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ICANN's First DNSSEC Key Ceremony for the Root Zone

The global deployment of Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) will achieve an important milestone on June 16, 2010 as ICANN hosts the first production DNSSEC key ceremony in a high security data centre in Culpeper, VA, outside of Washington, DC.
The Eastern KSK Repository

Secure data center in Culpeper, VA - location of first DNSSEC key signing ceremony
The Western KSK Repository

El Segundo, California *

* No – that’s not really a picture of the the El Segundo KSK repository facility.
**KSK?**

- The Root Zone Key Signing Key signs the DNSKEY RR set of the root zone
  - The Zone Signing Key (ZSK) signs the individual root zone entries
- The KSK Public Key is used as the DNSSEC Validation trust anchor
  - It is copied everywhere as “configuration data”
- The KSK Private Key is stored inside an HSM
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DNSSEC Practice Statement for the Root Zone KSK Operator

Abstract

This document is the DNSSEC Practice Statement (DPS) for the Root Zone Key Signing Key (KSK) Operator. It states the practices and provisions that are used to provide Root Zone Key Signing and Key Distribution services. These include, but are not limited to: issuing, managing, changing and distributing DNS keys in accordance with the specific requirements of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Root Zone KSK Operator DPS

May 2010

6.3. Signature format

The cryptographic hash function used in conjunction with the signing algorithm is required to be sufficiently resistant to preimage attacks during the time in which the signature is valid.

The RZ KSK signatures will be generated by encrypting SHA-256 hashes using RSA [RFC5702].

6.4. Zone signing key roll-over

ZSK rollover is carried out quarterly automatically by the Root Zone ZSK Operator's system as described in the Root Zone ZSK Operator's DPS.

6.5. Key signing key roll-over

Each RZ KSK will be scheduled to be rolled over through a key ceremony as required, or after 5 years of operation.

RZ KSK roll-over is scheduled to facilitate automatic updates of resolvers' Trust Anchors as described in RFC 5011 [RFC5011].

After a RZ KSK has been removed from the key set, it will be retained after its operational period until the next scheduled key ceremony, when the private component will be destroyed in accordance with section 5.2.10.
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The Cast of Actors

• Root Zone Management Partners:
  – Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN)
  – National Telecommunications and Information Administration, US Department of Commerce (NTIA)
  – Verisign

• External Design Team for KSK Roll
Approach

• ICANN Public Consultation – 2012
• Detailed Engineering Study - 2013
• SSAC Study (SAC-063) - 2013
• KSK Roll Design Team - 2015
Design Team Members

- Joe Abley
- John Dickinson
- Ondrej Sury
- Toshiro Yoneya
- Jaap Akkerhuis
- Paul Wouters
- Geoff Huston

Plus the participation from the Root Zone Management Partners
2015 Design Team Milestones

• January – June:
  Study, discuss, measure, ponder, discuss some more

• June
  – Present a draft report for ICANN Public Comment

• July
  – Prepare final report

• Pass to the Root Zone Management Partners who then will develop an operational plan and execute
Rolling the KSK?

- All DNS resolvers that perform validation of DNS responses use a local copy of the KSK.
- They will need to load a new KSK public key and replace the existing trust anchor with this new value at the appropriate time.
- This key roll could have a public impact.
- We have had some experience in the past on issues arising from rolling keys...
In this month's column I have the pleasure of being joined by George Michaelson, Patrik Wallström and Roy Arends to present some critical results following recent investigations on the behaviour of DNS resolvers with DNSSEC. It's a little longer than usual, but I trust that its well worth the read.
-- Geoff

It is considered good security practice to treat cryptographic keys with a healthy level of respect. The conventional wisdom appears to be that the more material you sign with a given private key the more clues you are leaving behind that could enable some form of effective key guessing. As RFC4641 states: "the longer a key is in use, the greater the probability that it will have been compromised through carelessness, accident, espionage, or cryptanalysis." Even though the risk is considered slight if you have chosen to use a decent key length, RFC 4641 recommends, as good operational practice, that you should "roll" your key at regular intervals. Evidently it's a popular view that fresh keys are better keys!

The standard practice for a "staged" key rollover is to generate a new key pair, and then have the two public keys co-exist at the publication point for a period of time, allowing relying parties, or clients, some period of time to pick up the new public key part. Where possible during this period, signing is performed twice, once with each key, so that the validation test can be performed using either key. After an appropriate interval of parallel operation the old key pair can be deprecated and the new key can be used for signing.

This practice of staged rollover as part of key management is used in X.509 certificates, and is also used in signing the DNS, using DNSSEC. A zone operator who wants to roll the DNSSEC key value would provide notice of a pending key change, publish the public key part of a new key pair, and then use the new and old private keys in parallel for a period. On the face of it, this process sounds quite straightforward.

What could possibly go wrong?
The RFC5011 Approach

• Publish a new KSK and include it in DNSKEY responses
• Use the new KSK to sign the ZSK, as well as the old KSK signature
  – Resolvers use old-signs-over-new to pick up the new KSK, validate it using the old KSK, and replace the local trust anchor material with the new KSK
• Withdraw the old signature signed via the old KSK
• Revoke the old KSK
The RFC5011 Approach
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1. Introduce New KSK

Packet size increase due to multiple KSK signatures

Packet size increase due to larger DNSKEY RRset

First packet size increase

Second packet size increase

5011-compliant validators will start using KSK-2015 here
2. New KSK signs

Packet size increase due to larger DNSKEY RRset

Packet size increase due to multiple KSK signatures

5011-compliant validators will start using KSK-2015 here

Not updated 5011-noncompliant validators will fail here

Delay revocation of KSK-2010
3. Remove old KSK

Packet size increase due to larger DNSKEY RRsset

Packet size increase due to multiple KSK signatures

5011-compliant validators will start using KSK-2015 here

Not updated 5011-noncompliant validators will fail here

Delayed revocation of KSK-2010
4. Destroy old KSK

Packet size increase due to multiple KSK signatures

5011-compliant validators will start using KSK-2015 here

Not updated 5011-noncompliant validators will fail here

Delayed revocation of KSK-2010
Technical Concerns

• Some DNSSEC validating resolvers do not support RFC5011
  – How many?
  – What will they do when validation fails?
• During the Dual-Sign phase of the roll the RZ DNSKEY responses will be larger
  – Interaction with IPv6 and 1280 minimum MTU - UDP fragmentation?
  – Interaction with EDNS0 UDP Buffer Size and response truncation - Increased TCP query loads?
  – How many resolvers will be stranded by these larger responses?
• Can you bench test your DNS resolvers in a KSK roll?
Some Numbers

- Up to 90% of unique queries posed to authoritative name servers use EDNS0 and set DNSSEC OK
- Up to 24% of unique queries are followed by DNSSEC validation
- Up to 11% of unique queries will be followed by a non-validating query sequence if DNSSEC validation fails
Some More Numbers

• Some 8% of unique queries have an EDNS0 UDP buffer size < 1,500 octets
  – These queries would revert to TCP in the case of a large response

• What is not directly measureable by experimental sampling of resolver behaviors is the extent to which resolvers support RFC5011 key roll signalling
Community Concerns

• How can the Root Zone Partners keep you informed about the KSK Roll process?
  – What do you need to know?
  – How would you like to be informed?
Questions?