Geoff Huston April 2017

Up!

Far from being a vibrant environment with an array of competitive offerings, the activity of providing so-called "last mile" Internet access appears to have been reduced to an environment where, in many markets, a small number of access providers appear to operate in a manner that resembles a cosy cartel, strenuously resisting the imposition of harsher strictures of true competition. Mobile access continues to operate at a distinct price premium and the choices for broadband wireline access are all too often limited to just one or two providers. Is there another option? If we looked up into the sky are there potential services that could alter this situation?

From my own experience, satellites played an important role, the first Internet transmission services that connected the Australian Academic and Research Network to the Internet's infrastructure in the United States in the late 1980's used an INTELSAT satellite circuit. At that time, if you wanted an international data circuit, then a satellite-based service was pretty much all that was available. We are talking about a pre-Web Internet, and much of the academic and research traffic was carried in asynchronous message-passing protocols, so the issues around latency and throughput were not overly relevant at that time. As long as the circuit had enough capacity to drain the queued message backlog eventually, then it met our basic requirements. Of course, as with Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, once the basic requirement of connectivity was achieved, we moved on to wanting more, in this case we wanted both higher capacity and lower latency over the circuit. Once the first of the South Pacific undersea fibre systems was completed, linking Australia to the United States in 1995, the Internet was an early customer. The difference in the resulting service was truly stunning. Not only was there hundreds of megabits of capacity potentially available, but the round trip times for individual packet transactions across the Pacific shrunk from around two thirds of a second to under quarter of a second. It doesn't sound like much, but when waiting for a web page to load, the subjective difference was truly incredible. We were then hooked on speed, and we certainly never wanted to head back to satellite systems to cross the Pacific ever again. For us, and many others like us, the future of long haul intercontinental data transit was fibre. Whether it was under the seas or across the land, it was fibre that was our future.



[https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2016/11/spacex-plans-worldwide-satellite-internet-with-low-latency-gigabit-speed/]

But the satellite industry did not fade away. There is still a steady flow of new announcements of data services in the sky, and it seem as if space-based services are now positioning themselves to compete with terrestrial fibre systems on approximately equal terms.

There are a number of reasons for this. The first is an observation that there is a market niche that cannot be cost effectively filled by terrestrial cable-based solutions, where satellite systems appear to excel. Once the population density of a serviced area falls below approximately 10 people per km², then the per user cost of a terrestrial service starts to rise dramatically, while the per user cost of a satellite services remains roughly constant, making satellite an attractive proposition for low density rural and remote areas (Study by Communications Research Centre, Canada, reported in ITU News, 2006, http://bit.ly/2nqMAjw). While this is a result from a ten-year-old analysis, the underlying constraints that drive this outcome are still present. The cost of a fixed last mile service per served user rapidly escalates in rural and remote context where the population density is low. Satellite services require only the means to send and receive a signal from the spacecraft, and no other local infrastructure.

A second motivation concerns the continuing issues with the economics of last mile infrastructure in the Internet. The economics of installing a high-speed broadband infrastructure are proving challenging for the wireline folk, and many projects that intend to replace the copper-based telephone wiring with fibre systems continue to falter because of the high capital costs and anticipated low rates of return. In some markets, we've seen the mobile operators attempt to fill this gap with deployment of 4G systems, but they too have their issues with consistency of high speed performance under load, as well as the relatively higher retail cost of the service in many markets. Wouldn't it be advantageous to a new market entrant in access services to solve these issues by using an approach that completely avoided the costs of this terrestrial infrastructure completely?

Space-based communications systems have been around for many decades now. In the US, the initial forays by AT&T, RCA and Hughes Aircraft in satellite communications in the early 1960's caused some alarm within the Kennedy administration over the incipient privatisation of space, and in response the US created a public satellite corporation that was to be jointly owned by the public and by the major governmental contractors, called COMSAT. There was a strong European reaction to this move, and in response it was proposed to create a single world communications satellite system, 61% owned by COMSAT and the remainder owned by western European nations together with Canada, Japan and Australia. This corporate entity was called INTELSAT, and through the 1970s it launched a number of spacecraft into the geostationary orbital band to support a mix of international communications requirements, including television and telephone.

