Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: September 15, 2011

Z. Sims, Ed.
Ohio University
March 14, 2011

Bundle Protocol MIB
draft-sims-dtnrg-bpmib-00

Abstract

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols. In particular it defines objects for managing information about a Bundle Node - or simply a 'Node' within the scope of this document. More specifically, the managed objects for such a Node include: Node-specific information, registered Endpoint-Specific information, and generic CLA-Specific (Convergence Layer Adapter) information.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 15, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. The Internet-Standard Management Framework 3
2. Introduction 3
3. Requirements Language 3
4. Overview 3
4.1. Structure of the MIB 4
4.1.1. Node-Specific Definitions 4
4.1.2. Endpoint-Specific Definitions 5
4.1.3. CLA-Specific Definitions 5
4.2. Design Decisions 6
4.2.1. Node and Endpoint Representation 6
4.2.2. Convergence Layer Adapters 7
4.2.3. Managing the Protocol Stack 7
4.2.4. bpClType Number Standardization 8
4.2.5. Reason for bpClaDirection 8
4.2.6. Notifications 8
4.2.7. Statistical and Trended Data 9
4.3. Considerations for Future Designers 9
4.3.1. Delay/Disruption Entity Management 9
4.3.2. CLA MIB Design 10
4.3.3. Notification MIB Design 10
4.3.4. Extension Block MIB Design 11
5. Definitions 11
6. Acknowledgements 37
7. IANA Considerations 37
8. Security Considerations 37
9. References 38
9.1. Normative References 38
9.2. Informative References 38
Author's Address 38

1. The Internet-Standard Management Framework

For a detailed overview of the documents that describe the current Internet-Standard Management Framework, please refer to section 7 of RFC 3410 [RFC3410].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. MIB objects are generally accessed through the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP). Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the Structure of Management Information (SMI). This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2, which is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].

2. Introduction

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols. In particular it defines objects for managing information about a Bundle Node [RFC5050] - or simply a 'Node' within the scope of this document. More specifically, the manageable objects for such a Node include: Node-Specific information, registered Endpoint-Specific information, and generic CLA-Specific (Convergence Layer Adapter) information.

3. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

4. Overview

The Bundle Protocol (BP) [RFC5050] was designed as part of the solution to issues encountered in Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) environments [RFC4838], which are otherwise unresolvable by the connection-oriented scheme used in today's Internet. This memo contains the definitions for a Bundle Protocol MIB as well as rationale for certain implementation decisions. Also contained in this memo is a section dedicated to considerations for future designers of DTN-related MIBs. The MIB module defined in this memo contains object definitions for a single Node, for each Endpoints of which that Node is a member, and for the CLAs which that Node has access to use.

4.1. Structure of the MIB

Objects within the BP-MIB have been derived from RFC 5050 [RFC5050] or were designed to be used in the management of a BP Node, but are not explicitly derived from RFC 5050 [RFC5050]. These objects are broken down into three main groups:

- Node-Specific Definitions
- Endpoint-Specific Definitions
- CLA-Specific Definitions

4.1.1. Node-Specific Definitions

Within this document, objects that are referred to as 'Node-Specific' are those objects which relate only to the Node being managed. In other words, these objects do not relate to a Node's relationship with any other entity (i.e. Endpoints and CLAs). Node-Specific objects include:

- A unique name given to the Node Instance (bpNodeID)
- The last time a Node was restarted (bpLastUpTime)
- The number of locally generated Bundles for each priority type (bpBulkPriorGen, bpNormPriorGen, and bpExpPriorGen)
- The number of locally queued Bundles for each priority type (bpBulkPriorQueued, bpNormPriorQueued, and bpExpPriorQueued)
- The number of locally queued Bundles for each type of retention constraint (bpReassemblyPending, bpDispatchPending, bpForwardPending, and bpCustodyAccepted)
- The number of reports received by this Node for each of the report types (bpBundleReceptions, bpBundleAcceptance, bpBundleForwarding, bpCustodySuccess, and bpBundleDelivery) and
- The number of times this Node took a specific action on (or failed to take action on) Bundles. Specifically, these actions involve: custody acceptance, forwarding, abandoning, discarding, deletion (for each or the reasons specified in RFC 5050 [RFC5050]), and fragmenting (bpCustodyFailure, bpForwardFailure, bpAbandonedDelivery, bpDiscardedBundles, bpFragmentedLocally, bpDelExpired, bpDelTransCancelled, bpDelDepStorage, bpDelDestUnintel, bpDelNoRoute, bpDelUntimelyContact, bpDelBlockUnintel, AND bpDelNoAdditionalInfo)

Each of these objects are detailed more precisely in the MIB's description fields.

4.1.2. Endpoint-Specific Definitions

Within this document, objects that are referred to as 'Endpoint-Specific' are those objects which relate to a specific Endpoint in respect to the managed Node. In other words, the information managed by these objects are subject only to the Node's view of the Endpoint (e.g. the current state of the Endpoint is "active" according to this Node OR the number of Bundles this Node has received through this Endpoint is 42). Endpoint-Specific objects are maintained within a conceptual table (with the exception of bpRegCount). The following objects fall under this category:

- The number of currently registered Endpoints (bpRegCount)
- The ID/address of the Endpoint Entry (bpEndpointID)
- Denotation of the BP's current state for this Endpoint (bpCurState)
- Denotation of whether the Endpoint is a Singleton (bpIsSingleton)
- Denotation of the Delivery Failure Action for this Endpoint (bpDeliveryFailureAction)
- The number of times this Endpoint has experienced the following Bundle activities: received (for each priority type), delivered, sent, and forwarded (bpBulkPriorRecvd, bpNormPriorRecvd, bpExpPriorRecvd, bpBundlesDelivered, bpBundlesSent, and bpBundlesForwarded) and
- The number of Bundle Deletion Reports received on this Endpoint for each of the reasons specified in RFC 5050 [RFC5050] (bpDelExpiredReports, bpDelTransCancelledReports, bpDelDepStorageReports, bpDelDestUnintelReports, bpDelNoRouteReports, bpDelUntimelyContactReports, bpDelBlockUnintelReports, and bpDelNoAdditionalInfoReports)

Each of these objects are detailed more precisely in the MIB's description fields.

