Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 7153

Updates: 4360, 5701

Category: Standards Track

ISSN: 2070-1721

E. Rosen Cisco Systems, Inc. Y. Rekhter Juniper Networks, Inc. March 2014

IANA Registries for BGP Extended Communities

Abstract

This document reorganizes the IANA registries for the type values and sub-type values of the BGP Extended Communities attribute and the BGP IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Communities attribute. This is done in order to remove interdependencies among the registries, thus making it easier for IANA to determine which codepoints are available for assignment in which registries. This document also clarifies the information that must be provided to IANA when requesting an allocation from one or more of these registries. These changes are compatible with the existing allocations and thus do not affect protocol implementations. The changes will, however, impact the "IANA Considerations" sections of future protocol specifications. This document updates RFC 4360 and RFC 5701.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7153.

[Page 1] Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 2]

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction3
	Types, Sub-Types, and Registries4
	Applicability to IPv6-Address-Specific EC Attribute4
	How to Request EC Type and/or Sub-Type Codepoints5
	IANA Considerations
	5.1. Registries for the "Type" Field
	5.1.1. Transitive Types
	5.1.2. Non-Transitive Types8
	5.2. Registries for the "Sub-Type" Field9
	5.2.1. EVPN Extended Community Sub-Types9
	5.2.2. Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community
	Sub-Types10
	5.2.3. Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended
	Community Sub-Types10
	5.2.4. Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended
	Community Sub-Types11
	5.2.5. Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended
	Community Sub-Types11
	5.2.6. Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community
	Sub-Types12
	5.2.7. Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended
	Community Sub-Types12
	5.2.8. Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types13
	5.2.9. Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community
	Sub-Types13
	5.2.10. Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended
	Community Sub-Types14
	5.2.11. Registries for the "Value" Field14
	5.2.11.1. Traffic Action Fields14
	5.3. Registries for IPv6-Address-Specific ECs
	5.3.1. Transitive Types
	5.3.2. Non-Transitive Types
	Security Considerations
	Acknowledgments
8.	Normative References16

1. Introduction

RFC 4360 [RFC4360] defines the BGP "Extended Communities" (EC) attribute. This attribute consists of a sequence of eight-octet "extended communities". The high-order octet is defined to be the "Type" field. Each Type has a range of values for "Transitive Extended Community Types" and a range of values for "Non-transitive Extended Community Types". Some of these ranges are further subdivided into a sub-range of values to be assigned by IANA under the "Standards Action" policy, a sub-range of values to be assigned

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 3]

by IANA under the "First Come First Served" policy, and a sub-range for "experimental use". (See [RFC5226], [RFC7120], and [RFC3692] for an explanation of these policies.)

For some Extended Community Types, the second octet of the Extended Community is a "Sub-Type" field, and the remaining six octets are the "Value" field. These are referred to as "Extended Types". For other types, there is no "Sub-Type" field, and the "Value" field contains seven octets. These are referred to as "Regular Types".

RFC 4360 is not very specific about how the IANA registries for Extended Community Types and/or Sub-Types are to be organized, and this has led to some confusion. The purpose of this document is to reorganize the registries to make the IANA codepoint allocation task more straightforward.

2. Types, Sub-Types, and Registries

The high-order octet of an Extended Community will be known as the "Type" field.

There will be one IANA registry for "Transitive Extended Community Types" (see Section 5.1.1) and one for "Non-transitive Extended Community Types" (Section 5.1.2). Each registry specifies three ranges, and each range is associated with a particular IANA allocation policy.

There will be a set of IANA registries for Extended Community Sub-Types (see Section 5.2). Each such registry will have a range of $0 \times 00-0 \times FF$. Values in the range $0 \times 00-0 \times BF$ are assignable by IANA according to the "First Come First Served" allocation policy of [RFC5226]. Values in the range $0 \times C0-0 \times FF$ are assignable by IANA according to the "IETF Review" allocation policy of [RFC5226].