Various countries also launched spacecraft into slots in the same geostationary orbital band in the 1970's and 1980's, including Canada, France, Germany, Japan, the UK and India. This was similar in many ways to the very early years of computers: such high frontier projects were too big and way too important for mere private corporations to play in, and the space was dominated by national actors. This model was broken in 1988 with the launch of PanAmSat, a project intended to break the de facto INTELSAT monopoly. The longer term consequences in the ensuing decade included the dismantling the COMSAT monopoly, and privatising both INTELSAT and its maritime equivalent, INMARSAT. Space is now the realm of both public and private sectors, and there now are a variety of services and further plans to populate the space above the earth with communications satellites that compete not only with each other but directly with the terrestrial communications systems.

To look at this further, it's probably useful to take a small diversion into physics.

Physical Constraints

The speed of propagation of electromagnetic radiation, including light of course, through a vacuum is approximately 300,000 km/sec.

The speed of light in a vacuum, or the physical sciences constant c, is probably the most researched constant in all of science. According to Einstein's prediction about the speed of propagation of light within the general theory of relativity, the measured speed of light does not depend on the observer's frame of reference; the speed of light in a vacuum is a universal constant.

Estimates of the value of c have been undergoing refinement since 1638, when Galileo's estimate of "If not instantaneous, it is extraordinarily rapid" was published in *Two New Sciences*. The currently accepted value is 299,792.458 kilometres per second.

The speed of light in glass or fiber-optic cable is significantly slower, at approximately 194,865 kilometres per second.

The speed of propagation of electrical charge through a conductor is a related value; it, too, has been the subject of intense experimentation. Perhaps the most bizarre experiment was conducted in Paris, in April 1746, by Jean-Antoine Nollet. Using a snaking line of some 200 monks, connected by a mile-long iron wire, Nollet observed their reactions when he administered a powerful electric shock through the wire. The simultaneous screams of the monks demonstrated that, as far as Nollet could tell, voltage was transmitted through a conductor "instantaneously". Further scientific experimentation has managed to refine this estimate, and the current value of the speed of voltage propagation through a copper conductor is 224,844 kilometres per second, somewhat faster than the speed of light through fibre-optic cable.

The speed of light through the earth's atmosphere is a little slower, but when you guide this same photon through a piece of doped glass, as you find in a fibre optic cable, it is slowed down to some two thirds of this original speed. The speed of electrical propagation of a change along an electrical conductor has a number of variables, and the outcome is typically somewhere 0.75 and 0.9 times the speed of light in a vacuum. So, in very general terms, fibre is one of the slower transmission media, electrical propagation through a conductor is generally faster, and the fastest is direct propagation by light through a vacuum, or more generically, by electro-magnetic radiation propagation (or radio waves) through a vacuum.

Now we need to introduce a bit of conventional Newtonian physics. The thought experiment is relatively simple: if you fire a projectile with sufficient speed it will not fall back to earth. If you fire it at a speed greater than the earth's "escape velocity" (11.2 km/s) it will head away from the earth, never to return. If you reduce the speed slightly the projectile will be caught by the earth's gravity and try to fall back to earth. If you also incline the aiming trajectory and if you fire the object sufficiently quickly then instead of falling back to each, it will settle into an orbit around the earth. The orbital speed relative to the earth is a function of the altitude of the object. At very high altitudes, such as the moon, the orbital period is slower than the earth's rotation, while at very low altitudes the orbital period is down to hours.



Newton's Cannon, Principia Mathematica (digitized by Google)

That implies that there is a mid-spot where the orbital period is the same as the earth's rotation. If you launch a satellite to an altitude of 37,568 km above the equator, orbiting in the same direction as the earth on the equatorial plane, then from the earth the spacecraft appears to sit in a stationary position when observed from the earth's surface. This means that earth-based satellite transmission and receiving equipment can be aligned towards a fixed position in the sky, rather than requiring tracking through constant realignment. But 37,568km is a long way up, and while the speed of propagation is now close to the speed of light, the distance from the earth to the spacecraft and back is now some 72,000 - 80,000 km, depending on the position of the earth stations relative to the spacecraft.

Even at the speed of light through space, it takes some time for a signal to travel from the earth to the geostationary orbiting spacecraft and back. For example, for ground stations at latitudes of 45° on the same meridian as the satellite, the time taken for a signal to pass from Earth to the satellite and back again is approximately 260ms.