4.1.3. CLA-Specific Definitions

Within this document, objects that are referred to as 'CLA-Specific' are those objects which relate to a specific CLA in respect to the managed Node. CLA-Specific objects are maintained within a

conceptual table (with the exception of bpClaCount). The following objects fall under this category:

- Denotation of the CL type (e.g. UDP, TCP, LTP, etc) used by the CLA (bpClType)
- A human-readable name for the CLA assigned by the management agent (bpClaDisplayName)
- A unique identifier for a specific CLA's MIB instance (bpClID)
- The number of Bundles passed up/down the protocol stack from this CLA, to/from this BPA (bpClInBndls and bpClOutBndls) and
- Denotation of the CLA's transmission direction (bpClaDirection

Each of these objects are detailed more precisely in the MIB's description fields.

4.2. Design Decisions

This section contains information regarding certain design choices made during the creation of the BP-MIB module. Each decision was made keeping in mind certain assumptions regarding the circumstances under which a DTN might commonly operate. These assumptions include:

- Low-powered equipment is likely to be used
- Limitations on long-term and (possibly) short-term storage are likely to exist and
- Delayed communication might require dissimilar management techniques from those used in timely environments

4.2.1. Node and Endpoint Representation

The first design decision involved the overall representation of Nodes and their respective attributes. According to section 3.1 of RFC 5050 [RFC5050], Nodes have a M:N relationship with Endpoints. That is to say, a Node can be a member of multiple Endpoints and (as long as it is not a Singleton Endpoint) an Endpoint can have multiple Nodes register it. Since SMIv2 [RFC2578] does not allow for the creation of three-dimensional conceptual tables, a normalized view of this relationship would require a mediating table or a method of simulating a three-dimensional conceptual table. The method used here, as exhibited in section 5 of this document, was to simulate a three-dimensional conceptual table by having each instance of the BP-MIB contain managed information for a single Node - with each

instance having a conceptual table that contains managed information for each Endpoint of which the Node is a member.

4.2.2. Convergence Layer Adapters

With the Node/Endpoint decision made, the next decision involved the representation of CLAs. Since multiple Nodes can share the use of CLAs, and since the characteristics of a CLA are implementation dependent, few objects were placed within the bpClaTable. Since it is likely that a CLA's managed objects (those stored in a MIB designed specifically for the management of the given CLA type) will maintain more specific information than that contained in the bpClaTable, two objects were created as a way to reference a CLA's MIB instance. These two objects are:

- bpClType - Identifies the type of Convergence Layer (CL) being used by the CLA (e.g. UDP, TCP, LTP, or otherwise)

- bpClID - Uniquely identifies the instance of managed information for the underlying CL whose type is defined by bpClType

The bpClType and bpClId objects are also used as a solution to a specific design goal. This goal involves the ability to trace managed information down the protocol stack and is discussed in more detail in section 4.2.3 of this document.

4.2.3. Managing the Protocol Stack

One of this MIB's primary goals is to enable managed information to be traceable throughout the protocol stack. Ultimately, this goal is achieved by creating either a direct or indirect reference to an entry in the ifTable [RFC2863]. To make this possible, the BP-MIB MUST have identifier(s) that can be used to reference the MIB instance of the layer beneath itself. The BP-MIB is designed in such a way that both direct and indirect references to an ifTable entry can be achieved. This was done by placing two objects within the bpClaTable: one that uniquely identifies the CL type (bpClType) and one that uniquely identifies an instance of managed information for the given type (bpClID). Direct references to an ifTable entry are achieved by setting bpClType = 1 (which means it is referring to an interface). Indirect references are achieved when referencing a CLA MIB which, in turn, references the layer beneath itself.

bpClType SHOULD be a standardized numeric value that identifies the type of Convergence Layer being used by the Convergence Layer Adapter. This object is discussed more in section 4.2.4 of this document; giving rationale to the need for number standardization.

bpClID, alternatively, is an arbitrary value chosen by the agent that uniquely identifies an instance of the MIB whose type is given by bpClType. The NMS responsible for the managed information can use this value to determine the OID of the desired CLA.

Currently, the MIB module defines the bpClType object to be an integer. This design decision needs reconsideration however. For readability and ease of use, a textual-convention would likely be a better choice for the object syntax.

There are two objects in the bpClaTable that represent the number of Bundles passed into the BPA and out through the underlying CL (bpClInBndls and bpClOutBndls). Though these values are not used for stack traversal, they are maintained as a way of offering a view of Bundle activity to/from the BP layer for each CLA.

4.2.4. bpClType Number Standardization

In sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 of this document, the use of bpClType was discussed. Using such an object, however, could result in inconsistencies across implementations of BP software. To avoid this, the BP-MIB uses a pre-defined set of numeric values, each uniquely representing a CL type.

4.2.5. Reason for bpClaDirection

The value in knowing the direction of data flow can be found in the use of certain equipment types. Satellites, for instance, are prone to utilizing an up-link that is different from the down-link. Scenarios similar to this are likely to result in the creation of two separate CLA MIB instances - one for each link. In these cases, a manager might desire knowing whether the underlying CL is capable of transmitting unidirectional traffic or bidirectional traffic. To represent this, the bpClaTable has been given the bpClaDirection object. The possible settings are inBound, outBound or biDirectional.

4.2.6. Notifications

In an attempt to keep things modular, TRAP and INFORM objects have been left out of the BP-MIB. These objects SHOULD be designed in a separate MIB module which, if used, MUST be implemented in conjunction with the BP-MIB. Since the requirements of network management within a DTN are distinct from those in a timely environment, use of notifications might vary between DTN environments and timely environments. With this in mind, careful consideration SHOULD be made when designing such objects. This is discussed in more detail in section 4.3.3 of this document.

