

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: September 15, 2011

B. Nordman  
Lawrence Berkeley National  
Laboratory  
March 14, 2011

Energy perspective on applicability  
draft-nordman-eman-energy-perspective-01

Abstract

This memo discusses applicability for energy management features to various types of devices and buildings. It describes the variety of applications that can use the EMAN energy framework and associated MIB modules. Potential examples are building networks, home energy gateway, etc. Finally, the document will also discuss relationships of the framework to other architectures and frameworks (such as smartgrid). The applicability statement will explain the relationship between the work in this WG and the other existing standards such as those from the IEC, ANSI, DMTF, and others.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 15, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction . . . . . 4
  - 1.1. Eman overview . . . . . 4
  - 1.2. Usage overview . . . . . 5
- 2. Terminology . . . . . 6
  - 2.1. Building Network . . . . . 6
  - 2.2. Network Management System . . . . . 6
  - 2.3. Energy . . . . . 6
- 3. Use Contexts . . . . . 6
  - 3.1. Management context . . . . . 6
    - 3.1.1. Highly managed . . . . . 7
    - 3.1.2. Loosely managed . . . . . 7
    - 3.1.3. Hybrids . . . . . 7
  - 3.2. Building types . . . . . 8
    - 3.2.1. Residential . . . . . 8
    - 3.2.2. Commercial . . . . . 8
    - 3.2.3. Data Centers . . . . . 9
    - 3.2.4. Other industrial buildings . . . . . 9
    - 3.2.5. Vehicles . . . . . 9
  - 3.3. Device types . . . . . 10
    - 3.3.1. Information technology . . . . . 10
    - 3.3.2. Other electronic devices . . . . . 10
    - 3.3.3. Non-electronic devices . . . . . 10
- 4. Other topics . . . . . 10
  - 4.1. Power distribution . . . . . 10
  - 4.2. Discovery . . . . . 11
  - 4.3. Identity . . . . . 11
- 5. Related Standards and Activities . . . . . 12
  - 5.1. Standards that inform measurement . . . . . 12
  - 5.2. Standards that inform reporting . . . . . 12
    - 5.2.1. DMTF . . . . . 12
    - 5.2.2. Ecma SDC . . . . . 13
  - 5.3. Other Standards and Activities . . . . . 13
    - 5.3.1. Smart Grid . . . . . 13
- 6. Security Considerations . . . . . 14

7. IANA Considerations . . . . . 14

8. Acknowledgements . . . . . 14

9. Informative References . . . . . 14

Author's Address . . . . . 15

## 1. Introduction

The EMAN framework describes functionality for reporting of energy information in an Internet Protocol network. Monitoring is a critical first step towards energy management more generally, including control. Other Internet Drafts describe the requirements, framework, and implementation of this system. This document reviews how it is expected to be used, and how it relates to other activities regarding energy and information technology.

This document is intended to be useful to a wide set of audiences, including those with energy as a primary interest (who do not necessarily have any background in networking), the more usual network-centric audience in the IETF (who may have not connection to energy issues), and many whose knowledge and interest is intermediate.

### 1.1. Eman overview

The most basic example of energy management is a single device reporting only basic information about its own energy status; these "simple devices". The information is reported directly to a Network Management System (NMS).

The framework also provides additional features for collecting information from devices intermediate between the NMS and end-use devices. These intermediate devices ("complex devices") may have capabilities for monitoring or control, may serve to collect information from many devices for more efficient data transfer, may process the data (e.g. by summing across many devices), or any combination of these. The same protocol is used whether the NMS is communicating with an intermediate or end use device. The same protocol may be used between an intermediate and end use device.

This protocol does not define anything about the network management system, but only identifies it as the recipient of information. The NMS will commonly have an entire single building as its scope, though in some cases will cover only a part of a building, or multiple buildings. Usually the NMS will be scoped to match the reach of the local area network it is part of.

All devices are in scope, whether they are traditional IT products like computers or network equipment, or other energy-using devices that are only now beginning to get IP connections, such as appliances, lighting, and climate control systems. (Devices that are only ever powered by batteries, such as sensor nodes, could use this protocol, but are not a target).

The other eman documents are authoritative for specific technical content.