An early use case for satellite communication was to support voice communications. But with a latency of approximately one quarter of a second between the speaker and the listener, this introduced some further issues.

For voice, human perception studies noted that once the latency in communications passes beyond some 250ms we tend to talk over each other, and need to revert to an explicit protocol to inform the other party we have stopped speaking.

For data transactions, these human factors should recede in importance. After all the protocols that control an end-to-end data flow can be tolerant of these end-to-end delays and still ensure that the circuit can be used efficiently. But when we look at human use of network applications, we are normally looking at the time from initiating a transaction to the time of completion. In the context of the web we are talking about the time from clicking on a link to the page load. This time typically involves several preliminary transactions, including the resolution of domain names to IP addresses, a transport layer synchronisation handshake, and the negotiation of transport layer session encryption. Clearly, it's not a single round trip time, but somewhere between four and eight such intervals. What would seem fast on a fibre-based route with a one-way delay of couple of hundredths of a second may seem to be glacially slow over a route that entails a hop via a geostationary satellite with a one way delay of a quarter of a second or more.

The perennial question for satellite-based communications is: How can we reduce this latency? The speed of light is a constant, and the physical dimensions of the earth are largely immutable, so if we want to reduce the time it takes for a signal to pass from earth to the spacecraft and back, then one of the few remaining variables is to reduce the orbital radius of the spacecraft.

There is no clear boundary between Earth's atmosphere and space, as the density of the atmosphere gradually decreases as the altitude increases. A conventional definition used in ingternational treaties is the so-called Kármán line, which is the altitude of 100 km above sea level. At that altitude, a vehicle would need to travel faster than orbital velocity to derive sufficient atmospheric lift to sustain its

altitude. In other words, above this height an object is no longer flying using atmospheric lift, but orbiting.

Let's look at these orbital zones in a bit more detail.



[By Rrakanishu - Own work, GFDL, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=4189737]

Low Earth Orbit

An orbiting spacecraft needs to be positioned at least 160km above the surface of the earth or it will encounter significant drag from the top of the earth's atmosphere and its orbit will quickly decay, with an inevitable result. Above this altitude, it is viable to position orbiting spacecraft without needing to provide large quantities of continual propulsion (although some residual drag is experienced in orbital altitudes up to 500km or so). For example, the International Space Station orbits at an altitude 400km, with an orbital period of some 90 minutes.

There are several advantages to a LEO orbit for communications satellites. It requires less energy to place a spacecraft into a LEO orbit. Because the distance to the earth is so much shorter, the spacecraft can use less powerful signal amplifiers, so it can be smaller and lighter, reducing launch costs and reducing the amount of propellant needed to manoeuvre the craft when in orbit. From the earth side, it is possible to use relatively small antennae to communicate with the spacecraft from the earth's surface. Communications with a spacecraft in a LEO orbit can be extremely fast. If you were directly under a LEO, the time to send a signal from and from the spacecraft can be as low as some 3ms.

However, to create a continuous service it is necessary to launch a constellation of such spacecraft, as each individual satellite in a LEO orbit will be travelling quickly across the sky relative to a fixed point on the earth. The closer the orbit to the earth the faster each spacecraft will need to travel, and the greater the number of satellites needed to provide continuous service to any point on the earth.

At the upper parts of this Orbital group there is the Van Allen belt, a region that contains high concentrations of electrons in the range of hundreds of keV and energetic protons with energies exceeding 100 MeV, trapped by the earth's magnetic fields in the region. This has the potential to damage the solar panels and electronics carried on spacecraft, and ultimately to disable the unit.

Medium Earth Orbit

There is a family of orbital distances collectively referred to as "Medium Earth Orbits" or MEOs. This covers the range of altitudes from 2,000 kilometres to the geostationary orbital altitude of 35,768 km. At the low end of this range, 2,000 km, the orbital period is around 2 hours, and the time to pass a signal up to the space craft and back is some 13ms if you are located directly under the spacecraft.

A single spacecraft orbiting in this orbital range would only be visible for some of the time: the space craft would appear to rise above the horizon, track across the sky and set below the opposite horizon.