4.2.7. Statistical and Trended Data

Certain MIBs, such as those that manage high performance equipment, might contain mechanisms to store local data trends and simple statistical analysis. Though DTNs aren't typically found in environments that require high-performance equipment, the need for such mechanisms might exist due to delay-related restraints. However, in an attempt to keep things modular, statistic-based objects and trending objects have been considered beyond the scope of the BP-MIB. These objects, if any such objects are designed, SHOULD be put in a separate MIB module which MAY be implemented in conjunction with the BP-MIB, but can function independent of the BP-MIB. Design considerations for local trending and statistical analysis are addressed in section 4.3.1 of this document.

4.3. Considerations for Future Designers

This section is dedicated to describing certain considerations that future designers of DTN-related MIBs SHOULD observe in the design process. Section 4.3.1 gives a generic overview of DTN-related network management concepts and how they might apply to the design of DTN-related MIBs. Sections 4.3.2 through 4.3.4 observe particular MIB types and describe how they can and SHOULD inter-relate with the BP-MIB.

Though it's beyond the scope of this document to discuss DTN network management requirements, certain DTN network management issues SHOULD be considered in the design of DTN-related MIBs. For this reason, this section contains a brief discussions that might introduce potential road-blocks to future designers.

4.3.1. Delay/Disruption Entity Management

DTN-related MIB designers SHOULD consider the environment(s) under which the MIB might be deployed. Environment, as used here, refers to elements like: the storage capacity and processing power of the equipment being used; the frequency at which values might change in relation to the frequency at which they can be transmitted to an NMS; existing network management protocol capabilities and; whether best practices in timely networks apply or if distinctions ought to be made. Additionally, designers SHOULD consider the level of diversity that potential environments might have from one another. Not doing so limits scalability and increases complexity for future designers.

In Delay / Disruption prone environments, high latency and periodic disconnection are likely to occur. With this in mind, designers SHOULD use object types that reflect an object's nature - in regard to how frequently that object might change (e.g. how often a counter

might roll back to 0). Designers SHOULD also consider that certain desirable statistics or trends might be impossible to calculate in the same way they could be in a timely environment. This might result in the need to calculate and store vsuch values on the managed entity. Careful consideration SHOULD be given before using a MIB-based solution to this issue. Not doing so limits scalability and increases complexity for future designers.

4.3.2. CLA MIB Design

As described in sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, Convergence Layer Adapter MIBs will be needed for the management of information beyond what is offered by bpClaTable. This section addresses the design of those MIBs and offers suggestions to the designers.

Within the BP-MIB, the bpClaTable contains objects for each CLA the Node currently has access to. Within this table, two objects were defined as a reference to the underlying CLA's managed objects. These objects (bpClType and bpClID) were described in detail in sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. This document RECOMMENDS that the design of CLA MIBs follow a similar pattern in order to preserve the goal of managing the protocol stack. To achieve this, two things MUST be done on the part of the designer. First, an object whose value can be referenced by bpClID needs to be placed somewhere within the CLA's MIB. This object MUST be assigned an arbitrary, but unique, value for each instance of the object - however, the maintenance of uniqueness might be subject to software implementation rather than in the design of the MIB. Second, object(s) that can be used to reference the layer beneath the CLA need to be created. The exact method of doing this is beyond the scope of this document.

4.3.3. Notification MIB Design

Design of DTN-related TRAP and INFORM objects SHOULD take into account the environment(s) under which they might be deployed. The level of variance one environment's conditions have to others' can be arbitrarily small or large. For instance, delay, in one environment, could refer to a relatively short time span, such as 5 minutes, whereas other environments might consider delay as referring to days at a time. TRAP and INFORM objects SHOULD NOT be designed as a solution to a single environment if they could reasonably be designed to encompass multiple environments.

Because of the introduction of delay into the management process, designers SHOULD consider what is valuable to a management station relative to when that station will receive a notification. Since DTN elements can be managed both locally and remotely, designers of notifications SHOULD consider whether the notification can be used in

a timely or delayed environment. To this same end, a designer SHOULD consider the ways (if any) a notifications can be made to serve both purposes.

4.3.4. Extension Block MIB Design

Extension blocks created for use with the Bundle Protocol are likely to contain characteristics not managed by the BP-MIB. However, the management of information regarding these characteristics SHOULD reference the Node by which the extension block was processed. There are two ways in which this MAY be done. First, a designer could reference the Node by its bpNodeID value. Second, since each Node is a member of at least one Singleton Endpoint, the Endpoint ID (EID) belonging to a Singleton of which the desired Node is a member can be used. This document however, RECOMMENDS use of bpNodeID. Use of a Singleton's EID could fail in cases where a Node is a member of multiple Singleton Endpoints. As long as one other Singleton exists for the Node, it can unregister a Singleton Endpoint. If the EID used to reference the Node belonged to the unregistered Singleton, a management stations would no longer be able to know which Node is related to the extension block information.