## 1.2. Usage overview

The basic usage of the eman protocol is a building operator installing software for collecting eman informatin on an existing device in the building, e.g. a personal computer, a server, or piece of network equipment. This software is the Network Management System (NMS) for eman; it can be implemented by extending an existing NMS that performs other functions, or by software that only deals with energy issues.

The NMS begins by probing the local area network to discover devices that respond to eman queries. It first discovers what devices are on the network at all, then determines the subset that implement eman. It then requests all information from each eman reporting device; much of which is static so does not need to be requested again.

The NMS then determines how often to query each device for updates to the energy information. This will likely vary by building type and device type, and the period could range from monthly to once per minute. The frequency of interrogation is entirely up to the NMS and can change dynamically as the NMS deems necessary. Only a small amount of data needs to be provided for the periodic reporting.

Some devices will fail to report some of the time, either because they are in a low-power state which does not include the ability to do eman reporting, or because they are portable and only sometimes in the building (e.g. a notebook computer). Occasionally, a device will leave the building permanently.

The NMS needs to periodically scan for new eman devices, and query for all devices for characteristics that could in principle change, but do so infrequently or never.

Finally, the NMS will digest the reported information into forms readily understood by people. Esxample include summaries by type of device ("energy end uses") based on the reported identity information, or by location within the building. Also, a NMS may detect suspected or known anomalous energy usage and highlight that, in case it represents equipment malfunction or inefficient or insufficient operation. Electricity pricing is becoming increasingly dynamic, so that the simple translation from quantity of energy to economic cost is becoming more complex. The ability of eman to enable tracking energy use over time provides for incorporating the time dimension of electricity use into both monitoring and control.

## 2. Terminology

This section reviews select terms used in this draft.

### 2.1. Building Network

Traditional IT local networks are made up of entities that provide information services. Future Building Networks will not be separate from the IT network, but will incorporate many devices whose primary function is not information, such as those that provide light, regulate temperature or ventilation, and appliances. A building network is IP-based and enables full inter-operation of IT and non-IT devices.

Traditional building control systems were developed before IP networking, often have limited scope in the services they address, and are often based on proprietary technologies.

### 2.2. Network Management System

A Network Management System (NMS) is the entity which requests information from energy-using devices. It may be a system which also implements other network management functions, or one that only deals with energy. It may be limited to monitoring energy use, or it may implement control functions (based on eman, other protocols, or both).

### 2.3. Energy

At present, the eman framework only addresses electricity use. It is plausible, and likely, that a future version of it will extend to other forms of energy (e.g. methane, steam, and hot/cold water), and even to non-energy quantities (e.g. temperature and flow).

## 3. Use Contexts

This section reviews the applications that the framework is intended to be suitable for. These vary according to the nature of devices involved, and the institutional environment (building type, management approach, and purpose). The other documents specify nothing about the network management system (NMS).

### 3.1. Management context

Buildings vary in scale from those with thousands of occupants, down to those with few or even none, with similar ranges in relevant floor areas. Some of these buildings have people with a job function that

specifically covers energy management, and in others, no one actively pays attention to the topic.

#### 3.1.1. Highly managed

Some network environments are closely monitored for what devices are introduced to it, their characteristics and capabilities, and the functions they provide; many data centers are managed this way. These are more likely to use advanced features of energy management technology, including accounting for multiple power supplies for products, use of power control, and more attention to power distribution. They also are more likely to be concerned with power quality characteristics.

The NMS in these contexts may be integrated with systems for functional control of devices. For a data center, the primary focus is the IT equipment it contains, though the devices that provide power (reliability, conditioning, distribution, and/or control) and those that do space conditioning are also likely to be monitored through the NMS. Monitoring data may be obtained frequently to closely track a dynamic usage environment.

#### 3.1.2. Loosely managed

Other environments are not actively managed at all. Devices enter or leave the network on their own terms, and are fundamentally autonomous. Power control is not utilized at all, and the goal of the energy management facility is to simply understand what is going on, not to actively manage it. Most residential buildings are an example of this type of network, where there is no personnel or procedures for active network management. Power quality and capacity are essentially never a concern.