If a particular Type has Sub-Types, that Type's entry in its Type registry identifies its Sub-Type registry. Note that some Types do not have Sub-Types. When the request is made to establish a new Type registry, the request must specify whether or not there is to be a Sub-Type registry associated with that Type.

Whether a given Type has Sub-Types is determined when the Type is initially defined; this cannot be changed later.

3. Applicability to IPv6-Address-Specific EC Attribute

RFC 5701 [RFC5701] defines the IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community to be a 20-octet quantity whose high-order two octets may be considered to be the "Type" field. The high-order octet is either

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 4]

0x00, indicating a transitive Extended Community; or 0x40, indicating a Non-transitive Extended Community. The second octet is said to be a "Sub-Type", and it is suggested that the Sub-Types are the same as the Sub-Types for the IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community. However, the existing IANA codepoint allocations for this octet do not always match the corresponding allocations for the IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types.

This document modifies RFC 5701 by removing any requirement for the values of the second octet of the IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community Type codepoints to match the codepoints in either of the IPv4-Address-Specific Sub-Types registries.

This document requests IANA to create two IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community registries -- one for transitive communities and one for non-transitive communities. See Section 5.3.

4. How to Request EC Type and/or Sub-Type Codepoints

When a codepoint is needed for a new Extended Community, the requester should first determine whether an existing Type can be used. If so, IANA should be asked to allocate a codepoint from the corresponding Sub-Type registry, if there is one.

If a new Extended Community Type is needed, the requester should ask IANA to allocate a new type from the "BGP Transitive Extended Community Types" registry, the "BGP Non-Transitive Extended Community Types" registry, or both. It is up to the requester to state whether an allocation is needed from one or both of these registries. When an allocation from both registries is requested, the requester may find it desirable for both allocations to share the same low-order six bits. If so, it is the responsibility of the requester to explicitly request this of IANA.

Of course, any request for a codepoint from a particular registry must follow the defined registration procedures for that registry.

If a new Extended Community Type is needed and the new Type is to have Sub-Types, the requester should specify whether an existing Sub-Type registry can be used for the new Type or a new Sub-Type registry is needed. (At the current time, every Type that has Sub-Types is associated with a unique Sub-Type registry. It is possible that in the future a new Type registry may be created that is associated with a pre-existing Sub-Type registry.) In either case, if a new Sub-Type value needs to be allocated from a particular Sub-Type registry, the request should explicitly identify the registry.

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 5]

If the creation of a new Sub-Type registry is requested, the range of values is always $0 \times 00^{-0} \times FF$. It is recommended that the allocation policy described in Section 2 be used, i.e., $0 \times 00^{-0} \times BF$ to be allocated by IANA under the "First Come First Served" policy and $0 \times C0^{-0} \times FF$ to be allocated by IANA under the "IETF Review" policy.

Commonly, a new Extended Community is defined such that it can be of several Types. For example, one may want to define a new Extended Community so that it can be either transitive or non-transitive, either the two-octet AS Number Type or the four-octet AS Number Type, etc. The requester is responsible for explicitly asking IANA to allocate codepoints in all the necessary Type and/or Sub-Type registries.

When a new Extended Community is defined, it may be necessary to ask IANA to allocate codepoints in several Sub-Type registries. In this case, it is a common practice to ask IANA to allocate the same codepoint value in each registry. If this is desired, it is the responsibility of the requester to explicitly ask IANA to allocate the same value in each registry.

When a new Extended Community Sub-Type codepoint is allocated, it may also be desirable to allocate a corresponding value in one or both of the IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community registries. The requester is responsible for requesting this allocation explicitly. If the requester would like the same numerical value to be allocated in an IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community registry that is allocated in some other registry, it is the responsibility of the requester to explicitly ask this of IANA.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA has replaced the pre-existing BGP Extended Communities registries with the registries described in this section.