5. Definitions

```
BP-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

    IMPORTS
        enterprises, MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE, Integer32,
        Gauge32,
        Counter64, TimeTicks
            FROM SNMPv2-SMI
        MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP
            FROM SNMPv2-CONF;

    bpMIB MODULE-IDENTITY
    LAST-UPDATED "201103130330Z"
    ORGANIZATION "Ohio University IRG"
    CONTACT-INFO
       "        Zack Sims
        Phone:  +1 (740) 285-1895
        Email:  zack.sims@gmail.com

                Hans Kruse
        Phone:  +1 (740) 593-4891
        Email:  kruse@ohio.edu
```

```
        Alt:    hkruse1@mac.com"
    DESCRIPTION
        "This MIB module was designed for the management of Bundle
        Protocol (BP) Nodes, for the Endpoints they are members of,
        and for Node <===> CLA relationships. This MIB is structured
        in a way that Node-Specific objects are arranged separately
        from Endpoint and CLA -Specific objects. Objects which are
        Endpoint or CLA -Specific are arranged in Conceptual Rows
        within their own, respective, Conceptual Tables. Further,
        each instance of this module's objects represents a single
        managed Node. This means that a separate instantiation of
        the BP-MIB's objects will be forged for each Node being
        managed by the system. The layout of the MIB is arranged in
        the following order:

        * Node-Specific Definitions
        * Endpoint-Specific Definitions
        * CLA-Specific Definitions
        * Conformance Requirements for the BP-MIB"

    REVISION "201103130330Z"
    DESCRIPTION
        "The first public announcement of this MIB's creation on the
        DTNRG (Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group) mailing
        list"

    -- These values are temporary until a DTN-MIB can get an IANA
    -- sanctioned value of its own :)

    ::= { dtn 3 }
    dtn OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { collegeOfComm  3 }
    collegeOfComm OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ohioUniv 42 }
    ohioUniv OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { enterprises 32396 }

    -- Node-Specific definitions

    bpNodeObjects OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpMIB 1 }

    bpNodeID OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     OCTET STRING
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "An arbitrary value used to uniquely identify instances
        of the BP-MIB. This value can be used by other MIB
        definitions to reference instances of Bundle Node objects."
    ::= { bpNodeObjects 1 }
```

```
    bpLastUpTime OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     TimeTicks
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Is a representation of the last time this Node was
        restarted and should be set by the system appropriately.
        Some implementations of the Bundle Protocol might choose
        to reset certain values when a Node is shutdown and brought
        back up. This value is NOT a mirror of sysUpTime. Since
        multiple Nodes can be running on a single system, this
        value represents the last time this Node was reset."
    ::= { bpNodeObjects 2 }

    bpBulkPriorGen OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "This keeps track of the number of Bundles generated on this
        Node with a priority value of -bulk-

        Note: the sum of bpNormPriorGen, bpBulkPriorGen, and
        bpExpPriorGen can be used to find the total of Bundles
        generated at the local Node.

        Bundle priority settings are mentioned in section 4.2 of RFC
        5050"
    ::= { bpNodeObjects 3 }

    bpNormPriorGen OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "This keeps track of the number of Bundles generated on this
        Node with the priority value -normal-

        Note: the sum of bpNormPriorGen, bpBulkPriorGen, and
        bpExpPriorGen can be used to find the total of Bundles
        generated at the local Node.

        Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
        5050"
    ::= { bpNodeObjects 4 }

    bpExpPriorGen OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
```

```
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles generated on this
            Node with the priority value -expedited-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorGen, bpBulkPriorGen, and
            bpExpPriorGen can be used to find the total of Bundles
            generated at the local Node.

            Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
            5050"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 5 }

        bpBulkPriorQueued OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles currently queued
            on this Node with the priority value -bulk-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorQueued, bpBulkPriorQueued, and
            bpExpPriorQueued can be used to find the total of Bundles
            currently queued by the local Node.

            Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
            5050"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 6 }

        bpNormPriorQueued OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles currently queued
            on this Node with the priority value -normal-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorQueued, bpBulkPriorQueued, and
            bpExpPriorQueued can be used to find the total of Bundles
            currently queued by the local Node.

            Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
            5050"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 7 }

        bpExpPriorQueued OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
```

```
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles currently queued
            on this Node with the priority value -expedited-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorQueued, bpBulkPriorQueued, and
            bpExpPriorQueued can be used to find the total of Bundles
            currently queued by the local Node.

            Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
            5050"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 8 }

        bpReassemblyPending OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value represents the current number of Bundles queued
            on this Node that have the retention constraint -Reassembly
            Pending-

            RFC 5050 mentions the Reassembly Pending retention
            constraint in Sections 5.7 and 5.9. A retention constraint
            is any reason why a Bundle should not continue to be
            processed (whether it is waiting to reassemble fragments,
            dispatch, forward, or pass custody."
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 9 }

        bpDispatchPending OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value represents the current number of Bundles queued
            on this Node that have the retention constraint -Dispatch
            Pending-

            RFC 5050 mentions the Dispatch Pending retention constraint
            in  Sections 5.2, 5.4 and 5.6. A retention constraint
            is any reason why a Bundle should not continue to be
            (whether it is waiting to reassemble fragments, dispatch,
            forward, or pass custody."
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 10 }

        bpForwardPending OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
```

```
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value represents the current number of Bundles queued
            on this Node that have the retention constraint -Forward
            Pending-

            RFC 5050 mentions the Forward Pending retention constraint
            in Sections 5.4 and 5.4.2. A retention constraint
            is any reason why a Bundle should not continue to be
            (whether it is waiting to reassemble fragments, dispatch,
            forward, or pass custody."
        ::= { bpNodeObjects  11 }

        bpCustodyAccepted OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value represents the current number of Bundles queued
            on this Node that have the retention constraint -Custody
            Accepted-

            RFC 5050 mentions the Custody Accepted retention constraint
            in Sections 5.7 and 5.9. A retention constraint
            is any reason why a Bundle should not continue to be
            (whether it is waiting to reassemble fragments, dispatch,
            forward, or pass custody."
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 12 }

        bpBundleReceptions OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the -Bundle Reception- reports
            that this Node has received. Custody Acceptance reports are
            received by the BPA in the event that a previously sent
            Bundle requested it.

            The Bundle status report request fields are listed in
            Section 4.2 of RFC 5050. Each of the Bundle Status Reports
            are defined in RFC 5050, Section 5.1 and are described in
            greater detail in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 13 }

        bpCustodyAcceptance OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
```

```
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the -Custody Acceptance- reports
            that this Node has received. Custody Acceptance reports are
            received by the BPA in the event that a previously sent
            Bundle requested it.

            The Bundle status report request fields are listed in
            Section 4.2 of RFC 5050. Each of the Bundle Status Reports
            are defined in RFC 5050, Section 5.1 and are described in
            greater detail in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 14 }

        bpBundleForwarding OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the -Bundle Forwarding- reports
            that this Node has received. Bundle Forwarding reports are
            received by the BPA in the event that a previously sent
            Bundle requested it.

