Network Working Group Request for Comments: 751 NIC: nnnnn P. David Lebling (PDL@MIT-DMS)
10 December 1978

SURVEY OF FTP MAIL AND MLFL

Two surveys of Arpanet Server hosts were run between September 20, 1978 and December 11, 1978. The intent was to determine the response of the host's Server FTP program to:

- (a) An attempt to mail to an unknown recipient at that host. The purpose of this survey was two-fold. First, to determine whether the host accepts mail for unknown recipients at all, and second, what response the host gives if it does not accept such mail.
- (b) An attempt to mail to a known recipient using the MLFL command rather than the usual MAIL command. This survey was undertaken to determine the extent of support for the MLFL command among Server hosts, and the sort of reply received if the Server does not support MLFL. MLFL is potentially a 'better' form of communication than mail as the message is sent over a data connection rather than the command connection. Using the data connection eliminates the 'end-of-mail' marker and 'command reader' problems sometimes encountered over the command connection.

The ground rules of the survey were that all sites listed as Servers in the MIT/SAIL Host table were surveyed. In many cases, a host listed as a Server would not respond to an ICP at any time during the period of the survey. Once a host responded with what seemed to me to be a 'definitive' answer, I marked it as such and stopped surveying it.

MLFL Survey

The algorithm used was to ICP to socket 3 of the server (the standard old-FTP socket). Once a 300 response was received, I sent the MLFL command. Where I had the name of a real mailbox at a site (a Header-person, for example) I used that, otherwise the name "**". If a site asked for a password (response 504) after the MLFL command I gave "USER NETML" "PASS NETML" and retried the MLFL. If the server replied with a 255 SOCK command, I listened for the data-connection to be established. When it was, I transferred the mail file. Interestingly enough, most sites implement an RFC queueing algorithm that will allow the user site to attempt to establish the data-connection from its end.

Complete FTP scripts may be found, if you are interested, on MIT-DM, file NETDOC; MLFL SURVEY.

Sites are grouped by the general result they gave.

```
-site- -last ftp reply if lost- -when-
```

1) Sites that lost for various reasons:

BNL	530	NOT LOGGED IN.	after	MLFL
HARV-10	431	INVALID ENTRY - Try again	after	USER
LLL-MFE	454	Login please	after	SOCK
LONDON	000	INDRA FTP Version 2.00	after	ICP
NBS-10	454	Login please	after	SOCK
WHARTON	454	DATA Connection error	after	SOCK
WPAFB-AFAL	454	Login please	after	SOCK

Note: "when" describes the last action performed by the surveyer before the indicated anomalous response.

```
after ICP -- surveyer had done ICP to socket 3
after MLFL -- surveyer had sent MLFL command
after USER -- surveyer had sent USER NETML in response to
"504 Login please"
after SOCK -- surveyer had attempted to connect to specified
```

2) Sites that don't support MLFL and say so:

```
AFWL 501 ML<?>FL **
CCA-SPEECH 501 ML<?>FL **
EGLIN 501 ML<?>FL **
LBL 506 Command not implemented.
```

LONDON-VDH 500 Command not implemented UCLA-CCN 500 Command unrecognized UNRECOGNIZED

WPAFB 501 ML<?>FL **

3) Sites that support MLFL (or at least go through all the right motions):

data socket

AMES-67 BBN-TENEX	MIT-AI MIT-DMS	SRI-KL SU-AI
BBN-TENEXA	MIT-MC	SUMEX-AIM
BBN-TENEXD	MIT-ML	UCLA-ATS
BBN-TENEXE	MIT-XX	UCLA-SECURITY
BBN-UNIX	NBS-UNIX	USC-ECL
CCA-TENEX	OFFICE-1	USC-ISI
CMU-10A	PARC-MAXC	USC-ISIB
CMU-10B	PARC-MAXC2	USC-ISIC

DEC-MARLBORO RADC-TOPS20 USC-ISIE I4-TENEX RAND-RCC UTEXAS ILL-UNIX RAND-UNIX

LL RUTGERS LL-ASG SRI-KA

4) Sites that support MLFL but require "USER NETML" "PASS NETML" (Multics):

MIT-MULTICS RADC-MULTICS

5) Others:

a) Sites that might support it if I could mail to a real user (some of these run operating systems that are "known to work", e.g. TENEX):

BBN-TENEXB 450 No such mailbox at this site.

CCA-SDMS 450 User Unknown

CCTC 451 User Not Recognized 507 USER NOT FOUND: **
451 User Not Recognized CMU-10D DTI

450 User Unknown 450 User Unknown 451 User Not Recognized LL-11 LL-XN

NCSC NOSC-CC 451 User Not Recognized
NOSC-SDL 451 User Not Recognized
NTIA-ITS 451 User Not Recognized
OFFICE-2 450 No such mailbox at this site.