The registries reproduced below do not include the "references" or "date" fields for the individual codepoints in the registries, because it is difficult to incorporate those within the 72-character line limitation of RFCs. The references and associated dates have been copied from the existing registries when creating the new registries; the authors have worked with IANA to ensure that this information has been carried over correctly to the reorganized registry. As this document does not change the usage or semantics of any of the codepoints, the references associated with the individual codepoints do not change.

On the other hand, the references for each of the registries defined in this section have been changed to refer to this document.

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 6]

5.1. Registries for the "Type" Field

5.1.1. Transitive Types

The following note has been added to the "BGP Transitive Extended Community Types" registry.

This registry contains values of the high-order octet (the "Type" field) of a Transitive Extended Community.

Registry Name: BGP Transitive Extended Community Types

RANGE	REGISTRATION PROCEDURES
0x00-0x3F 0x80-0x8F 0x90-0xBF	First Come First Served Experimental Use (see RFC 3692) Standards Action
TYPE VALUE	NAME
0x00	Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)
0x01	Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)
0x02	Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)
0x03	Transitive Opaque Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)
0x04	QoS Marking
0x05	CoS Capability
0x06	EVPN (Sub-Types are defined in the "EVPN Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)
0x08	Flow spec redirect/mirror to IP next-hop

0x80 Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended

Community (Sub-Types are defined in the

"Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended

Community Sub-Types registry)

5.1.2. Non-Transitive Types

The following note has been added to the "BGP Non-Transitive Extended Community Types" registry.

This registry contains values of the high-order octet (the "Type" field) of a Non-transitive Extended Community.

Registry Name: BGP Non-Transitive Extended Community Types

RANGE	REGISTRATION PROCEDURES	
0x40-0x7F 0xC0-0xCF 0xD0-0xFF	First Come First Served Experimental Use (see RFC 3692) Standards Action	
TYPE VALUE	NAME	
0x40	Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)	
0x41	Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)	
0x42	Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)	
0x43	Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community (Sub-Types are defined in the "Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types" registry)	
0x44	QoS Marking	

5.2. Registries for the "Sub-Type" Field

5.2.1. EVPN Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "EVPN Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x06.

Registry Name: EVPN Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

 $0 \times 00 - 0 \times BF$ First Come First Served $0 \times C0 - 0 \times FF$ IETF Review

SUB-TYPE VALUE NAME

0x00 MAC Mobility 0x01 ESI MPLS Label 0x02 ES Import

RANGE

5.2.2. Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x00.

Registry Name: Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF	First Come First Served IETF Review
SUB-TYPE VALUE	NAME
0x02 0x03 0x05 0x08 0x09 0x0A 0x10	Route Target Route Origin OSPF Domain Identifier BGP Data Collection Source AS L2VPN Identifier Cisco VPN-Distinguisher

5.2.3. Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x40.

Registry Name: Non-Transitive Two-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE	REGISTRATION PROCEDURE		
0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF	First Come First Served IETF Review		

NAME

SUB-TYPE VALUE

0x04 Link Bandwidth Extended Community

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 10]

RANGE

5.2.4. Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x02.

Registry Name: Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF	First Come First Served IETF Review	
SUB-TYPE VALUE	NAME	
0x02 0x03 0x04 0x05 0x08 0x09	Route Target Route Origin Generic OSPF Domain Identifier BGP Data Collection Source AS Cisco VPN Identifier	

5.2.5. Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x42.

Registry Name: Non-Transitive Four-Octet AS-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

0x00-0xBF First Come First Served

0xC0-0xFF IETF Review

SUB-TYPE VALUE NAME

0x04 Generic

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 11]

RANGE

5.2.6. Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x01.

REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

Registry Name: Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF	First Come First Served IETF Review
SUB-TYPE VALUE	NAME
0x02 0x03 0x05 0x07 0x0A 0x0B 0x10	Route Target Route Origin OSPF Domain Identifier OSPF Route ID L2VPN Identifier VRF Route Import Cisco VPN-Distinguisher

5.2.7. Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x41.

