Pricing the Internet

Geoff Huston

Issues Covered

Cost Identification

Pricing Policies

Cost Identification

Cost elements for an Internet Service Technical staff Operational and support staff Administrative overheads Capital equipment data transmission costs ⊠domestic line leases ☑ international line leases ■ISP transit costs

Cost Profile - non US

HTypical recurrent costs Imational backbone carrier \boxtimes non-US △staff & admin 10% Adomestic leases 30% international leases 60% international transit <1%

Cost Profile - non US



Cost Profile

How and the second state of the seco

Cost Profile - US

% typical recurrent costs

- ⊠access provider
- ⊠non-backbone
- ⊠non US
- Customer support and marketing 50%
- Access infrastructure
 - 20%
- domestic access to backbone 25%

Cost Profile - US



Cost Profile - Backbone Net

Determining the unit cost of passing traffic over the network sum of unit costs for traffic over each circuit normalised by average end to end traffic profile



Cost Profile

 $\mathbf{\mathfrak{K}}$ determining the unit cost of passing traffic over a circuit

- △bidirectional or unidirectional?
- ⊡line occupancy pattern (peak to average)
- △average sustainable line occupancy





% leased circuit cost

- Circuit lease cost must be fully defrayed at average circuit occupancy of 55% for a stable operating network.
- higher average occupancy is possible at the cost of peak load inefficiency
- lower average occupancy is undersubscription of the circuit resource.

Worked Example

#2Mbps circuit - lease cost of \$150,000 per month

#unit cost of data is 28.2 cents per Megabyte

Worked Example

#2Mbps can deliver 663,552 Mb in each direction per month

∺Total possible traffic level is 1,327,104 Mb in both directions

#40% target line occupancy is 530,842 Mb #\$150,000 divided by 530,842 is \$ 0.28

Worked Example

International line has double the cost
 you can't get the other side to pay!
 From previous example the unit cost of data is 56.4 cents per Megabyte

Cost Profile Example

| Туре | Proportion | unit cost | %total cost |
|------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| | of traffic | | |

| Intnl | 65 | 1.00 | 89% |
|-------|----|------|-----|
| Dom | 20 | 0.32 | 10% |
| Local | 15 | 0.08 | 1% |

#minimise International Lease costs Itariff structure of decreasing unit cost with ⊠longer lease commitment ⊠higher volume circuit \bigtriangleup Note that the Minimum Investment Unit (MIU) of international cable systems is an E1 bearer ⊠major cost break leading to E1 size reduced cost break thereafter

- #terminate at the cheapest useful full circuit
 location
 - A high volume termination locations are cheaper
 - distance is not a significant factor
- #maximise useful circuit capacity
 - Secondary goal
 - Avoid the long delay pipe protocol behaviour
 - ☐use cable if marginal premium over satellite is small
 - Itend to cable for higher bandwidths

#Minimising International Lease cost is the most significant cost factor
 #Domestic lease cost can be significant
 Similar factors apply here as with International leases

International Access Costs

Connect to "upstream" ISP
 Import default route
 Contract ISP to advertise your routes to Internet
 Cost highly variable
 Quality of default can be variable
 Purchase carefully!

International Access Costs

 \Re Connect to an exchange point △Can advertise your routes to all exchange peers Can import all announced routes to your network HThis is not the same as importation of default You need to purchase transit at the exchange point in order to reach other exchange points \bigtriangleup same conditions apply

Costs and Revenue

#This is a growth industry

Cost containment is subsidiary to revenue growth

#Effective marketing leads to

△higher revenue

 \bigtriangleup lower unit costs

Client Pricing

Cover costs?
Generate revenue?
constrain / encourage use?
competitive positioning

Revenue Generation

% constrained by policy objective of the network

%initial revenue levels need to be offset
against future growth potential within
competitive environment

#maintain revenue levels in line with investor expectation

Constrain / Encourage Use

Hust constrain use within a fixed funded or subsidised environment

Investore of subsidised environment implies fundamental business failure within a cross-subsidised environment

Hust constrain use if increased use does not generate increased funding and / or revenue

Constrain / Encourage Use

Should encourage use within parameters of constant or improving

- ⊡income
- △ delivered quality of service
- ☐unit cost of service provision

Competitive Pricing

\Re Must set pricing at a level which is Comparable to competitive networks \bigtriangleup set by ⊠delivered service profile \boxtimes quality of delivered service ⊠investment profile desired return on investment Opportunity pricing is inherently unsafe as a longer term strategy

Internet Service Pricing

Here is the second secon

***** Variable perception of value of quality



Pricing Elements

Access
 Time & Duration
 Volume
 Distance

Retail Price = f(Access) + g(Time) + h(Volume) + j(Distance)

Access Price

Normally varied by bandwidth

H If used as sole price parameter then the provider relies on averaging across the client base

Sophistication of client base implies increased usage at constant price

Must be offset by constant growth

△ie access pricing must be offset by increased marketing costs and / or access to lower unit costs of bandwidth

Access Pricing

#flat fee based on bandwidth

- widely used (well, not so now)
- Predictable billing for the customer
- Iow administrative overhead for provider
- increased marketing costs for provider

no traffic shaping

≥ no incentive for shared caching to offset intnl lease costs

Time-Based Pricing

%only applicable to dial-up operation
%scales with growth in dial-up market
%widely used for dial access

- monthly access schemes are generally risk prone to over consumption
- per unit time charging difficult to market as the market matures
- monthly access plus timed overflow very common

Volume Pricing

- cannot measure "calls"
- **Sent or Received traffic?**
- 🔀 Sent Volume
 - reduces incentive to populate network with services (information provider pays to pass information to receiver)
- Received Volume
 - Matches ftp & html usage
 - poor match for email & telnet
 - Iow incentive for cooperative infrastucture
 - ≥ provider undertakes all dns, named, caches, etc

Volume Pricing

Decision on Volume unit

 Itens of gigabytes (virtual access bandwidth)
 megabytes (high sensitivity)

 Traffic shaping by time of day

 peak / off peak pricing
 congestion / burst pricing

Volume Pricing

#Unit price on received gigabytes per month #Off Peak volume discount ?

% increasing adoption within the Internet % scaleability

Ballows increasing revenue with increasing use to ensure constant delivered quality

△i.e. allows constant service integrity

Distance-Based Pricing

₭Typically applied to volumes measured on a source to destination basis:
△local switching
△domestic transit
△international transit

%requires traffic sniffing (scaling issues)
%weakly manageable within the client
environment

Pricing Conclusions

- No pricing (funding by external agencies or by multilateral client agreement) is typical starting position, but is very weak
- Access Pricing is effective starting position, but is difficult to produce a stable outcome under growth pressure
- Volume Pricing is stable, but requires careful positioning within current / future competitive market

Discussion

% Marketing Internet Services

- Cost containment vs revenue growth
- Marketing as a measure to support pricing strategy

Issues of marketing capabilities vs marketing data switching services

Discussion

%Pricing strategies in a competitive marketplace %What's the objective?

#What's the regulatory position?