Receiving signals from spacecraft orbiting at this altitude is possible with handheld devices, as illustrated by GPS positioning services in many handheld devices, but sending to it may not be as simple as using a handheld device with a small antenna. Spacecraft in a MEO orbital band would normally need to be tracked if you are using some form of dish to communicate with it by sending directed radio signals to it.

For a continuous service at this altitude you would still need a set of spacecraft, so that as one sets below the horizon, another spacecraft is already visible, but this set would be smaller than what is needed for a LEO constellation to provide continuous service.

The higher the altitude the longer the orbital period, which, in turn reduces the number of spacecraft required to support a continuously available service. For example, the GPS positioning system uses a set of 31 spacecraft orbiting at some 20,200km, Glonass, the Global Navigation System uses 24 orbiting spacecraft at an altitude of 19,100 km and the Galileo positioning system is positioned in a MEO band at an altitude of 23,222 km. The transmission time to bounce a signal off the spacecraft at that altitude is around 140ms.

This zone encompasses the inner and outer Van Allen belts, which are belts of energetic charged particles that are trapped into an earth orbit due to the earth's magnetic field. The good news is that these belts protect the earth's atmosphere from being blown away by solar radiation (as appears to have happened to Mars once it's inner core solidified). The not so good news for satellites is that orbiting in this belt is like wandering through a firing range – there is always the possibility the sensitive electronics are damaged by a strike from one of these energetic particles. The outer belt is less dense, but the particles can have significantly higher energy levels. Beyond the Van Allen belts spacecraft encounter far higher levels of risk of damage from cosmic rays and solar radiation. A region between the inner and outer Van Allen belts lies approximately between 12,000 to 24,000 km in altitude which has a lower incidence of such energetic particles. The belts fluctuate in size and shape due to changes in the levels of solar radiation, and the earth itself acts as a shield, so that the belt in more compressed facing towards the sun and extends further out on the 'dark' side of the earth.

Geostationary Earth Orbit

As already noted, the orbital band at an altitude of 35,768 km has the unique property that the period of orbit is the same as the period of rotation of the earth about its own axis. If the spacecraft is orbiting on the equatorial plane in the same direction as the earth, then the spacecraft will appear to occupy a fixed position in the sky. This means that the earth antennae can be mounted on a fixed pedestal, aimed at a constant position in the sky.

There are some 250 spacecraft positioned in geostationary orbital slots. Some of these satellites are separated from each other by as little as one tenth of a degree longitude, which corresponds to an intersatellite spacing of approximately 73 km. The major consideration for spacing of geostationary satellites is the beamwidth at-orbit of uplink transmitters, which are positioned 35,768 km away, which is primarily a factor of the size and stability of the uplink dish, as well as what frequencies the satellite's transponders receive. Satellites with non-overlapping frequency allocations can be positioned much closer together.

There have been efforts by various countries located under the equator to claim the geostationary slots directly overhead as some part of their territorial domain, but these claims have not managed to gain any credence in the international community. The population of the geostationary orbital space, and the spectrum allocations used by these spacecraft are coordinated through the Space Services Division of the International Telecommunications Union (ITU), part of the ITU's Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R).

Radio Frequencies

As well as orbital altitude, there is the consideration of the radio frequency used to communicate with the spacecraft.

There are a number of frequency bands used to communicate with satellites:

VHF	Very High Frequency	30 - 300 MHz
UHF	Ultra High Frequency	0.3 - 1 GHz
L Band	Long Wave Band	1 - 2 Ghz
S Band	Short Wave Band	2 - 4 Ghz
C Band		4 - 8 Ghz
Ku Band		10-18 Ghz
Ka Band		26-49 GHz

Specialised services have been allocated some of these frequencies, such as the use of S Band for deep space and research activities, of L band for GPS and meteorological observational spacecraft. Satellite services commonly use C Band and Ku Band, and, more recently, Ka Band.

Higher frequencies can take advantage of smaller high gain antennas. For example, a C Band antenna requires 16 times the surface area of a Ka band antenna to produce the same amount of gain. The higher frequency channels also encompass a broader frequency range, allowing for systems with higher bit rates. These factors would tend to favour the higher frequency bands.

