2.63%
<u>IT</u>	Italy	61907	2.47%
EG	Egypt	49062	1.96%
MY	Malaysia	48893	1.95%
DE	Germany	40543	1.62%
DZ	Algeria	38665	1.55%
PH	Philippines	36560	1.46%
<u>GB</u>	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	32555	1.30%
<u>MX</u>	Mexico	31736	1.27%
<u>TH</u>	Thailand	29410	1.18%
<u>JP</u>	Japan	27712	1.11%
SY	Syrian Arab Republic	27139	1.08%
BD	Bangladesh	26130	1.04%
KE	Kenya	25972	1.04%
ES	Spain	25473	1.02%
AR	Argentina	24673	0.99%
PL	Poland	24387	0.97%
<u>UA</u>	Ukraine	22710	0.91%
AZ	Azerbaijan	21829	0.87%
PK	Pakistan	19070	0.76%
ZA	South Africa	17130	0.68%
TW	Taiwan	15136	0.61%



Which Countries make extensive use of Google's PDNS?



% of users who direct queries to Google

DNS Resolution Distribution for Google Public DNS

CC	Country	Resolver Samples	Resolver Share
X	Google Public DNS	2501535	2501535/2501535 (0.00%)
Ţ	Sao Tome and Principe	365	365/443 (82.60%)
D	Democratic Republic of the Congo	6209	6209/9251 (67.12%)
<u>N</u>	Brunei Darussalam	1667	1667/2615 (63.77%)
<u>z</u>	Algeria	38665	38665/61844 (62.52%)
	Afghanistan	10733	10733/17354 (61.85%)
)	Somalia	922	922/1502 (61.40%)
2	Equatorial Guinea	605	605/994 (60.85%)
Į.	Vietnam	209941	209941/357392 (58.74%)
	Kiribati	66	66/114 (57.89%)
	Liberia	805	805/1465 (54.97%)
ļ.	Djibouti	371	371/685 (54.23%)
)	Chad	1508	1508/2793 (54.00%)
_	Syrian Arab Republic	27139	27139/54391 (49.90%)
	Lesotho	442	442/975 (45.41%)
2	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	228	228/515 (44.37%)
	Isle of Man	187	187/431 (43.48%)
	Burkina Faso	2494	2494/5787 (43.10%)
	Sierra Leone	263	263/617 (42.66%)
	Zambia	8103	8103/19249 (42.10%)
	Azerbaijan	21829	21829/52429 (41.64%)
	Gibraltar	86	86/208 (41.58%)
	Seychelles	176	176/442 (40.00%)
	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	70363	70363/181792 (38.71%)
	Niger	1031	1031/2694 (38.30%)
	British Virgin Islands	52	52/140 (37.50%)
3	Nigeria	210849	210849/564285 (37.37%)
Į.	Ghana	15068	15068/41339 (36.45%)
	Swaziland	912	912/2538 (35.96%)
	Lao People's Democratic Republic	2420	2420/6865 (35.26%)
	Eritrea	173	173/509 (34.09%)
	Burundi	438	438/1296 (33.79%)
	Reunion	1129	1129/3376 (33.44%)
	United Republic of Tanzania	6452	6452/19776 (32.63%)
	Belize	222	222/704 (31.63%)
	Zimbabwe	8027	8027/25482 (31.50%)
	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	60	60/203 (29.94%)
	Bangladesh	26130	26130/87384 (29.90%)
	Panama	5523	5523/18524 (29.82%)
	Mayotte	371	371/1254 (29.60%)
1	Turkmenistan	855	855/2926 (29.25%)
	Guadeloupe	239	239/843 (28.35%)
	Guam	296	296/1066 (27.82%)
2	Angola	10078	10078/36438 (27.66%)

.e 's direct queries to Google

Why is this happening?

At lot of this story is Google's Public DNS, which now has a "market share" of more than 10 % of the Internet's user population
User's efforts to circumvent content control via national DNS filtering measures
Also there is users' efforts to circumvent DNS-based geoloc content access controls (think Netflix)
3 rd party DNS query monitoring/stalking (yes, there is some of this going on, but that's a lightning talk for another time!)
Virus contamination of the host (yes, captured systems often show a redirected DNS config)
<insert favourite="" here="" theory="" your=""></insert>

Where is the DNS heading?

- Is the DNS under pressure to aggregate to ever larger resolvers and server farms?
- What is the economic model of name resolution in a highly aggregated environment? Will resolver operators turn to data mining of queries to generate revenue streams?
- Is it possible to reduce the information exposure while still using common resolver caches?
- What is the nature of the trade-off between resolution performance and information leakage in DNS resolution?

Thanks!