The NMS in a loosely managed environment should be as automatic as possible, so that the user can get useful information with little or no effort. No functional control is involved. Such environments will have a mixture of devices that can report power information as well as many that cannot. The NMS is principally tracking long-term trends and so information gathering is usually not frequent.

#### 3.1.3. Hybrids

Most network environments have elements of these two extremes, both sets of devices of each sort, as well as devices that are managed in an intermediate form. Commercial buildings are commonly of this form, with some devices being highly managed, and others only loosely tracked.

The NMS for a hybrid must be able to accomodate a diverse set of devices and is likely to track some closely, and others much less so.

### 3.2. Building types

The EMAN facility is designed to be used in any building type (though the specific needs of industrial buildings have not yet been considered). Core building types are residential, commercial, and vehicle. In the United States, buildings account for just over 70% of electricity use, with this split almost evenly between residential and commercial.

The cases of multi-tenant buildings (residential and commercial) noted below raise the possibility of a device reporting to more than one NMS.

#### 3.2.1. Residential

Residential buildings usually have no existing infrastructure for reporting energy use of devices within them. There are products available that can monitor and track whole-building use, either from added hardware, or by leveraging a communicating meter. However, this gives no visibility to how much electricity is being consumed by each device. There are expensive systems available for houses that integrate control of many systems (e.g. climate control, lighting, security, entertainment) that can incorporate tracking of usage times and so well approximate energy use, but these are generally proprietary and not IP-based.

Residential buildings that incorporate multiple units are best dealt with as each unit being a separate building for NMS purposes. Privacy and security both preclude sharing much information outside the NMS, except for services that are centrally provided (e.g. hot water or space conditioning). Such buildings also have energy used in common areas and for common functions.

The term Home Energy Gateway is sometimes used to denote a demarcation point between devices in a building and the outside world. These gateways can also perform some active monitoring and control functions. There is no need for the architecture of a building network to be different in houses from other building types so that this is really just a specific example of a building network gateway.

#### 3.2.2. Commercial

Commercial buildings vary enormously in scale, with some smaller than a typical house, to entire campuses of multi-story buildings.

Smaller buildings share many characteristics with houses in terms of technology and management styles. Larger buildings usually have some sorts of building control systems, though usually there are several systems for individual types of functions, and most are not IP-based. Thus, while some energy information can usually be extracted digitally, it is usually not comprehensive, and often derived from proprietary systems. With increasing building size, it is more likely that someone has energy management as an explicit job function.

Some commercial buildings have the multi-tenant character of some residential buildings, though the degree to which services are the responsibility of the building owner is usually greater than with residential.

### 3.2.3. Data Centers

A data center is technically an industrial building, but for eman purposes it has special interest and so is treated separately. These are highly managed environments and are most likely to use the most advanced and complex features of eman, and have more sophisticated mechanisms for power distribution and control, including use of power distribution units. They are also likely to see the quickest uptake of the protocol. Thus, their importance for eman is out of scale with their portion of global electricity use (a few percent).

### 3.2.4. Other industrial buildings

Industrial buildings in general use electricity for both process and non-process loads. Non-process loads are similar to those in other building types. Process loads have not yet been considered in the eman process.

### 3.2.5. Vehicles

While it may initially seem curious to treat automobiles, airplanes, and boats as types of buildings, for purposes of energy management, it is quite appropriate. They are generally self-contained structures with electricity distribution for a variety of uses (some infrastructure and some occupant oriented). Electricity is typically more expensive in energy and carbon terms than for fixed buildings and may have constrained capacity, so the reason to be concerned with energy management is even greater with vehicles. Many vehicles can connect to the electricity grid when stationary.

### 3.3. Device types

The EMAN facility is designed to be used for any device type. Its design and usage specifically takes account of two primary types: electronic devices, and all others.

#### 3.3.1. Information technology

For many years, the only devices on IP networks were computers and network equipment. To these were added other types of information technology devices, such as printers and storage. These devices are at the core of EMAN and will see the widest initial use of EMAN reporting.

#### 3.3.2. Other electronic devices

Information technology is a specific subset of the general category of "electronic" devices - those which have information as their primary function. Even televisions have a primary purpose of displaying information, and thus the traditional category of entertainment consumer electronics can be logically grouped under information technology. These devices are seeing rapid uptake of IP connectivity, and the distinction between IT and other electronic devices blurring.

