

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
Request for Comments: 6245  
Category: Standards Track  
ISSN: 2070-1721

P. Yegani  
Juniper Networks  
K. Leung  
Cisco Systems  
A. Lior  
Bridgewater Systems  
K. Chowdhury  
J. Navali  
Cisco Systems  
May 2011

## Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) Key Extension for Mobile IPv4

### Abstract

The Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) specification contains a Key field, which MAY contain a value that is used to identify a particular GRE data stream. This specification defines a new Mobile IP extension that is used to exchange the value to be used in the GRE Key field. This extension further allows the Mobility Agents to set up the necessary protocol interfaces prior to receiving the mobile node traffic. The new extension allows a Foreign Agent to request GRE tunneling without disturbing the Home Agent behavior specified for Mobile IPv4. GRE tunneling with the Key field allows the operators to have home networks that consist of multiple Virtual Private Networks (VPNs), which may have overlapping home addresses. When the tuple <Care of Address, Home Address, and Home Agent Address> is the same across multiple subscriber sessions, GRE tunneling will provide a means for the Foreign Agent and Home Agent to identify data streams for the individual sessions based on the GRE key. In the absence of this key identifier, the data streams cannot be distinguished from each other -- a significant drawback when using IP-in-IP tunneling.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6245>.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	2
2. Terminology .....	3
3. GRE Key Extension .....	3
4. Operation and Use of the GRE Key Extension .....	3
4.1. Foreign Agent Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support .....	3
4.2. Home Agent Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support .....	4
4.3. Mobile Node Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support .....	5
5. GRE Key Extension and Tunneling Procedures .....	5
6. IANA Considerations .....	6
7. Security Considerations .....	6
8. Acknowledgements .....	7
9. Normative References .....	7

## 1. Introduction

This document specifies a new extension for use by a Foreign Agent (FA) operating Mobile IP for IPv4. The new extension allows a Foreign Agent to request Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) [RFC2784] tunneling without disturbing the Home Agent (HA) behavior specified for Mobile IPv4 [RFC5944]. This extension contains the GRE key [RFC2890] required for establishing a GRE tunnel between the FA and the HA.

GRE tunneling with the Key field allows the operators to have home networks that consist of multiple Virtual Private Networks (VPNs), which may have overlapping home addresses. When the tuple <Care of Address, Home Address, and Home Agent Address> is the same across

multiple subscriber sessions, GRE tunneling will provide a means for the FA and the HA to identify data streams for the individual sessions based on the GRE key. In the absence of this key identifier, the data streams cannot be distinguished from each other -- a significant drawback when using IP-in-IP tunneling.

## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]. Other terminology is used as already defined in [RFC5944].

## 3. GRE Key Extension

The format of the GRE Key Extension conforms to the extension format specified for Mobile IPv4 [RFC5944]. This extension option is used by the Foreign Agent to supply GRE key and other necessary information to the Home Agent to establish a GRE tunnel between the FA and the HA.

## 4. Operation and Use of the GRE Key Extension

### 4.1. Foreign Agent Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support

The FA MUST support IP-in-IP tunneling of datagrams for Mobile IPv4 [RFC5944]. The FA may support GRE tunneling that can be used, for example, to allow for overlapping private home IP addresses (Section 4.2.2.5 of [X.S0011-E]). If the FA is capable of supporting GRE encapsulation, it should set the 'G' (GRE encapsulation) bit in the Flags field in the Agent Advertisement message sent to the Mobile Node (MN) during the Mobile IP session establishment.

If the MN does not set the 'G' bit, the FA MAY fall back to using IP-in-IP encapsulation for the session per [RFC5944].

If the MN does not set the 'G' bit and does not set the 'D' (Decapsulation by mobile node) bit (i.e., the mobile node does not request GRE tunneling and is not using a co-located care-of address), and the local policy allows the FA to override the 'G' bit setting received from the MN, the FA MUST include the GRE Key Extension as defined in this memo in the Registration Request (RRQ) that it propagates to the HA. The presence of this extension is a request for GRE encapsulation that takes precedence over the setting of the 'G' bit in the Registration Request. The FA MUST NOT modify the 'G' bit in the Registration Request because it is protected by the Mobile-Home Authentication extension.

If the FA does not support GRE encapsulation, the FA MUST reset the 'G' bit in the Agent Advertisement message. In this case, if the MN sets the 'G' bit in the Registration Request message, the FA returns a Registration Reply (RRP) message to the MN with code 'requested encapsulation unavailable' (72) per [RFC5944].

If the FA allows GRE encapsulation, and either the MN requested GRE encapsulation or local policy dictates using GRE encapsulation for the session, and the 'D' bit is not set (i.e., the mobile node is not using a co-located care-of address), the FA MUST include the GRE Key in the GRE Key Extension in all Mobile IP Registration Requests (including initial, renewal, and de-registration requests) before forwarding the request to the HA. The FA may include a GRE key of value zero in the GRE Key Extension to signal that the HA assigns GRE keys in both directions. The GRE key assignment in the FA and the HA is outside the scope of this memo.

The GRE Key Extension SHALL follow the format defined in [RFC5944]. This extension SHALL be added after the MN-HA and MN-FA Challenge and MN-AAA (Mobile Node - Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting) extensions (if any) and before the FA-HA Auth extension (if any).

#### 4.2. Home Agent Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support

The HA MUST follow the procedures specified in [RFC5944] in processing this extension in Registration Request messages.

If the HA receives the GRE Key Extension in a Registration Request and does not recognize this non-skippable extension, it MUST silently discard the message. The HA MUST use other alternative forms of encapsulation (e.g., IP-in-IP tunneling), when requested by the mobile node per [RFC5944].