            The Bundle status report request fields are listed in
            Section 4.2 of RFC 5050. Each of the Bundle Status Reports
            are defined in RFC 5050, Section 5.1 and are described in
            greater detail in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 15 }

        bpCustodySuccess OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the -Custody Success- reports
            that this Node has received. Custody Success reports are
            received by the BPA in the event that a previously sent
            Bundle requested it.

            The Bundle status report request fields are listed in
            Section 4.2 of RFC 5050. Each of the Bundle Status Reports
            are defined in RFC 5050, Section 5.1 and are described in
            greater detail in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 16 }

        bpBundleDelivery OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
```

```
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the -Bundle Delivery- reports
            that this Node has received. Bundle Delivery reports are
            received by the BPA in the event that a previously sent
            Bundle requested it.

            The Bundle status report request fields are listed in
            Section 4.2 of RFC 5050. Each of the Bundle Status Reports
            are defined in RFC 5050, Section 5.1 and are described in
            greater detail in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 17 }

        bpCustodyFailure OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of times this Node has failed to accept custody
            of incoming Bundles.

            RFC 5050 defines custody transfer failures in Sections
            5.10.1 and 5.12"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 18 }

        bpForwardFailure OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of times this Node has experienced a forwarding
            failure.

            RFC 5050 defines forwarding failures in Sections 5.4.1 and
            5.4.2"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 19 }

        bpAbandonedDelivery OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of times this Node has abandoned the delivery of
            a Bundle.

            RFC 5050 defines abandonment in Section 3.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 20 }
```

```
        bpDiscardedBundles OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has discarded.

            RFC 5050 defines Bundle discarding in Section 3.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 21 }

        bpFragmentedLocally OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has fragmented.

            RFC 5050 defines fragments in Section 3.1 and the
            fragmentation process in Section 5.8"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 22 }

        bpDelExpired OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -Lifetime Expired-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 23 }

        bpDelTransCancelled OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -Transmission Canceled-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 24 }

        bpDelDepStorage OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
```

```
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -Depleted Storage-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 25 }

        bpDelDestUnintel OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -Destination Endpoint ID Unintelligible-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 26 }

        bpDelNoRoute OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -No Known Route to Destination From Here-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 27 }

        bpDelUntimelyContact OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -No Timely Contact With Next Node on Route-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 28 }

        bpDelBlockUnintel OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
```

```
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -Block Unintelligible-

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 29 }

        bpDelNoAdditionalInfo OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of Bundles that this Node has deleted based on
            -No additional information-

            Note, certain software implementations might include
            extensions to the Bundle Protocol. Since extensions are
            prone to errors other than those specified by RFC 5050, this
            value may or may not increment upon extension-related errors
            (a counter of these errors may be contained in the
            extension's MIB). It depends on the extension's MIB design
            and the instrumentation of that MIB.

            RFC 5050 defines the Bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpNodeObjects 30 }

        -- Endpoint-Specific Definitions

        bpRegObjects OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpMIB 2 }

        bpRegCount OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value does NOT keep track of the number of Endpoints
            of which this Node is a member. Rather, it is directly
            related to the number of rows in the bpRegTable. Because
            Endpoints can be unregistered, this value may stay the same
            while the number of Endpoint registrations decreases. This
            value should never be set to 0 because every Node must be a
            member of at least one Singleton Endpoint; see RFC 5050,
            Section 3.1"
        ::= { bpRegObjects 1 }
```

```
bpRegTable OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX     SEQUENCE OF BPRegEntry
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
STATUS     current
DESCRIPTION
    "Is a sequence of Endpoint registrations and exists as a
    conceptual table for storing each registration entry and its
    managed objects. Each registration is uniquely identifiable
    by its EID (Endpoint ID). Each Node should be a member of at
    least one Singleton Endpoint, so this table should always
    have a minimum of 1 entry; see RFC 5050, Section 3.1"
::= { bpRegObjects 2 }

bpRegEntry OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX     BPRegEntry
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
STATUS     current
DESCRIPTION
    "A conceptual row of bpRegTable that contains the
    Endpoint-Specific objects for Endpoints of which this Node
    is a member. Each entry can be uniquely identified by its
    bpEndpointID. There should be at least one bpRegEntry in the
    bpRegTable at all times whose bpIsSingleton value is set to
    true; see RFC 5050, Section 3.1"
INDEX
    { bpRegIndex }
::= { bpRegTable 1 }

BPRegEntry ::= SEQUENCE
{
    bpRegIndex                    Integer32,
    bpEndpointID                  OCTET STRING,
    bpCurState                    BITS,
    bpIsSingleton                 BITS,
    bpDeliveryFailureAction       BITS,
    bpBundlesDelivered            Counter64,
    bpBundlesSent                 Counter64,
    bpBundlesForwarded            Counter64,
    bpBundleDuplicates            Counter64,
    bpBulkPriorRecvd              Counter64,
    bpNormPriorRecvd              Counter64,
    bpExpPriorRecvd               Counter64,
    bpDelExpiredReports           Counter64,
    bpDelTransCancelledReports    Counter64,
    bpDelDepStorageReports        Counter64,
    bpDelDestUnintelReports       Counter64,
    bpDelNoRouteReports           Counter64,
    bpDelUntimelyContactReports   Counter64,
```

```
          bpDelBlockUnintelReports       Counter64,
          bpDelNoAdditionalInfoReports   Counter64
       }

      bpRegIndex OBJECT-TYPE
      SYNTAX     Integer32 (0..2147483647)
      MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
      STATUS     current
      DESCRIPTION
          "An index value that uniquely denotes a conceptual row of
          the bpRegTable."
      ::= { bpRegEntry 1 }

      bpEndpointID OBJECT-TYPE
      SYNTAX     OCTET STRING
      MAX-ACCESS read-only
      STATUS     current
      DESCRIPTION
          "A string value representing the Endpoint ID of this
          registered Endpoint.

          Bundle Endpoints and Endpoint IDs are discussed in RFC 5050,
           Section 3.1"
      ::= { bpRegEntry 2 }

       bpCurState OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX     BITS { passive(0), active(1) }
       MAX-ACCESS read-only
       STATUS     current
       DESCRIPTION
          "The current state of the BP (Bundle Protocol) on this
          Endpoint.

          Possible Values:
              * passive - 0
              * active  - 1

          The active and passive states of a registered Endpoint are
          discussed in RFC 5050, Section 3.1"
       ::= { bpRegEntry 3 }

       bpIsSingleton OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX     BITS { false(0), true(1) }
       MAX-ACCESS read-only
       STATUS     current
       DESCRIPTION
          "This value represents whether or not this registered
          Endpoint is a Singleton.
```

```
            Possible Values:
                * false - 0 - Is not a Singleton Endpoint
                * true  - 1 - Is a Singleton Endpoint

            Singleton Endpoints are discussed in RFC 5050, Section 3.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 4 }

        bpDeliveryFailureAction OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     BITS { abandonDelivery(0), deferDelivery(1) }
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This value represents whether the registered Endpoint's
            Delivery Failure Action is set to defer or abandon.

            Possible Values:
                * abandonDelivery - 1 - Abandon the Bundle's delivery
                * deferDelivery   - 2 - Defer the Bundle's Delivery

            Bundle Delivery Failure Actions are discussed in RFC 5050,
            Section 3.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 5 }

        bpBundlesDelivered OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the Bundles that have been
            delivered to this Node on this Endpoint

