


On Starlink

Geoff Huston AM
APNIC

April 2024



screenshot from starwatch app


LEOs in the News

Together Optus and SpaceX Plan to Cover 100% of Australia

12 July 2023, 04:00 PM

Science / Entertainment / More +

- Optus' collaboration with SpaceX aims to provide regional Australia with a new way to connect starting in late 2024.
- Optus plans to roll out SMS from late 2024, with voice and data also on the horizon from late 2025.



TECH / MOBILE / T-MOBILE

T-Mobile and SpaceX Starlink say your 5G phone will connect to satellites next year

Screenshot - <https://www.theverge.com/2022/8/25/23320722/spacex-starlink-t-mobile-satellite-internet-mobile-messaging>



TELECOMMUNICATION

Elon Musk's Starlink launches satellite internet service in Japan

Company offers high-speed access to remote areas

Screenshot: <https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Telecommunication/Elon-Musk-s-Starlink-launches-satellite-internet-service-in-Japan>

Home > News > Technology > Telco/ISP

Telstra goes live with Starlink for homes

By Richard Chirgwin


Mar 26 2024 12:10PM

10

Lower cost, marginally lower performance than buying direct.

<https://www.itnews.com.au/news/telstra-goes-live-with-starlink-for-homes-606423#:~:text=Telstra%20has%20kicked%20off%20its,the%20end%20of%20the%20year.>

Solar Radiation Physics



- The rotating iron core of the Earth produces a strong magnetic field
- This magnetic field deflects solar radiation – the Van Allen Belt
- Sheltering below the Van Allen Belt protects the spacecraft from the worst effects of solar radiation, allowing advanced electronics to be used in the spacecraft

Low Earth Orbit

Earth Radius 6378 Km / 3963 mi

0 km / mi - Sea Level.

37.6 km / 23.4 mi - Self Propelled Jet Aircraft Flight Ceiling (Record Set in 1977).

215 km / 133.6 mi - Sputnik-1 The first artificial satellite of earth.

340 km / 211.3 mi - International Space Station.

390 km / 242.3 mi - Former Russian Space Station MIR.

595 km / 369.7 mi - Hubble Space Telescope.

[700 - 1700 km] - Polar Orbiting Satellites.

[435 - 1056 mi]

LEO Zone
(Low Earth Orbit)

MEO Zone
(Medium Earth Orbit)

2000 Km / 1243.7 mi


600 - 800 km / 372.8 - 497.1 mi - Sun-synchronous Satellites

These satellites orbit the Earth in near exact polar orbits north to south. They cross the equator multiple times per day and each time they are at the same angle with respect to the sun. Satellites on these types of orbits are particularly useful for capturing images of the Earth's surface or images of the sun.

550 km – Starlink Constellation


Low Earth Orbit

- LEO satellites are stations between 160km and 2,000km in altitude.
- High enough to stop it slowing down by “grazing” the denser parts of the earth’s ionosphere
- Not so high that it loses the radiation protection afforded by the Inner Van Allen belt.
- At a height of 550km, the minimum signal propagation delay to reach the satellite and back is 3.7ms, at 25° it’s 7.5ms.



screenshot from starwatch app

Starlink Constellation





If you use a minimum angle of elevation of 25° then at an altitude of 550km each satellite spans a terrestrial footprint of no more than $\sim 900\text{Km}$ radius, or 2M K^2

At a minimum, a LEO satellite constellation needs 500 satellites to provide coverage of all parts of the earth's surface

For high quality coverage the constellation will need 6x-20x that number (or more!)

Starlink Constellation

- 4,869 in-service operational spacecraft, operating at an altitude of 550km



<https://satellitemap.space/>

So LEOs are "interesting"!

- They are very close to the Earth – which means:
 - They don't need specialised high-power equipment to send and receive signals
 - Even hand-held mobile devices can send and receive signals with a LEO!
 - They can achieve very high signal speeds
 - It's a highly focussed signal beam
 - They are harder to disrupt by external interference
- But you need a large number of them to provide a continuous service
- The extremely high cost of launching a large constellation of LEO spacecraft has been the major problem with LEO service until recently
 - Which is why Motorola's Iridium service went bankrupt soon after launch

So Many LEO Satellites!


SpaceX Starlink Gen 1	4,408
SpaceX Starlink Gen 2	29,988
OneWeb, Phase 1	718
OneWeb, Phase 2	6,372
Amazon Project Kuiper	7,774
China Guowang	12,992
Astra	13,620
Boeing	5,842
Globalstar	3,080
Lynk	2,000
Telesat Lightspeed	1,969
Spin Launch	1,190
TOTAL	89,953
E-Space	337,323

Current and Planned satellite constellations

What about Starlink Gen2?


