Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 8203

Updates: 4486

Category: Standards Track

ISSN: 2070-1721

J. Snijders

NTT

J. Heitz

Cisco

J. Scudder

Juniper

July 2017

BGP Administrative Shutdown Communication

#### Abstract

This document enhances the BGP Cease NOTIFICATION message "Administrative Shutdown" and "Administrative Reset" subcodes for operators to transmit a short freeform message to describe why a BGP session was shutdown or reset. This document updates RFC 4486.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8203.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1	.1. Requirements Language	2
2.	Shutdown Communication	2
3.	Operational Considerations	3
4.	Error Handling	4
5.	IANA Considerations	4
6.	Security Considerations	4
7.	References	5
7	.1. Normative References	5
7	.2. Informative References	5
Ackı	nowledgements	6
Aut.	hors' Addresses	6

### 1. Introduction

It can be troublesome for an operator to correlate a BGP-4 [RFC4271] session teardown in the network with a notice that was transmitted via offline methods such as email or telephone calls. This document updates [RFC4486] by specifying a mechanism to transmit a short freeform UTF-8 [RFC3629] message as part of a Cease NOTIFICATION message [RFC4271] to inform the peer why the BGP session is being shutdown or reset.

# 1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

### 2. Shutdown Communication

If a BGP speaker decides to terminate its session with a BGP neighbor, and it sends a NOTIFICATION message with the Error Code "Cease" and Error Subcode "Administrative Shutdown" or "Administrative Reset" [RFC4486], it MAY include an UTF-8 encoded string. The contents of the string are at the operator's discretion.

The Cease NOTIFICATION message with a Shutdown Communication is encoded as below:

0	1	2	3				
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	9 0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 0 1				
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+		-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+				
Error Code 6	Subcode Ler	ngth					
+-							
\			\				
/ Shutdown Communication /							
\			\				
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+				

### Figure 1

Subcode: the Error Subcode value MUST be one of the following values: 2 ("Administrative Shutdown") or 4 ("Administrative Reset").

Length: this 8-bit field represents the length of the Shutdown Communication field in octets. The length value MUST range from 0 to 128 inclusive. When the length value is zero, no Shutdown Communication field follows.

Shutdown Communication: to support international characters, the Shutdown Communication field MUST be encoded using UTF-8. A receiving BGP speaker MUST NOT interpret invalid UTF-8 sequences. Note that when the Shutdown Communication contains multibyte characters, the number of characters will be less than the length value. This field is not NUL terminated.

Mechanisms concerning the reporting of information contained in the Shutdown Communication are implementation specific but SHOULD include methods such as Syslog [RFC5424].

## 3. Operational Considerations

Operators are encouraged to use the Shutdown Communication to inform their peers of the reason for the shutdown of the BGP session and include out-of-band reference materials. An example of a useful Shutdown Communication would be:

"[TICKET-1-1438367390] software upgrade; back in 2 hours"

"[TICKET-1-1438367390]" is a ticket reference with significance to both the sender and receiver, followed by a brief human-readable message regarding the reason for the BGP session shutdown followed by

RFC 8203 BGP Shutdown July 2017

an indication about the length of the maintenance. The receiver can now use the string 'TICKET-1-1438367390' to search in their email archive to find more details.

### 4. Error Handling

If a Shutdown Communication with an invalid Length value, or an invalid UTF-8 sequence is received, a message indicating this event SHOULD be logged for the attention of the operator. An erroneous or malformed Shutdown Communication itself MAY be logged in a hexdump format.

### 5. IANA Considerations

IANA references this document (in addition to [RFC4486]) for subcodes "Administrative Shutdown" (2) and "Administrative Reset" (4) in the "Cease NOTIFICATION message subcodes" registry under the "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters" group.

### 6. Security Considerations

This document uses UTF-8 encoding for the Shutdown Communication. There are a number of security issues with Unicode. Implementers and operators are advised to review Unicode Technical Report #36 [UTR36] to learn about these issues. UTF-8 "Shortest Form" encoding is REQUIRED to guard against the technical issues outlined in [UTR36].

As BGP Shutdown Communications are likely to appear in syslog output, there is a risk that carefully constructed Shutdown Communication might be formatted by receiving systems in a way to make them appear as additional syslog messages. To limit the ability to mount such an attack, the BGP Shutdown Communication is limited to 128 octets in length.

Users of this mechanism should be aware that unless a transport that provides integrity is used for the BGP session in question, a Shutdown Communication message could be forged. Unless a transport that provides confidentiality is used, a Shutdown Communication message could be snooped by an attacker. These issues are common to any BGP message but may be of greater interest in the context of this proposal since the information carried in the message is generally expected to be used for human-to-human communication. Refer to the related considerations in [RFC4271] and [RFC4272].

Users of this mechanism should consider applying data minimization practices as outlined in Section 6.1 of [RFC6973] because a received Shutdown Communication may be used at the receiver's discretion.

### 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
  2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
  May 2017, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</a>.

# 7.2. Informative References

- [RFC6973] Cooper, A., Tschofenig, H., Aboba, B., Peterson, J.,
  Morris, J., Hansen, M., and R. Smith, "Privacy
  Considerations for Internet Protocols", RFC 6973,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC6973, July 2013,
  <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6973">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6973</a>.
- [UTR36] Davis, M., Ed. and M. Suignard, Ed., "Unicode Security Considerations", Unicode Technical Report #36, August 2010, <a href="http://unicode.org/reports/tr36/">http://unicode.org/reports/tr36/</a>.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge Tom Scholl, David Freedman, Jared Mauch, Jeff Haas, Peter Hessler, Bruno Decraene, John Heasley, Peter van Dijk, Arjen Zonneveld, James Bensley, Susan Hares, Saku Ytti, Lou Berger, Alvaro Retana, and Adam Roach.

The authors would like to thank Enke Chen and Vincent Gillet for their work on [RFC4486] and granting the related rights to the IETF Trust per BCP 78.

### Authors' Addresses

Job Snijders NTT Communications Theodorus Majofskistraat 100 Amsterdam 1065 SZ The Netherlands

Email: job@ntt.net

Jakob Heitz Cisco 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 United States of America

Email: jheitz@cisco.com

John Scudder Juniper Networks 1194 N. Mathilda Ave Sunnyvale, CA 94089 United States of America

Email: jgs@juniper.net