However, these frequencies have different rain absorption properties. The amount of attenuation increases as the density of the rain increases and as the wavelength of the electromagnetic wave approaches the size of a typical raindrop. The wavelength is equal to the speed of light $(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})$ divided by the frequency, while the average diameter of a raindrop is about 1.5 mm. At the downlink C-band frequency of 4 GHz, the wavelength is 75 mm. Thus, the wavelength is 50 times the average diameter of a raindrop and the waves pass through the rain virtually without interaction.

In the Ku-band, with downlink frequency of 12 GHz, the wavelength is 25 mm. Here the ratio of wavelength to raindrop diameter is still fairly large, but is one-third the value at C-band. Consequently, there is an interaction that can result in a noticeable absorption of energy. The received carrier power will decrease and the bit error rate of a digital signal will increase.

At the Ka-band downlink frequency of 20 GHz, the wavelength is 15 mm. At this frequency, the wavelength is only 10 times the size of a raindrop. Therefore, there is a significant exchange of energy between the passing electromagnetic waves and the rain and a corresponding significant attenuation of the satellite signal. As the wavelength approaches 1.5 mm, the attenuation continues to increase and theoretically becomes maximum at a frequency of 200 GHz. What this implies is that to achieve the same availability parameters as a C band system, a KA band system would need to use a far larger antenna in order to overcome rain absorption.

The C band encompasses some 4Ghz of spectrum, Ku band encompasses 8Ghz and Ka band encompasses 23Ghz. The major advantage of Ka band is the higher potential bandwidth per channel. The combination of low orbital planes, a large array of spot beams and Ka band allows for very high capacity space-based systems.

Selected Current and Future Satellite Communications Systems

GEOs

Intelsat is one of the more venerable systems. It started in 1964 as an intergovernmental organisation intended to provide space-based services, and provided voice trunk services to telcos as well as television distribution services. The organisation was privatised in 2001.

Intelsat operate a constellation of 54 spacecraft located in geostationary orbital slots, operating predominately in the C and Ku bands, with a small complement of Ka band transceivers. The model of operation is a simple "up-and-back" model, linking earth stations via a common satellite. There is no inter-satellite communications in this model.

In many ways, Intelsat services compete with long haul terrestrial trunk fibre systems, providing wholesale connectivity services between two earth stations. They cannot service the polar regions as there is no clear line of sight. They use targeted spot beams as a means of increasing the amount of spectrum reuse by the spacecraft.



Intelsat 31 being prepared for launch www.intelsat.com

Inmarsat has a similar inter-governmental background. The organization was established in 1979 at the behest of the International Maritime Organization (IMO), the United Nations' maritime body. The organisation was created to establish and operate a satellite communications network for the maritime community on the high seas. Inmarsat was privatized in 1999, and acquired Stratos in 2009. They operate 12, soon to be 13, satellites in geostationary orbital slots.

Unlike Intelsat, Inmarsat provide services directly to handset users, and provide both telephony and medium capacity data services using a 492Kbps shared data channel. The most recent spacecraft, the 3, soone to be 4, Inmarsat-5 satellites, operate Ka band services using 89 spot beams and 6 fully steerable beams. The use of Ka band allows a download data rate of some 60Mbps to a 60 cm earth dish. They also plan to use this service to support a Ka band service to downlink to airplanes.

They have a range of services that have evolved from the orginal satellite phone, and they now support a "Broadband Global Area Network, an IP network that is accessible from all non-polar parts of the planet. They have services that include higher data rates, data bursts (such as used in remote sensing), and a message service similar in nature to the SMS mobile messaging services. The Inmarsat terminal devices are not quite handheld, as they require slightly larger antenna.

The relative advantages of this approach are that a small number of spacecraft are needed to provide global coverage, and if you have line of sigh to a spacecraft then the only other issue is that of rainfade, depending on the frequency being used.

The disadvantage is that these are large spacecraft, at high obit, of the launch costs are high, and the distance from the earth generally requires larger antennae for a resilient service. The satellites only operate in up-and-down mode, and typically connect a remote terminal to a gateway station services from a single spacecraft.



Inmarsat Global Xpress Credit: Boeing

There are numerous other spacecraft positioned in geostationary orbital slots, used for broadcasting, meteorological observation, communications, and military purposes. A list of spacecraft positioned in geostationary orbit can be found on Wikipedia

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_satellites_in_geosynchronous_orbit)

MEOs

There are a number of systems in MEO orbits, including the L Band services of GPS, Galileo, Compass, and Glonass.