- These satellites are larger, heavier and operate at a higher power level
- More bandwidth available, and high achievable data speeds
- Multiple orbital plans at a collection of discrete altitudes
- Incorporates 5G cellular services
- Will use inter-satellite laser connectors to support packet routing across satellites – details sparse so far, and it's not clear how flexible this will be in terms of routing in the mesh

Starlink Architecture




ISL in action

The introduction of ISL has allowed Starlink to extend its coverage area to the entirety of the Australian continent, and it manages this by relaying the signal between spacecraft to one that is within range of an earth station



ISL in Mongolia




```
Tracing route to e-mongolia.mn [104.22.23.221]
over a maximum of 30 hops:
  1  <1 ms  <1 ms  1 ms  192.168.1.1
  2  149 ms  202 ms  202 ms  100.64.0.1
  3  77 ms   74 ms   82 ms  172.16.249.16
  4  87 ms   82 ms   82 ms  149.19.109.20
  5  80 ms   78 ms   91 ms  210.171.224.134
  6  86 ms   82 ms   83 ms  172.70.220.2
  7  80 ms   78 ms   74 ms  104.22.23.221
```

Use of ISL appears to add no more than ~20ms to the RTT in this case


IPIX (Japan)
Cloud Flare server (Japan)

Starlink Service Areas




<https://www.starlink.com/map>

Tracking a LEO satellite



Looking Up

44 visible at October 17 17:43:00 UTC




Starlink tracks satellites with a minimum elevation of 25° .

There are between 30 – 50 visible Starlink satellites at any point on the surface between latitudes 56° North and South

Each satellite traverses the visible aperture for a maximum of ~ 3 minutes


Starlink Scheduling

- A satellite is assigned to a user terminal in 15 second time slots
- Tracking of a satellite (by phased array focussing) works across 11 degrees of arc per satellite in each 15 second slot



Starlink Spot Beams

- Each spacecraft uses 2,000 MHz of spectrum for user downlink and splits it into 8x channels of 250 MHz each
- Each satellite has 3 downlink antennas and 1 uplink antennas, and each can do 8 beams x 2 polarizations, for a total of 48 beams down and 16 up.




“Unveiling Beamforming Strategies of Starlink LEO Satellites”

https://people.engineering.osu.edu/sites/default/files/2022-10/Kassas_Unveiling_Beamforming_Strategies_of_Starlink_LEO_Satellites.pdf

Starlink Scheduling



- Latency changes on each satellite switch
- If we take the minimum latency on each 15 second scheduling interval, we can expose the effects of the switching interval on latency
- Across the 15 second interval there will be a drift in latency according to the satellite's track and the distance relative to the two earth points
- Other user traffic will also impact on latency, and also the effects of a large buffer in the user modem





Starlink's reports

```
$ starlink-grpc-tools/dish_grpc_text.py -v status
id: ut01000000-00000000-005dd555
hardware_version: rev3_proto2
software_version: 5a923943-5acb-4d05-ac58-dd93e72b7862.uterm.release
state: CONNECTED
uptime: 481674
snr:
seconds_to_first_nonempty_slot: 0.0
non_ping_drop_rate: 0.0
downlink_throughput_bps: 16693.330078125
uplink_throughput_bps: 109127.3984375
pop_ping_latency_ms: 49.5
Alerts bit field: 0
fraction_obstructed: 0.04149007424712181
currently_obstructed: False
seconds_obstructed:
obstruction_duration: 1.9579976797103882
obstruction_interval: 540.0
direction_azimuth: -42.67951583862305
direction_elevation: 64.61225128173828
is_snr_above_noise_floor: True
```

Reported Capacity & Latency



Satellite handover




Why?

- The varying SNR appears to cause continual refinement of signal modulation, which causes continual shift in the available capacity
- This is going to present some interesting issues for conventional TCP
- The variation in latency and capacity occurs at high frequency, which means that TCP control is going to struggle to optimize itself against a shifting target
- TCP uses ACK pacing which means it attempts to optimize its sending rate over multiple RTT intervals


How well does all this work?

Speedtest measurements:




We should be able to get ~120Mbps out of a starlink connection. Right?

TCP Flow Control Algorithms





“Ideal” Flow behaviour
for each protocol


iperf3 - cubic, 40 seconds



Peak vs off-Peak - CUBIC



iperf3 - bbr



Protocol Considerations

- Starlink services have three issues:
 - Very high jitter rates – varying signal modulation
 - High levels of micro-loss (1.4%) – satellite handover
 - Common bearer contention between users
- Loss-based flow control algorithms will over-react and pull back the sending rate over time
 - Short transactions work very well
 - Paced connections (voice, zoom, video streaming) tend to work well most of the time
- To obtain better performance you need to move to flow control algorithms that are not loss-sensitive, such as BBR

I don't want to leave you with the wrong impression of Starlink

Starlink is perfectly acceptable for:

- short transactions
- video streaming
- conferencing
- The service can sustain 40 – 50Mbps delivery for long-held sessions during local peak use times in high density use scenarios
 - The isolated drop events generally do not intrude into the session state
- In off-peak and/or low-density contexts it can deliver 200-300Mbps
- It can be used in all kinds of places where existing wire and mobile radio systems either under-perform or aren't there at all!
- Its probably not the best trunk infrastructure service medium, but it's a really good high speed last mile direct retail access service, particularly for remote locations!

Questions?