            Bundle delivery steps are discussed in RFC 5050, Section
            5.7"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 6 }

        bpBundlesSent OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the Bundles that have been sent
            from this Node through this Endpoint

            Bundle reception and the steps to handling these Bundles are
            discussed in RFC 5050, Section 5.6"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 7 }

        bpBundlesForwarded OBJECT-TYPE
```

```
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the Bundles that have been
            forwarded to a Node, from this Node, that was a member of
            this Endpoint's minimum reception group

            The steps to handling Bundle forwarding are discussed in RFC
            5050, Section 5.4"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 8 }

        bpBundleDuplicates OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A cumulative count of all the duplicate Bundles that have
            been received by this Node from this Endpoint"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 9 }

        bpBulkPriorRecvd OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles received on this
            Node from this Endpoint with the priority value -bulk-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorRecvd, bpBulkPriorRecvd, and
            bpExpPriorRecvd can be used to find the total of Bundles
            that have been received by this Node, from this Endpoint.

            Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
            5050"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 10 }

        bpNormPriorRecvd OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This keeps track of the number of Bundles received on this
            Node from this Endpoint with the priority value -normal-

            Note: the sum of bpNormPriorRecvd, bpBulkPriorRecvd, and
            bpExpPriorRecvd can be used to find the total of Bundles
            that have been received by this Node, from this Endpoint.
```

```
        Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
        5050"
    ::= { bpRegEntry 11 }

    bpExpPriorRecvd OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "This keeps track of the number of Bundles received on this
        Node from this Endpoint with the priority value -expedited-

        Note: the sum of bpNormPriorRecvd, bpBulkPriorRecvd, and
        bpExpPriorRecvd can be used to find the total of Bundles
        that have been received by this Node, from this Endpoint.

        Bundle priority settings are mentioned in Section 4.2 of RFC
        5050"
    ::= { bpRegEntry 12 }

    bpDelExpiredReports OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
        this Endpoint with the reason -Lifetime Expired-

        RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
        and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
    ::= { bpRegEntry 13 }

    bpDelTransCancelledReports OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
        this Endpoint with the reason -Transmission Canceled-

        RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
        and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
    ::= { bpRegEntry 14 }

    bpDelDepStorageReports OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX     Counter64
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS     current
```

```
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint with the reason -Depleted Storage-

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 15 }

        bpDelDestUnintelReports OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint with the reason -Destination Endpoint ID
            Unintelligible-

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 16 }

        bpDelNoRouteReports OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint with the reason -No Known Route to Destination
            From Here-

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 17 }

        bpDelUntimelyContactReports OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint with the reason -No Timely Contact With Next
            Node on Route-

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 18 }

        bpDelBlockUnintelReports OBJECT-TYPE
```

```
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint with the reason -Block Unintelligible-

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 19}

        bpDelNoAdditionalInfoReports OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The number of deletion reports received by this Node from
            this Endpoint for the reason -No Additional Information-

            Note, certain software implementations might include
            extensions to the Bundle Protocol. Since extensions are
            capable of creating new status report types, this value may
            or may not increment from errors outside the scope of RFC
            5050. (another MIB might manage this information). It
            depends on the extension's MIB design and the
            instrumentation of that MIB.

            RFC 5050 defines the bundle deletion process in Section 5.13
            and the deletion status report flags in Section 6.1.1"
        ::= { bpRegEntry 20 }

        -- CLA-Specific Definitions

        bpClaObjects OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpMIB 3 }

        bpClaCount OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Gauge32
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This is a value that keeps track of how many rows are
            currently in the bpClaTable. This value does NOT necessarily
            reflect the current number of CLAs (Convergence Layer
            Adapters) this Node has access to. Since CLAs are
            implementation dependent, there are few qualifiers for a
            generic set of CLA-related manageable objects. A single Node
            can have 0 or more CLAs available, so this value can be set
            to 0.
```

```
            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= {bpClaObjects 1 }

        bpClaTable OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     SEQUENCE OF BPClaEntry
        MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "This Conceptual table contains the set of CLAs (Convergence
            Layer Adapters) that this Node has access to. A CLA is an
            interface between the BPA (Bundle Protocol Agent) and an
            internetwork protocol suite. CLAs and their functions are
            implementation specific, so this set of managed information
            doesn't contain much in the way of detail.

            The items in this table are similar to the ifStackTable in
            RFC 2863, albeit different in that it only tracks the layer
            below the BPA (because the Bundle Protocol rests at the
            application layer and anything above itself would be
            considered tunneling). Each row represents a single CLA;
            what Convergence Layer it's using, and layer traversal
            information.

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= {bpClaObjects 2 }

        bpClaEntry OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     BPClaEntry
        MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Each entry represents a single CLA (Convergence Layer
            Adapter) of this Node. A CLA, as defined in RFC 5050, is an
            interface between the Bundle Protocol Agent and an
            inter-network protocol suite. Each bpClaEntry contains a
            reference to the layer beneath the BPA or, worded
            differently, to its CL (Convergence Layer). It's the job of
            the bpClType object in each row to denote what type of
            protocol the CL is. If the underlying layer is not an
            inter-networking protocol, the bpClType is set to 1 (which
            signifies that it's an interface).

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        INDEX
        { bpClaIndex }
```

```
       ::= { bpClaTable 1 }

       BPClaEntry ::= SEQUENCE
       {
         bpClaIndex                    Integer32,
         bpClType                      Integer32,
         bpClaDisplayName              OCTET STRING,
         bpClID                        OCTET STRING,
         bpClOutBndls                  Counter64,
         bpClInBndls                   Counter64,
         bpClaDirection                BITS
       }

       bpClaIndex OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX     Integer32 (0..2147483647)
       MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
       STATUS     current
       DESCRIPTION
           "An index value that is used to uniquely represent each
           conceptual row of the bpClaTable."
       ::= { bpClaEntry 1 }

       bpClType OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX     Integer32 (0..2147483647)
       MAX-ACCESS read-only
       STATUS     current
       DESCRIPTION
           "With the desire to avoid dependence on any specific
           underlying protocols or protocol-suite, this value exists to
           specify the underlying CL (Convergence Layer) type being
           used by this CLA (Convergence Layer Adapter). Each known CL
           type will be associated to a unique integer value greater
           than 0. Doing this will help to avoid implementation
           inconsistencies.

           Each value herein uniquely represents a Convergence Layer
           type and, likewise, can be used in conjunction with the
           bpClID value to find managed information regarding an
           instance of the appropriate CLA's MIB.

           Convergence Layer Values:
           Interface         1
           LTP               2
           UDP               3
           TCP               4
           IP                5
           DCCP              6
```

```
            NOTE: if this convention becomes accepted, these values
            should probably make their way into a textual-convention
            rather than being loosely defined in a description block!

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 2 }