A recently announced entrant in the MEO orbital space is the **Laser Light Network**. This has not been launched yet, but is intended to operate in a manner similar to that of Iridium, where each spacecraft is capable of communicating both with earth systems and with each other by laser signalling. The network is to be based on 8 - 12 spacecraft in a MEO orbit, and each spacecraft will be equipped with 48 200Gpbs inter-spacecraft links, and 72 100Gbps steerable up/down service links to earth. As is evident from this quick summary, it is yet to launch a service.

One recent MEO service that has launched is **O3B**. O3B uses a constellation of 12 satellites in a MEO orbital slot in the equatorial plane at an altitude of 8,068 km, with a further 8 satellites to be launched in the coming couple of years. O3B uses Ka band communications, and operates in a strict "up-down" mode with no inter-satellite handover. Each satellite is equipped with twelve fully steerable Ka band antennas (two beams for gateways, ten beams for remotes) that use a total of 4.3 GHz of spectrum. Each beam supports 800Mbps, in each direction, supporting a total of 16Gbs of cross traffic on each of the spacecraft. Each beam's footprint measures 700 km in diameter.

Being lower than geostationary orbits by a factor of four reduces the signal propagation latency by a similar factor. The name "O3B" was derived from "the other 3 billion", referring to the population not serviced by conventional terrestrial broadband infrastructure, and a number Internet service provider networks in the Pacific appear to have signed up to O3B services. Earth gateway facilities require 2

tracking dishes, so that at any time one is locked on to the overhead craft, with the other is seeking the next spacecraft as it rises above the horizon.



O3B spacecraft being assembled Credit: SES GS

LEOs

One of the earlier LEO-based services was the **Iridium** constellation, developed by Motorola in the 1990's. This service used a constellation of 77 satellites (pared down to 66 in the design phase), launched into a polar orbit at an orbital height of 780 km. Each orbital path consists of 11 spacecraft evenly spaced along the path. At the equator, each spacecraft is visible for just seven minutes. Each spacecraft is equipped with a number of spot beams and a communication is routed between spot beams every 50 seconds. Iridium operates using L Band at 1616-1625Mhz. This is predominately a voice service with some small capacity data circuits also available.

Iridium spacecraft can communicate with neighboring spacecraft within the Iridium constellation using Ka Band communications. This is perhaps the most innovative part of the entire system. Other constellations, such as Globalstar, are in effect orbiting mirrors, where each individual satellite takes an upcoming signal and reflects it back to an earth station. While it's possible to create a configuration of orbiting spacecraft that covers the entire earth's surface, useful coverage is limited by the number and location of ground stations in this model. Iridium overcame this by its ability to pass the signal to another spacecraft. At its most extreme case Iridium only needs one ground station, and all downward signals would be passed to the spacecraft that was in "view" from the ground station at any time. More ground stations improve the overall capacity of the system by augmenting the bandwidth of the space-to-ground links, and improve the service by reducing the terrestrial path from the nearest ground stations to the intended signal terminal point, but do not alter the original property of comprehensive global service.



Iridium NEXT assembly: Credit: S. Barensky.

Iridium has an interesting genesis where following the initial launch in November 1998 it entered Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings nine months later in August 1999. The original 6 billion USD investment was purchased by a private investment consortium for some 35 million USD in 2000. Since then Iridium has survived, and even prospered. So much so that it is now in the process of launching 70 Iridium NEXT satellites to replace the existing constellation and augment its services with more data capabilities.

Globalstar has had a similar troubled initial path to Iridium. It was conceived by the aerospace corporation Loral and the communications corporation, Qualcomm. Globalstar launched 48 satellites into a LEO orbital plan by February 2000 and sought Chapter 11 Bankruptcy protection in February 2002. The system offers mobile telephony services and messaging. The spacecraft are inclined off the equatorial plane by 52 degrees, and orbit at an altitude of 1,400 km. The system operates without spacecraft-to-spacecraft communications, so all communications operates in an up-down mode from the same spacecraft. This, in turn, implies that serviced areas required ground "gateways" to interface satellite calls into the terrestrial telephone system, and this has limited the ability of Globalstar to provide a truly global service. A second generation of this constellation was launched between 2011 and 2013.

