Network Working Group Request for Comments: 679 NIC: 31890 D.W. Dodds BBN-TENEXA February 1975

February, 1975, Survey of New-Protocol TELNET Servers

It has been two and a half months since our last survey, and it has been depressing to note that "progress" toward an all-new-protocol network has been even slower than previously. Changes since the last list (with host numbers in octal):

SRI-ARC (2) and OFFICE-1 (53) have added logger sockets 27, but with Old-Protocol servers (are these forerunners of New-Protocol servers?); SDC-CC (110) has been removed from the list until it starts operating on the network LL-67 (12) now has a New-Protocol server on socket 1; CASE-10 (15) has departed from the network; BBN-TENEX is now host 361 (formerly 105);

ANL (ARGONNE, 67) has come on the net with Old- and New-Protocol servers on sockets 1 and 27, respectively.

The following is the latest version* of the summary and tabulation of server-host Telnet servers.

total server hosts	36	100%
no New-Prot server	17	47%
unknown status (new host)	1	3%
total New-Prot implem.	18	50%
New-Prot on socket 27,		
Old on socket 1 (2)	10	28%
New-Prot on 1 and 27 (3)	б	16%
New-Prot on 1 only (3)	2	6%

Notes:

- * All data in this report were gathered via a surveying program run at various times, plus a few manual checks to fill out the data. What is reported here is the way the various servers work as seen by the new-Protocol User Telnet at BBNA, as of 20 Feb. 1975.
- (2) These are the sites whose operation is 100% correct according to all protocols and conventions, as I understand them.
- (3) We realize that some of the servers that appear here as New-Protocol servers on socket 1 are actually servers which attempt to communicate with both Old- and New-Protocol User TELNETs according to what control sequences are received.

Dodds

[Page 1]

Tabulation of server status for all server sites:

Host No.	Host Name	Socket 1	Socket 27	New-Prot. Options Implemented (if any)
101	UCLA-CCN	Old	Х	
201	UCLA-CCBS	Old	Х	
2	SRI-ARC	Old	Old	
102	SRI-AI	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3
3	UCSB-MOD75	Old	Х	
4	UTAH-10	Old	Х	
305	BBN-TENEXA	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3
106	MIT-DMS	New	New	I1,3; O3
206	MIT-AI	Old	Х	
306	MIT-ML	Old	Х	
7	RAND-RCC	Old	Х	
10	SDC-LAB	Old	Х	
11	HARV-10	New	Х	I1,3; O3
12	LL-67	New	Х	None
112	LL-TX-2	Old	Х	
13	SU-AI	New*	New*	I1,3
16	CMU-10B	New	New	I1,3; O3
116	CMU-10A	New	New	I1,3; O3
17	I4-TENEX	Old	Х	
217	KI4B-TENEX	Old	Х	
20	AMES-67	New	New	None
126	USC-ISI	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3
226	USC-ISIB	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3
27	USC-44	Old	Х	
327	USC-ECL	Old	Х	
37	CCA-TENEX	Old	Х	
40	PARC-MAXC	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3
43	UCSD-CC	Old	New	IO(!),3; OO,3
344	HAWAII-500	?	?	
52	LONDON	Old	Х	
53	OFFICE-1	Old	Old	
54	MIT-MULTICS	New	New	None
61	BBN-TENEXB	Old	New	11,3,6; 03
361	BBN-TENEX	old	New	11,3,6; 03
162	BBN-TENEXD	old	New	11,3,6; 03
67	ANL	Old	New	I1,3,6; O3

Dodds

[Page 2]

Key:

	o server at this socket tatus not ascertained unable to connect to host				
I#	ption # implemented incoming to user (Server says "Will #")				
0#	O# Option # implemented outgoing from user (Server says "Do #" (# is option number in new Protocol. All options implement by anyone are:				
	0 Transmit-Binary				
	1 Echo				

- 1 Echo
- 3 Suppress-Go-Ahead
- 6 Timing-Mark)

Note: * These servers return improper responses to some TELNET option requests.

- [This RFC was put into machine readable form for entry]
 [into the online RFC archives by Alex McKenzie with]
- [support from BBN Corp. and its successors. 7/2000]

Dodds

[Page 3]