        bpClaDisplayName OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     OCTET STRING
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A string value that represents a locally assigned,
            human-readable name given to this CLA (Convergence Layer
            Adapter) by the BPA (Bundle Protocol Agent); assuming the
            BPA implementation has this capability.

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 3 }

        bpClID OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     OCTET STRING
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "An arbitrary value that uniquely identifies an instance of
            managed information for the CLA (Convergence Layer Adapter)
            which is associated to this entry.

            Interpretation of this value is opaque to a user, but can be
            used by the management agent to determine which instance of
            a CLA's MIB is referenced by this table entry. The format of
            this UID ought to be determined by implementors of BPA
            (Bundle Protocol Agent) software and it should be noted that
            no two conceptual rows in the bpClaTable (for a given Node)
            should have the same bpClID value.

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 4 }

        bpClOutBndls OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
```

```
            "A counter that keeps track of the number of Bundles passed
            from the BPA (Bundle Protocol Agent) to the CL (Convergence
            Layer) through this CLA (Convergence Layer Adapter).

            Note: CLA implementation compliance is limited in definition
            (see RFC 5050), and may result in many styles of CLA MIB
            implementation. Some may use a single CLA to handle inbound
            and outbound traffic. Other implementations may use one CLA
            for inbound traffic and a separate CLA for outbound. To know
            if this CLA is uni-directional or bidirectional, look at
            bpClaDirection.

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 5 }

        bpClInBndls OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     Counter64
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A counter that keeps track of the number of Bundles passed
            to the BPA (Bundle Protocol Agent) from the CL (Convergence
            Layer) through this CLA (Convergence Layer Adapter).

            Note: CLA implementation compliance is limited in definition
            (see RFC 5050), and may result in many styles of CLA MIB
            implementation. Some may use a single CLA to handle inbound
            and outbound traffic. Other implementations may use one CLA
            for inbound traffic and a separate CLA for outbound. To know
            if this CLA is uni-directional or bidirectional, look at
            bpClaDirection.

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 6 }

        bpClaDirection OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX     BITS { inBound(0), outBound(1), biDirectional(2) }
        MAX-ACCESS read-only
        STATUS     current
        DESCRIPTION
            "A value representing the nature of the CLA (Convergence
            Layer Adapter) and whether the BPA (Bundle Protocol Agent)
            can send/receive to/from the CL through the CLA. Some
            implementations of BP software, such as satellite software,
            may find it preferable to have uni-directional traffic while
            others may prefer to have a single entry resolve all traffic
```

```
            (bi-directional).

            Possible Values:
                * inBound       - 0 - inbound traffic only
                * outBound      - 1 - outbound traffic only
                * biDirectional - 2 - bi-directional traffic

            CLAs are described in RFC 5050 in Section 3.1 and CLs are
            discussed in Section 7"
        ::= { bpClaEntry 7 }

        -- Conformance Requirements for the BP-MIB

        bpConformance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpMIB 4 }
        bpMIBCompliance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpConformance 1 }

        bpCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
        STATUS      current
        DESCRIPTION
            "The compliance for Network Elements that are running a
            Bundle Protocol Implementation."
        MODULE
        GROUP       bpNodeInfoGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Node-Specific information regarding the Node itself and the
            Bundles which are currently queued by it."
        GROUP       bpNodeActivityGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Node-Specific information that offers a view of what a Node
            has done locally and what sorts of actions or events the
            Node may have undergone."
        GROUP       bpNodeDelGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Node-Specific information that keeps counters for the
            different reasons a Bundle has been deleted on this Node."
        GROUP       bpRegInfoGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Endpoint-Specific information directly regarding the Node's
            Endpoint registrations. Things like: EID, state, Singleton
            status, and delivery failure action."
        GROUP       bpRegActivityGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Endpoint-Specific information that keeps counters for the
            amount of Bundles that fit a certain criterion, such as:
            sends, receives, local deliveries, etc"
        GROUP       bpRegDelStatusGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "Endpoint-Specific information that keeps counters for the
```

```
            number of status reports received on this Endpoint for this
            Node."
        GROUP       bpClaGroup
        DESCRIPTION
            "CLA-Specific Information that relates to a Bundle Node's
            Convergence Layer Adapters and the corresponding Convergence
            Layers themselves"
        ::= { bpMIBCompliance 1 }

        -- BP MIB Group Definitions

        bpMIBGroups OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { bpConformance 2 }

        bpNodeInfoGroup OBJECT-GROUP
        OBJECTS
        {
            bpNodeID,
            bpLastUpTime,
            bpBulkPriorQueued,
            bpNormPriorQueued,
            bpExpPriorQueued,
            bpReassemblyPending,
            bpDispatchPending,
            bpForwardPending,
            bpCustodyAccepted
        }
        STATUS  current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Node-Specific information regarding the Node itself and the
            Bundles which are currently queued by it."
        ::= { bpMIBGroups 1 }

        bpNodeActivityGroup OBJECT-GROUP
        OBJECTS
        {
            bpBulkPriorGen,
            bpNormPriorGen,
            bpExpPriorGen,
            bpCustodyFailure,
            bpForwardFailure,
            bpAbandonedDelivery,
            bpDiscardedBundles,
            bpFragmentedLocally,
            bpBundleReceptions,
            bpCustodyAcceptance,
            bpBundleForwarding,
            bpCustodySuccess,
            bpBundleDelivery
```

```
    }
    STATUS  current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Node-Specific objects that offer a view of what a Node
        has done locally and what sorts of actions or events the
        Node may have undergone."
    ::= { bpMIBGroups 2 }

    bpNodeDelGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS
    {
        bpDelExpired,
        bpDelTransCancelled,
        bpDelDepStorage,
        bpDelDestUnintel,
        bpDelNoRoute,
        bpDelUntimelyContact,
        bpDelBlockUnintel,
        bpDelNoAdditionalInfo
    }
    STATUS  current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Node-Specific information that keeps counters for the
        different reasons a Bundle has been deleted on this Node."
    ::= { bpMIBGroups 3 }

    bpRegInfoGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS
    {
        bpRegCount,
        bpEndpointID,
        bpCurState,
        bpIsSingleton,
        bpDeliveryFailureAction
    }
    STATUS  current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Endpoint-Specific information directly regarding the Node's
        Endpoint registrations. Things like: EID, state, Singleton
        status, and delivery failure action."
    ::= { bpMIBGroups 4 }

    bpRegActivityGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS
    {
        bpBundlesDelivered,
        bpBundlesSent,
        bpBundlesForwarded,
```

```
            bpBundleDuplicates,
            bpBulkPriorRecvd,
            bpNormPriorRecvd,
            bpExpPriorRecvd
        }
        STATUS  current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Endpoint-Specific information that keeps counters for the
            amount of Bundles that fit a certain criterion, such as:
            sends, receives, local deliveries, etc"
        ::= { bpMIBGroups 5 }

        bpRegDelStatusGroup OBJECT-GROUP
        OBJECTS
        {
            bpDelExpiredReports,
            bpDelTransCancelledReports,
            bpDelDepStorageReports,
            bpDelDestUnintelReports,
            bpDelNoRouteReports,
            bpDelUntimelyContactReports,
            bpDelBlockUnintelReports,
            bpDelNoAdditionalInfoReports
        }
        STATUS  current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Endpoint-Specific information that keeps counters for the
            number of status reports received on this Endpoint for this
            Node."
        ::= { bpMIBGroups 6 }

        bpClaGroup OBJECT-GROUP
        OBJECTS
        {
          bpClaCount,
          bpClType,
          bpClaDisplayName,
          bpClID,
          bpClOutBndls,
          bpClInBndls,
          bpClaDirection
        }
        STATUS  current
        DESCRIPTION
            "CLA-Specific Information that relates to a Bundle Node's
            Convergence Layer Adapters and the corresponding Convergence
            Layers themselves"
        ::= { bpMIBGroups 7 }
```

```
END
```