RADC-XPER 451 User Not Recognized 431 User name invalid SDAC-44 SDAC-UNIX 451 User Not Recognized 451 User Not Recognized

b) Sites that either never responded to an ICP to socket 3, or were not accepting FTP users:

ANT I4B-TENEX NOSC-SECURE1 ISI-SPEECH11 NSWC-WO ARPA-DMS LBL-UNIX CMU-CMMP NUSC NUSC-NPT LLL-COMP CTO-DDS DTNSRDC MOFFET-ARC NWC FNWC NADC NYU

GUNTER-UNIX NDRE PENT-UNIX

MAIL Survey

The mechanics of the MAIL survey were similar to those of the MLFL survey. The command was "MAIL Fzorkness" (an account unlikely to exist on any host). The responses are grouped into a few general categories.

Complete FTP results may be found on MIT-DM, file NETDOC; MAIL SURVEY.

-site--last response-

1) Sites that lost completely.

LONDON 000 INDRA FTP Version <>.00 ...

2) Sites that accept mail to an unknown recipient.

BNL	$\mathtt{MIT}\mathtt{-ML}$	USC-ISI
MIT-AI	RAND-RCC	USC-ISIB
MIT-DMS	UCLA-CCN	USC-ISIC
MIT-MC	USC-ECL	USC-ISIE

3) Sites that refuse mail to an unknown recipient (grouped by response).

```
SDAC-44
                              431 User name invalid
BBN-TENEX 450 No such mailbox at this site.
BBN-TENEXA 450 No such mailbox at this site.
BBN-TENEXB 450 No such mailbox at this site.
BBN-TENEXD 450 No such mailbox at this site.
BBN-TENEXE 450 No such mailbox at this site.
BBN-UNIX 450 User Unknown
CCA-SDMS
                            450 User Unknown
                             451 User Unknown
                       450 User Unknown
450 No such mailbox at this site.
450 User unknown
EDN-UNIX
I4-TENEX
ILL-UNIX
                             450 No such mailbox at LL
LL
LL-ASG 450 User unknown

LL-XN 450 User unknown

MIT-MULTICS 450 Cannot locate mailbox for \Fzorkness\
MIT-XX 450 No such mailbox at this site.
```

NBS-UNIX 450 User Unknown NCSC 450 User Unknown 450 User Unknown NOSC-CC NOSC-SDL 450 User Unknown NTIA-ITS 450 User Unknown

OFFICE-1 450 No such mailbox at this site. 450 No such mailbox at this site. OFFICE-2 PARC-MAXC 450 No such mailbox at this site.

[Page 4]

PARC-MAXC2 450 No such mailbox at this site. 450 User Unknown RADC-XPER RADC-MULTICS 450 Cannot locate mailbox for \Fzorkness\ RADC-TOPS20 450 No such mailbox at this site.
RAND-UNIX 450 User Unknown 450 No such mailbox at this site. RUTGERS 450 User Unknown SDAC-UNIX 450 No such mailbox at this site. SRI-KA 450 No such mailbox at this site. SRI-KL SU-AI 450 I don't know anybody named Fzorkness SUMEX-AIM 450 No such mailbox at this site.
UCLA-ATS 450 User Unknown UCLA-SECURITY 450 User Unknown UTEXAS 450 User Unknown CCA-TENEX 451 No such mailbox at this site. AFWL 501 MA<?>IL Fzorkness CCA-SPEECH 501 MA<?>IL Fzorkness 501 MA<?>IL Fzorkness EGLIN WPAFB 501 MA<?>IL Fzorkness AMES-67 503 COMMAND \MAIL FZORKNESS\ IGNORED: UNKNOWN USER 506 Command not implemented. LBL CMU-10A 507 % USER NOT FOUND: FZORKNESS CMU-10B 507 % USER NOT FOUND: FZORKNESS CMU-10D 507 % USER NOT FOUND: FZORKNESS HARV-10 507 No such user as FZORKNESS 507 No such user as FZORKNESS LLL-MFE NBS-10 507 No such user as FZORKNESS

4) Sites to which I was never able to connect, or which were not accepting users.

507 No such user as FZORKN

ANL I4B-TENEX NOSC-SECURE1 ISI-SPEECH11 ARPA-DMS NSWC-WO CMU-CMMP LBL-UNIX NUSC LL-11 CTO-DDS NUSC-NPT DEC-MARLBORO LLL-COMP NWC LONDON-VDH DTI NYU LONDON-VDH MOFFETT-ARC DTNSRDC SRI-UNIX FNWC NADC SU-ISL GUNTER-UNIX NDRE

WPAFB-AFAL 507 No such user as FZORKNESS

WHARTON