#### 3.3.3. Non-electronic devices

"Electronics" are devices whose primary function is information so that "non-electronics" is everything else in buildings, such as lighting, appliances, and equipment for space conditioning. This term does not imply that they have no electronic components.

## 4. Other topics

This section explores other topics relevant to energy management and eman.

### 4.1. Power distribution

An aspect of energy reporting that may not be initially apparent is how it can support understanding of power distribution systems. That is, different collections of devices in a building may be in different 'domains' of electricity distribution, with a common fate (e.g. downstream of a circuit breaker), or under the same electricity meter. This is accomplished two ways: via reporting by products which have a power distribution function themselves (e.g. a Power Distribution Unit or an Ethernet switch that supports Power over

Ethernet), or by products self-identifying the domain they are a part of (usually by manual configuration).

In the past, few buildings had complex power distribution, with most simply a tree of circuit breakers, and just a few levels of AC power utilized. Today, an increasing number have additional features, such as uninterruptable power supplies, low-voltage DC powered devices, local generation, and storage. Power reporting mechanisms such as eman will need to have some understanding of these features.

#### 4.2. Discovery

A Network Management System requires some method of collecting a list of the entities on the network that it needs to be cognizant of, both when it initially begins operation, and maintaining this on an ongoing basis as the population of devices evolves. There are three basic methods: protocol, manual, and opportunistic. A NMS can utilize more than one method.

In the protocol approach, the NMS periodically broadcasts a request for any EMAN reporting entity to identify itself to the NMS. For each entity that replies, the NMS queries it for the specific information it has.

In the manual approach, the identity of each device to be managed is provided to the NMS. Usually, additional information will also be provided, such as functional relationships among devices, policies to be employed (e.g. prioritization of the importance of each device), and control strategies (e.g. under what conditions a device should be have its power supply removed or reinstated).

In the opportunistic approach, the NMS observes the network to notice when a new device appears, then queries it for EMAN capabilities.

A NMS may also participate in one or more service discovery protocols to determine when a new device appears, though as none of these protocols are universal, this will always be an incomplete method. A NMS also has to deal with the fact that some devices will eventually disappear from the network and need to be expired from its databases. Also, some devices will be only intermittently on the network, either from being physically absent some of the time, or powered down to a low-power state in which they can't respond to EMAN queries.

#### 4.3. Identity

Eman needs to report basic characteristics of the "identity" of a device for the NMS to know how to interpret the information. That is, while the IP and MAC addresses of a device are essential to know,

they are by no means sufficient. Other aspects of identity include what a device is (a general category as a person would describe it), its brand and model, and a locally determined name useful for building occupants.

## 5. Related Standards and Activities

This section reviews related standards and other activities that have some relationship to the EMAN protocol. A key point is that eman reports data on individual devices. Many standards are oriented to entire buildings or other large entities.

### 5.1. Standards that inform measurement

There are many energy test procedures for specific products. These generally are for tests conducted in laboratory conditions in specified configurations to assess energy performance for comparison to other models or criteria levels. However, EMAN measurements are not conducted in a laboratory, not under such specified conditions, and need to be universal across all products, so a "horizontal" test procedure is more relevant. The most widely used of these is IEC 62301 [IEC-62301] on measurement of standby power. While 62301 was created by a committee with a mandate on household appliances, it has been designed to be universal for any product commonly found in residential or commercial buildings, and is referenced in test procedures for appliances, electronics, and other devices. It was originally published in 2005, with a second edition finalized in 2011.

### 5.2. Standards that inform reporting

Energy reporting over networks is a relatively new service. Few devices had the hardware ability to measure power, and few of the rest made an attempt to estimate it. Further, for power state, devices could only report when they were fully on, so never could report themselves when in a low power state. Finally, the ability to remotely apply or remove power from a device has been confined to very specific usage environments.

#### 5.2.1. DMTF

The Distributed Management Task Force (DMTF) has specified communication of power state information.

The DMTF Common Information Model (CIM) includes information about power states.