6. Acknowledgements

Extensive thanks is given to Hans Kruse, Dovel Myers, Gilbert Clark, Josh Schendel, and the family of Ohio University's Internetworking Research Group Laboratory for their contributions on the MIB's design and how it might inter-relate with other DTN-related MIBs.

7. IANA Considerations

This section has work to be done. IANA considerations do include the standardization of the bpClType object and any Textual-Convention that is subsequently created for it.

8. Security Considerations

Though SNMPv3 is the current de facto standard of network management protocols, there is no guarantee that this will hold true in the DTN architecture. With this in mind, any protocols used should consider, to the fullest feasible extent, the security measures used in SNMPv3. Those protocols should also consider those security considerations specifically listed in the following paragraphs.

There are no management objects defined in this MIB module that have a MAX-ACCESS clause of read-write and/or read-create. So, if this MIB module is implemented correctly, then there is no risk that an intruder can alter or create any management objects of this MIB module via direct SNMP SET operations.

SNMP versions prior to SNMPv3 did not include adequate security. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPSec), even then, there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed to access and GET/SET (read/change/create/delete) the objects in this MIB module.

It is RECOMMENDED that implementers consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework (see [RFC3410], section 8), including full support for the SNMPv3 cryptographic mechanisms (for authentication and privacy).

Further, deployment of SNMP versions prior to SNMPv3 is NOT RECOMMENDED. Instead, it is RECOMMENDED to deploy SNMPv3 and to enable cryptographic security. It is then a customer/operator

responsibility to ensure that the SNMP entity giving access to an instance of this MIB module is properly configured to give access to the objects only to those principals (users) that have legitimate rights to indeed GET or SET (change/create/delete) them.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.

[RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.

[RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.

[RFC2863] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.

[RFC4838] Cerf, V., Burleigh, S., Hooke, A., Torgerson, L., Durst, R., Scott, K., Fall, K., and H. Weiss, "Delay-Tolerant Networking Architecture", RFC 4838, April 2007.

[RFC5050] Scott, K. and S. Burleigh, "Bundle Protocol Specification", RFC 5050, November 2007.

9.2. Informative References

[RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, "Introduction and Applicability Statements for Internet-Standard Management Framework", RFC 3410, December 2002.

Author's Address

Zack Sims (editor)
Ohio University

Phone: +1 740 285 1895
Email: zack.sims@gmail.com