Registry Name: Non-Transitive IPv4-Address-Specific Extended Community Sub-Types

REGISTRATION PROCEDURE RANGE

0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF First Come First Served

IETF Review

None Assigned

[Page 12] Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track

5.2.8. Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x03.

Registry Name: Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

0x00-0xBF First Come First Served

SUB-TYPE VALUE NAME

0x06 OSPF Route Type

0x0B Color Extended Community

0x0C Encapsulation Extended Community

0x0D Default Gateway

5.2.9. Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x43.

Registry Name: Non-Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

0x00-0xBF First Come First Served

SUB-TYPE VALUE NAME

0x00 BGP Origin Validation State

5.2.10. Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended Community Sub-Types

The following note has been added to the "Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended Community Sub-Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the second octet (the "Sub-Type" field) of an extended community when the value of the first octet (the "Type" field) is 0x80.

Registry Name: Generic Transitive Experimental Use Extended Community Sub-Types

RANGE	REGISTRATION PROCEDURE		
0x00-0xBF 0xC0-0xFF	First Come First Served IETF Review		
SUB-TYPE VALUE	NAME		
0x06	Flow spec traffic-rate		
0x07	Flow spec traffic-action (Use of the "Value" field is defined in the "Traffic Action Fields" registry)		
0x07 0x08	"Value" field is defined in the		
	"Value" field is defined in the "Traffic Action Fields" registry)		

Note: RFC 5575 contains narrative text that declares the "Flow spec traffic-rate" to be non-transitive but then assigns it a codepoint that indicates that it is transitive. Addressing this error in RFC 5575 is not within the scope of this document.

5.2.11. Registries for the "Value" Field

At the time of the writing of this document, there is only one registry containing codepoints for the "Value" field of an Extended Community.

5.2.11.1. Traffic Action Fields

This registry has not been modified.

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 14]

5.3. Registries for IPv6-Address-Specific ECs

5.3.1. Transitive Types

The following note has been added to the "Transitive IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the two high-order octets of an IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community.

Registry Name: Transitive IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community Types

RANGE	REGISTRATION PROCEDURE
0x0000-0x00FF	First Come First Served
TYPE VALUE	NAME
0x0002 0x0003 0x0004 0x000B 0x0010 0x0011	Route Target Route Origin OSPFv3 Route Attributes (deprecated) VRF Route Import Cisco VPN-Distinguisher UUID-based Route Target

5.3.2. Non-Transitive Types

The following note has been added to the "Non-Transitive IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community Types" registry:

This registry contains values of the two high-order octets of an IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community.

Registry Name: Non-Transitive IPv6-Address-Specific Extended Community Types

RANGE REGISTRATION PROCEDURE

0x4000-0x40FF First Come First Served

None assigned

6. Security Considerations

No security considerations are raised by this document.

Rosen & Rekhter Standards Track [Page 15]

[Page 16]

7. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Jon Mitchell, Hyojeong Kim, and Pearl Liang for their review and comments.

The authors wish to thank Amanda Baber of IANA for educating us on some of the problems faced by IANA staff when responding to requests for BGP Extended Community Type and Sub-Type codepoint allocations.

8. Normative References

- [RFC3692] Narten, T., "Assigning Experimental and Testing Numbers Considered Useful", BCP 82, RFC 3692, January 2004.
- [RFC4360] Sangli, S., Tappan, D., and Y. Rekhter, "BGP Extended Communities Attribute", RFC 4360, February 2006.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 5226, May 2008.
- [RFC5701] Rekhter, Y., "IPv6 Address Specific BGP Extended Community Attribute", RFC 5701, November 2009.
- [RFC7120] Cotton, M., "Early IANA Allocation of Standards Track Code Points", BCP 100, RFC 7120, January 2014.

Authors' Addresses

Yakov Rekhter Juniper Networks 1194 North Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94089

EMail: yakov@juniper.net

Eric C. Rosen Cisco Systems, Inc. 1414 Massachusetts Avenue Boxborough, MA 01719

EMail: erosen@cisco.com