### 5.2.2. Ecma SDC

The Ecma International committee on Smart Data Centre (TC38-SDC [Ecma-SDC]) is in the process of defining semantics for management of entities in a data center such as servers, storage, network equipment, etc. It covers energy as one of many functional resources or attributes of systems for measurement and/or control. It only defines terms and variables, and does not reference any specific protocol. Its goal is to enable interoperability of such protocols by ensuring a common semantic model across them.

The SDC process is still underway, with a timeframe similar to EMAN. There seems to be no fundamental barrier to the two efforts to harmonize on aspects they have in common. These include identity, power states, power levels, accumulated energy use, and tracking of time.

### 5.3. Other Standards and Activities

While manufacturers may implement EMAN capabilities in their products, there are other organizations that may also do this. Future standards may reference EMAN as functionality that more comprehensive systems rely on (e.g. using reported power state for functional purposes). They may also define extensions to or particular uses of the EMAN facility.

In future, energy standards, both voluntary and mandatory, may reward or require use of EMAN capabilities. For example, the Energy Star program already references other specific network technologies in a variety of its specifications. In fact, the initial framework document for revising the Energy Star Computer specification [ESTAR] references the IETF eman activity. The most likely use of EMAN would be simply for a device to be able to report on its own basic status as defined by EMAN, such as identity, power state, power level, and accumulated energy.

#### 5.3.1. Smart Grid

There are many definitions of what constitutes the "Smart Grid". In the most general sense, it is the application of information technology to our electricity system, so that the EMAN framework is an excellent example of that. Alternatively, it can describe using information technology to improve the electricity grid, from the power plant through transmission and distribution systems and ending at the meter. In this case, the EMAN framework has no connection to the Smart Grid. The most common definitions of the Smart Grid acknowledge that what occurs in buildings is different from the utility-managed grid, but specify some communication directly between

the grid and end-use devices. The EMAN framework does not anticipate communication with entities outside the building, but rather only with a local NMS. The NMS could communicate with the grid, but that is well outside the EMAN scope and framework. End-use devices can still coordinate with the grid through other protocols, either in one-way communication (receiving demand response or direct price signals from the grid), or in two-way communication with the grid.

## 6. Security Considerations

The energy management facilities discussed here raise a number of security considerations. While not a part of the current drafts, the ability of one device to control the power state of a second connected device can be a problem if they do not share the same management goal. This can be either the act of powering down a device (e.g. from on to sleep or off), rendering it unable to perform ordinary services it might otherwise accomplish, or powering the device up, and consequently using energy resources not otherwise desired. Beyond control, simple information about the current or historic energy use of a device can indicate details of occupancy of the main person using the device, or of applications running on the device.

The capabilities described in this document do not introduce any new capabilities for security. Rather, any device that implements them must use existing security infrastructure and policies.

## 7. IANA Considerations

This memo creates several possible actions for IANA. First is a single canonical listing of "identity" of a device, in terms of what it is. Second is possible enumeration of power states, and/or functional states.

## 8. Acknowledgements

This memo was inspired by discussions with Benoit Claise, Emmanuel Tychon, Juergen Quittek, Chris Verges, John Parello, Rolf Winter, and Bill Mielke.

## 9. Informative References

[RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart,  
"Introduction and Applicability Statements for Internet-

Standard Management Framework", RFC 3410, December 2002.

[IEC-62301]

IEC TC 59 / MT 9, "IEC 63301 - Measurement of Standby Power", February 2011.

[Ecma-SDC]

Ecma TC38 / SDC Task Group, "Smart Data Centre Resource Monitoring and Control (DRAFT)", March 2011.

[ESTAR]

US EPA, "Energy Star Computer Specification Discussion Document, [http://energystar.gov/ia/partners/prod\\_development/revisions/downloads/computer/Computers\\_V6\\_Discussion\\_Document.pdf](http://energystar.gov/ia/partners/prod_development/revisions/downloads/computer/Computers_V6_Discussion_Document.pdf)", March 2011.

Author's Address

Bruce Nordman  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
1 Cyclotron Road, 90-4000  
Berkeley 94720-8136  
US

Phone: +1 510 486 7089  
Email: [bnordman@lbl.gov](mailto:bnordman@lbl.gov)