If the HA receives the GRE Key Extension in a Registration Request and recognizes the GRE Key Extension but is not configured to support GRE encapsulation, it MUST send an RRP with code 'requested encapsulation unavailable (139)' [RFC3024].

If the HA receives a Registration Request with a GRE Key Extension but without the 'G' bit set, the HA SHOULD treat this as if the 'G' bit is set in the Registration Request; i.e., the presence of a GRE Key Extension indicates a request for GRE encapsulation.

If the HA receives the GRE Key Extension in a Registration Request, and it recognizes the GRE Key Extension as well as supports GRE encapsulation, the following procedures should apply:

- o The HA SHOULD accept the RRQ and send an RRP with code 'registration accepted (0)'.
- o The HA MUST assign a GRE key and include the GRE Key Extension in the RRP before sending it to the FA.
- o The HA MUST include the GRE Key Extension in all RRP in response to any RRQ that included the GRE Key Extension, when a GRE key is available for the registration.

If the HA receives the GRE Key Extension in the initial Registration Request and recognizes the GRE Key Extension carrying a GRE key value of zero, it SHOULD accept the RRQ and send an RRP with code 'registration accepted (0)', and the following procedures apply:

- o The HA MUST assign GRE keys for both directions and include these keys in the GRE Key Extension in the RRP before sending it to the FA.
- o The HA MUST include the GRE Key Extension in the RRP in response to the initial RRQ that included the GRE Key Extension, when a GRE key is available for the registration.

#### 4.3. Mobile Node Requirements for GRE Tunneling Support

If the MN is capable of supporting GRE encapsulation, it SHOULD set the 'G' bit in the Flags field in the Registration Request per [RFC5944].

#### 5. GRE Key Extension and Tunneling Procedures

GRE tunneling support for Mobile IP will permit asymmetric GRE keying; i.e., the FA assigns a GRE key for use in encapsulated traffic, and the HA can assign its own GRE key. Once the GRE keys have been exchanged, the FA uses the HA-assigned key in the encapsulating GRE header for reverse tunneling, and the HA uses the FA-assigned key in the encapsulating GRE header.

The format of the GRE Key Extension is as shown below.

The GRE Key Extension MAY be included in Registration Requests or Registration Replies [RFC5944]. The GRE Key Extension is used to inform the recipient of the Mobile IP request of the value to be used in the GRE Key field.




Figure 1: GRE Key Extension

Type

48 - An 8-bit identifier of the GRE Key Extension type (non-skippable)

Sub-Type

0

Length

4

Key Identifier

This is a four-octet value assigned during registration and inserted in every GRE packet of the user traffic.

6. IANA Considerations

The GRE Key Extension defined in this memo is a Mobile IP extension as defined in [RFC5944]. IANA has assigned a Type value (48) for this extension from the non-skippable range (0-127).

The GRE Key Extension introduces a new sub-type numbering space, where the value 0 has been assigned from the range 0 to 255. Approval of new GRE Key Extension sub-type values is to be made through Expert Review with Specification Required.

7. Security Considerations

This specification does not introduce any new security considerations, beyond those described in [RFC5944].

Despite its name, the GRE Key Extension has little to do with security. The word "Key" here is not used in the cryptographic sense of a shared secret that must be protected but rather in the sense of an "index" or demultiplexing value that can be used to distinguish packets belonging to a given flow within a GRE tunnel.

## 8. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jun Wang, Gopal Dommety, and Sri Gundavelli for their helpful comments, offline discussions, and review of the initial draft version of this document. Also, Pete McCann and Simon Mizikovsky provided valuable review comments.

## 9. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC2784] Farinacci, D., Li, T., Hanks, S., Meyer, D., and P. Traina, "Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", RFC 2784, March 2000.
- [RFC2890] Dommety, G., "Key and Sequence Number Extensions to GRE", RFC 2890, September 2000.
- [RFC3024] Montenegro, G., Ed., "Reverse Tunneling for Mobile IP, revised", RFC 3024, January 2001.
- [RFC5944] Perkins, C., Ed., "IP Mobility Support for IPv4, Revised", RFC 5944, November 2010.
- [X.S0011-E] 3rd Generation Partnership Project 2, "cdma2000 Wireless IP Network Standard: Simple IP and Mobile IP Access Services", 3GPP2 X.S0011-002-E Version 1.0, November 2009, <[http://www.3gpp2.org/Public\\_html/specs/X.S0011-002-E\\_v1.0\\_091116.pdf](http://www.3gpp2.org/Public_html/specs/X.S0011-002-E_v1.0_091116.pdf)>.

## Authors' Addresses

Parviz Yegani  
Juniper Networks  
1194 North Mathilda Ave.  
Sunnyvale, California 94089  
USA  
Phone: +1 408-759-1973  
EMail: pyegani@juniper.net

Kent Leung  
Cisco Systems Incorporated  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, California 95134  
USA  
Phone: +1 408 526 5030  
EMail: kleung@cisco.com

Avi Lior  
Bridgewater Systems Corporation  
303 Terry Fox Drive  
Ottawa, Ontario K2K 3J1  
Canada  
Phone: +1 613-591-6655  
EMail: avi@bridgewater.com

Kuntal Chowdhury  
Cisco Systems Incorporated  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, California 95134  
USA  
EMail: kchowdhu@cisco.com

Jay Navali  
Cisco Systems Incorporated  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, California 95134  
USA  
EMail: jnavali@cisco.com