Orbcomm, a satellite constellation also in LEO orbit was intended to primarily perform asset tracking functions. In 1997 through to 1999 Orbcom launched 35 satellites, and then in September 2000 it also filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The first generation of satellites has been replaced in 2010-2014 by a second generation if 18 satellites, and have consolidated their business focus on M2M small burst communications, primarily involved with asset tracking.

On the starting block is **OneWeb**, a startup that plans to launch a constellation of 648 150kg so-called "micro-satellites" into the LEO orbital band, starting late 2017. In February 2017, OneWeb announced that it had sold most of the capacity of its initial 648 satellites, and was considering nearly quadrupling the size of the satellite constellation by adding 1,972 additional satellites to the constellation. At the end of February 2017 announced a merger with Intelsat, combining Intelsat's Geostationary orbital fleet with a larger set of LEO systems. The initial set of 648 satellites will operate on 20 orbital planes, and use Ku band communications. They have announced a capacity of 6Gbps per satellite, and an aggregate network capacity of some 4.2Tbps. They appear to be focussing on a form of ubiquitous web assess as their target market sector.



One Web proposed coverage Credit: One Web

Also on the cards is **LEOSAT**, a constellation of up to 108 satellites, where each satellite is configured with 10 Ka-band steerable user antennas, each of which is capable of providing customer terminals with between 50 Mbps and 1.6 Gbps of symmetrical data connectivity, and two steerable gateway antennas, capable of an aggregated throughput of up to 10 Gbps, and 4 optical inter-satellite links. The intended scope of operation for LEOSAT is secure high speed low latency long haul point-to-point virtual circuits for the enterprise market.

SPACEX have also announced a set of intentions, and evidently are planning the deployment of some 4,000 cross-linked communications satellites in a LEO orbital band of 1,100 km, intended to support a global broadband Internet service, using Ku and Ka bands. While details are scant at this time, it appears to be the most ambitious of these LEO projects and perhaps one that could pose the largest threat to the current terrestrial systems that provide data access services to consumers.

Where Now?

For many years, the last mile of connectivity has proved to be a formidable challenge for service providers. The economics of burying cables in the ground for decades has an associated investment model that often calls for public support or other forms of public subsidy in order to compete with other capital investment opportunities. At the same time the exclusive use spectrum use model has, with few exceptions, priced cellular radio access services at a considerable premium, so that instead of a competitive market consumers appear to be faced with a cartel-like situation where "good, fast and cheap" is yet again proving to be an unachievable triumvirate in this closed situation.

From the euphoria of the late 1990's and the subsequent sobering bankruptcies in the early 2000's, the satellite industry appears to be enjoying a new wave of investor interest. It does seem possible to launch a constellation of satellites into relatively low earth orbits that allow communications to almost anyone on the earth using access equipment that is little different to what is already used for the cellular services. The most exciting projects which combine a large set of satellites, high capacity, inter-satellite switching and LEO orbital planes are still in the phase of raising capital and refining their designs, but they look like they will be viable.



An Arianespace rocket launch Credit Arianespace

No doubt the local terrestrial incumbents will try to protect their incumbency with bureaucratic barriers, but the enticing prospect remains that it appears to be possible these days to launch services into orbit that can offer viable Internet services to literally everybody on the planet. And they appear to

be able to do so at potential price points that appear to be closer to "widely affordable" as distinct from "first world luxury" pricing.

As always, these developments in communications technology continue to question our assumptions, challenge our conventions, and they offer us dazzlingly different opportunities. The future of the Internet may not end up being up there in the sky, but the competitive challenge these orbiting systems can pose to the terrestrial services will probably drive them both to achieve new standards of service, speed, and price, as long as they are given the opportunity to openly compete in the first place.

Acknowledgement

At the NZNOG'17 meeting earlier this year, held in Tauranga, Jon Brewer presented his take on the satellite picture in a presentation "The Future is Up in the Sky". Jon's great presentation stimulated me to read more on this subject, and to write this summary of what I managed to find out. Thanks Jon.

Author

Geoff Huston B.Sc., M.Sc., is the Chief Scientist at APNIC, the Regional Internet Registry serving the Asia Pacific region.

www.potaroo.net

Disclaimer

The above views do not necessarily represent the views or positions of the Asia Pacific Network Information Centre.